

Public Document Pack



CYNGOR SIR
YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY
COUNTY COUNCIL

Dr Gwynne Jones.
Prif Weithredwr – Chief Executive

CYNGOR SIR YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL
Swyddfeydd y Cyngor - Council Offices
LLANGFNI
Ynys Môn - Anglesey
LL77 7TW

Ffôn / tel (01248) 752500
Ffacs / fax (01248) 750839

RHYBUDD O GYFARFOD	NOTICE OF MEETING
PWYLLGOR GWAITH (CYLLIDEB)	THE EXECUTIVE (BUDGET)
DYDD LLUN, 6 TACHWEDD 2017 10.00 o'r gloch	MONDAY, 6 NOVEMBER 2017 10.00 am
SIAMBR Y CYNGOR SWYDDFEYDD Y CYNGOR LLANGFNI	COUNCIL CHAMBER COUNCIL OFFICES LLANGFNI
Swyddog Pwyllgor	Ann Holmes 01248 752518 Committee Officer

AELODAU/MEMBERS

Plaid Cymru/Party of Wales

John Griffith, Llinos Medi Huws, Carwyn Jones, R Meirion Jones, Alun W Mummery, Robert G Parry, OBE, FRAGS

Annibynnol/Independent

Richard Dew, Dafydd Rhys Thomas, Ieuan Williams

COPI ER GWYBODAETH / COPY FOR INFORMATION

I Aelodau'r Cyngor Sir / To the Members of the County Council

Bydd aelod sydd ddim ar y Pwyllgor Gwaith yn cael gwahoddiad i'r cyfarfod i siarad (ond nid i bleidleisio) os ydy o/hi wedi gofyn am gael rhoddi eitem ar y rhaglen dan Reolau Gweithdrefn y Pwyllgor Gwaith. Efallai bydd y Pwyllgor Gwaith yn ystyried ceisiadau gan aelodau sydd ddim ar y Pwyllgor Gwaith i siarad ar faterion eraill.

A non-Executive member will be invited to the meeting and may speak (but not vote) during the meeting, if he/she has requested the item to be placed on the agenda under the Executive Procedure Rules. Requests by non-Executive members to speak on other matters may be considered at the discretion of The Executive.

Please note that meetings of the Committee are filmed for live and subsequent broadcast on the Council's website. The Authority is a Data Controller under the Data Protection Act and data collected during this webcast will be retained in accordance with the Authority's published policy.

A G E N D A

1 DECLARATION OF INTEREST

To receive any declaration of interest from a Member or Officer in respect of any item of business.

2 BUDGET CONSULTATION PLAN 2018/19 (Pages 1 - 8)

To submit a report by the Head of Corporate Transformation.

**3 THE KING EDWARD CASTLES AND TOWN WALLS WORLD HERITAGE SITE
MANAGEMENT PLAN 2018 - 2028** (Pages 9 - 234)

To submit a report by the Head of Regulation and Economic Development.

4 DRAFT REVENUE BUDGET 2018/19 (Pages 235 - 254)

To submit a report by the Head of Function (Resources)/Section 151 Officer.

ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL	
Committee:	Corporate Scrutiny / Executive
Date:	31.10.17 / 6.11.17
Subject:	Budget Consultation Plan 2018/19
Purpose of Report:	To reach agreement on the proposed plan
Scrutiny Chair:	Aled M. Jones
Portfolio Holder(s):	Dafydd Rh. Thomas
Head of Service:	Scott Rowley
Report Author:	Gethin Morgan
Tel:	752111
Email:	gethinmorgan@ynysmon.gov.uk
Local Members:	Not Applicable

1 - Recommendation/s
<p>1.1 The attached provides a high level description of the proposed budget consultation plan to be implemented during the period - week beginning November 6th to December 29th.</p> <p>1.2. It conveys a cross sector approach with the intention of presenting citizen's comments through -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Press b. Town and Community Councils c. Stakeholder Workshops d. Internet e. Social Media f. Citizens' Panel g. Children and Young People's Workshops <p>1.3. The Committee is asked to scrutinize the proposed plan so that future budgetary consultation plans can be improved and also maximize corporate awareness of the expected work over the period indicated by agreeing to the proposed plan</p>

2 – Link to Council Plan / Other Corporate Priorities
<p>2.1 This plan is being proposed as a consultation plan on financial matters that are consistent with the Council's development over the years to come and highlighted in the newly adopted Council Plan at the end of September this year.</p> <p>2.2 This consultation fits in with the aim of 'engaging and continuing to engage with residents of Anglesey on the way decisions are made'</p>

3 – Guiding Principles for Scrutiny Members

To assist Members when scrutinising the topic:-

3.1 Impact the matter has on individuals and communities [**focus on customer/citizen**]

3.2 A look at the efficiency & effectiveness of any proposed change – both financially and in terms of quality [**focus on value**]

3.3 A look at any risks [**focus on risk**]

3.4 Scrutiny taking a performance monitoring or quality assurance role [**focus on performance & quality**]

3.5 Looking at plans and proposals from a perspective of:

- Long term
- Prevention
- Integration
- Collaboration
- Involvement

[**focus on wellbeing**]

4 - Key Scrutiny Questions

4.1 Does the Committee consider any stakeholders that need to be included that are not on the current list?

4.2 What development of this year's process is an improvement on last year's process?

4.3 Does the Committee consider there are specific additions required for the plan as a whole?

5 – Background / Context

The attached plan was discussed at the recent Engagement and Consultation Board (12.9.17) which gave guidance that there was a need for better attendance and discussion with partners as part of this year's consultation plan.

In addition, the plan was presented to a briefing session for all members of the County Council on the 5th of October.

6 – Equality Impact Assessment [including impacts on the Welsh Language]

The plan is designed to ensure the input and response of as many residents as possible from different areas and backgrounds. Impact assessments regarding the specific proposals will be considered as part of the wider discussion of the process.

7 – Financial Implications

The financial implications of realizing this plan will be very small. What will be required to implement it is staff resource and time with a small amount of revenue expenditure being envisaged to hold panels and discussions with specific residents.

8 – Appendices:

Appendix A - Anglesey County Council Financial Proposals Consultation / Communication Plan 2018/19 (Part 2)

9 - Background papers (please contact the author of the Report for any further information):

Council plan 2017-2022

DRAFT

ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION PLAN –**BUDGET PROPOSALS CYNGOR SIR YNYS MÔN 2018/19 – PART 2**

The purpose of this plan is to acknowledge the areas of work required for the Council to realise its aim of consulting as thorough as possible, so that the Executive's final budget proposals for the 2018/19 budget to the Council in February 2018 is done so with the appreciation and understanding of the different viewpoints and perspectives of the opportunities which arise and the risks it entails.

This plan is a follow on from Part 1 which started to portray the story (end of the summer) and provided an appreciation to our citizens / partners of the future financial challenges facing us as a public sector body.

The following schedule encompasses the dates Nov 7th – Dec 29th.

Ref.	Date / Period	Event / Campaign & Purpose	Lead	Support	For information
A	Sept	Meeting of Exec to accept MTFP and adopt process for consulting on savings proposals this year (i.e. remainder of this document)	Finance	Finance / Business Planning and Performance Team	SLT / Penaethiaid
B	31.10.17	Meeting of the Corporate Scrutiny Committee to scrutinise areas of concern identified as part of the Sept / Oct workshops and also to consider the consultation plan.	Scrutiny Manager	Penaethiaid	Finance / Portfolio Holders

C	6.11.17	An Executive meeting to propose efficiencies for 2018/19 for the purpose of consultation and communication (with full understanding of the impact of such proposals)	Finance	Business Planning and Performance Team	SLT & Penaethaid
Ch	End of Nov	A meeting of the Town & Community Council sub-group to discuss the opportunities and consequences of the budget proposals.	Leader	Finance / Business Planning & Performance Team	J Huw Jones Penaethaid
D	To be decided	Stakeholder workshop in Chamber / YB1 to increase understanding and ignite discussion surrounding the opportunities and risks flowing from the 2018/19 budget proposals	Business Planning and Performance Manager	Press Team Senior Accountant Leader & Chief Executive	Penaethaid

A campaign to promote the proposals in the public arena through number of different channels.....					
D	31.10.17	Press briefing re: budget proposals for 2018/19. Increase appreciation of readers and keep to corporate line for communication. <i>Expected output would be articles in traditional press and websites + possibly on TV</i>	Press Officer	Finance / Business Planning and Performance Team	Penaethiaid & Staff
DD	07.11.17 – 29.12.17	Promotional campaign focusing on website and e-questionnaire to collate citizens views across the island. The extensive use of FB & Twitter essential here by now as well as supportive promotional work on radio such as Leader interviews on MonFM	Business Planning and Performance Team	Finance / Lleisiau'n Lleol	Staff
F	07.11.17 – 29.12.17	Use of a citizen panel and recognised community forums such as Youth Council / Schools a.s.o. to gain feedback from those impacted directly from budget decisions. (to be confirmed)	Business Planning and Performance Manager	Medrwn Mon Press Team	ACE's

This plan will enable Officers to collect and collate the necessary information to formulate a report outlining the different perspectives of individuals and organisations to the proposed budget proposals so that it can be reported and discussed at (i) briefing session for all members prior to end of January and (ii) the Corporate Scrutiny Committee meeting scheduled for the beginning of February.

DRAFT

This page is intentionally left blank

ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL	
Report to:	Executive Committee
Date:	6 th November 2017
Subject:	The King Edward Castles and Town Walls World Heritage Site Management Plan 2018 - 2028
Portfolio Holder(s):	Cllr. Carwyn Jones & Cllr. Richard Dew
Report Author:	Llio Prydderch Ifan
Tel:	Lliolfan@ynysmon.gov.uk
E-mail:	(01248) 752477
Local Members:	Relevant to Seiriol Ward Elected Members

A – Recommendation/s and reason/s
<p><u>Recommendation:</u></p> <p>Agree to be signatories of the Management Plan and Action Plan of the World Heritage Site Castles and King Edward Town Walls 2018 – 2028 from Beaumaris point of view.</p> <p><u>1.0 Background</u></p> <p>1.1 King Edward Castles and Town Walls were reclassified as an individual site by UNESCO on the World Heritage Sites list in 1986 as a cultural site of World Value Outstanding Universal Value. The site includes Beaumaris Castle, Castle and Caernarfon Town Walls, Conwy Castle and Town Walls and Harlech Castle. These seven sites were amongst the first in the United Kingdom to be inscribed to this level of international importance.</p> <p>1.2 UNESCO promotes a production of management plans for all World Heritage Sites to gather together all responsible parties and enable a co-ordinated approach to the management of the site. It is essential to safeguard this important site and that Cadw, local partners and local communities, produce a management plan agreed, adopted, and reviewed regularly. Isle of Anglesey County Council, as Beaumaris local planning authority, is one of the main governors of the management plan.</p> <p>1.3 The previous management plan has been operational since 2004, and it was adopted by Anglesey County Council on November 4th 2004. This plan can be seen on the Council’s website – http://www.anglesey.gov.uk/planning-and-waste/built-environment-coastal-and-landscape/world-heritage-site-management-plan?tab=downloads</p>

1.4 The Welsh Government, following consultation, has prepared a new draft of The Management and Action Plan of the World Heritage Site Castles and King Walls of King Edward's Towns in Gwynedd for the period up to 2028 .

1.5 Before receiving formal correspondence from the Welsh Government Cabinet Secretary requesting the Authority as one of the main partners to be included within a joint signatory of the Management Plan and the Scheme Action Cadw requested confirmation that the Authority agrees to this.

2.0 Recommendations

2.1 For the Authority, as one of the main partners, to agree in principle to be the signatory of the Scheme

2.2 The main function of the management plan is to introduce policies and actions to ensure that the World Heritage Site's Outstanding Global Value is maintained and that communication is maintained. It can also:

- Act as a tool to assist the development of the World Heritage Site's – what it means for today's communities and stakeholders, as well as promoting intelligence from the past;
- A logical approach to the management of the World Heritage Site across the four locations (including the four local planning authorities, Isle of Anglesey County Council, National Park Authority, Snowdonia, Conwy County Borough Council and Gwynedd Council);
- Form a stakeholder focus and encourage the development of effective partnership working
- Consider sustainable tourism and how this can be managed, encourage economic effects and the role the World Heritage Site can have particularly important in culture and education.

2.3 One of the key priorities of UNESCO and ICOMOS is to promote the social well-being of World Heritage Sites by raising public awareness, community participation and international co-operation. It is also important to transfer the significance of the World Heritage Site by celebrating cultural diversity. Developing civic pride in the unique communities that live and work within the World Heritage Site is a key starting point to transform their social and economic circumstances, and to act as a driver of economic regeneration, sustainable tourism and social inclusion.

2.4 The Management Plan's aim is to describe it simply and effectively:

- The attributes of the World Heritage Site that contribute to their Exceptional Global Value and which must be protected to enable its significance;
- Emphasize the issues and opportunities facing the future of the World Heritage Site;
- Identify the policies and actions that inform this process

3.0 Summary of Objectives

3.1 Out of 12 objectives in the original action plan, there are 10 objectives that apply to the Isle of Anglesey County Council. See the objectives below;

Objective 1: Maintain the castles and town walls to the highest national and international conservation standards.

Objective 2: To preserve, promote, and interpret the archaeological heritage for the benefit of the generations present and future.

Objective 3: Protect the location of the World Heritage Site for the benefit of future generations.

Objective 4: To support and promote local distinctiveness within the World Heritage Site, by identifying the characteristics and diversity of each of the four towns.

Objective 5: Use World Heritage Site status To support economic diversity and growth in the local and regional economy.

Objective 6: Provide a unique and top quality visitor experience.

Objective 7: Marketing and promotion of the four locations from Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech as one World Heritage Site to ensure a coherent and integrated approach.

Objective 8: Encourage more use of sustainable travel methods as a means of visiting each destination without harm to the demonstrating merits and uniqueness of the World Heritage Sites at an international level.

Objective 9: Raise awareness and promote understanding of World Heritage to a variety of audiences, causing pride among local communities in their culture and unique heritage.

Objective 10: Identify, abolish and / or mitigate risks to the Site's Exceptional Global Value World Heritage.

3.2 To coincide with these objectives, the roles and responsibilities of the Isle of Anglesey County Council can include the following;

Objective 1:

- Where appropriate, LDP to impose planning conditions for Beaumaris. In line with the Anglesey Destination Management Plan and all new facilities must be quality based.

Objective 2:

- Provide internal expertise in heritage buildings and provide archaeological expertise through the Gwynedd Archaeological Trust for Beaumaris.
- Where appropriate LDP to impose planning conditions for Beaumaris.

Objective 3:

- IACC Planning Department to follow current Article 4 (2) Direction for Beaumaris Town. IACC to monitor and review this Direction periodically and consider further Directions where appropriate.
- IACC Planning Department to encourage related departments to promote best practice of statutory commitments working with World Heritage Sites.
- IACC Planning Department through the statutory planning system to continue to record and monitor developments in Beaumaris as well as assess their impact on World Heritage Sites.

Objective 4:

- IACC Planning where appropriate in Beaumaris, to use the Conservation Area Character Depreciation and Supplementary Planning Guidance updated to promote and raise awareness.

Objective 5:

- In accordance with the Anglesey Destination Management Plan, Strategic Objective 5: "Ensure that the Isle of Anglesey Council has an integrated and consistent response that enables investment on the Island".
- Support from the Anglesey Annual Destination Partnership Forum.

Objective 6:

- Where appropriate an LDP to impose planning conditions for Beaumaris in accordance with the Anglesey Destination Management Plan, Strategic Objective 5: 'Working with Highways UK / IACC to encourage and secure appropriate tourism signs'.

Objective 7:

- In line with the Anglesey Destination Management Plan, Strategic Objective 5: 'Working with Highways UK / IACC to encourage and secure appropriate tourism signs'.

Objective 8:

- As above, in line with the Anglesey Destination Management Plan, Strategic Objective 5: 'Working with Highways UK / IACC to encourage and secure appropriate tourism signs'.

Objective 9:

- IACC Education Service to support this policy for Beaumaris.

Objective 10:

- IACC Planning Service to support this policy for Beaumaris.

4. Resource Implications

4.1 There are no financial commitments for the Authority from the formation of the Management Plan. There will be resource implications and staff time to implement a number of Plan Objectives policies and actions. The vast majority of these activities are part of the duties the Authority undertakes as statutory within existing local planning authority. Few of the duties also apply to the promotion of tourism within the Council. An assessment has been made of these implications and relevant officers have taken part in this assessment and have not raised concerns as it is a continuation of current implications.

4.2 This is an update version of the Plan adopted in 2004. There are no new / different expectations on the Council.

B – What other options did you consider and why did you reject them?

Not agreeing to sign the plan - rejected as it could aim towards the decline of UNESCO's World Heritage status.

C – Why is this decision for the Executive?

It is imperative that the four relevant local planning authorities, the Isle of Anglesey County Council, the National Park Authority, Snowdonia, Conwy County Borough Council and Gwynedd Council support this Scheme.

CH – Is this decision consistent with policy approved by the full Council?

Yes

D – Is this decision within the budget approved by the Council?

Yes

DD – Who did you consult?

What did they say?

1	Chief Executive / Strategic Leadership Team (SLT) (mandatory)	What did they say?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There are no funding commitments for the Authority arising from the main Management Plan. There are resource implications and staff time to implement a number of Action Plan Objectives policies. The vast majority of these activities are part of the Authority's statutory duties as an existing local planning authority. This is not clear - eager to get more clarity

		<p>about this.</p> <p><i>Responded to this comment further in point 4.1</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It has been indicated that most of the resource / financial implications are part of the IACC's statutory responsibility. What consequences due to financial pressures will be very difficult to achieve soon? <p><i>Responded to this comment further in point 4.1</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How long do we sign this scheme? Once and for all? <p><i>The Management Plan is a 10-year strategic framework and will be expected have an on-going commitment to the strategy. There will be a review after three years and the main partners will have the opportunity to contribute and play a key role in any changes / improvements that's needed.</i></p>
2	Finance / Section 151 (mandatory)	
3	Legal / Monitoring Officer (mandatory)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only applies to the Elected Members of the Seiriol Ward. <p><i>Responded to the comment in this report.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No reason for the document not to be published to the public. <p><i>Responded to the comment in this report.</i></p>
4	Human Resources (HR)	
5	Property	
6	Information Communication Technology (ICT)	
7	Scrutiny	
8	Local Members	
9	Any external bodies / other/s	

1	Economic	
2	Anti-poverty	
3	Crime and Disorder	
4	Environmental	
5	Equalities	
6	Outcome Agreements	
7	Other	

F - Appendices:	
A - The King Edward Edward Castles and Town Walls STB Management Plan 2018-2028	

FF - Background papers (please contact the author of the Report for any further information):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site Management Plan 2018–28 - IACC Roles & responsibilities • 'Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales' – Cadw May 2017 	



Llywodraeth Cymru
Welsh Government

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Management Plan 2018–28: Action Plan

Page 17



List of Abbreviations

CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy
HER	Historic environment record
LA	Local authority
LDP	Local development plan
LPA	Local planning authority (Conwy County Borough County, Gwynedd Council, Isle of Anglesey County Council, Snowdonia National Park Authority)
NMRW	National Monuments Record of Wales
OUV	Outstanding Universal Value
VAQAS	Visitor Attraction Quality Assurance Service
SNPA	Snowdonia National Park Authority
SPG	Supplementary planning guidance
WHS	World Heritage Site/s

Cadw is the Welsh Government's historic environment service, working for an accessible and well-protected historic environment for Wales.

Welsh Government
Historic Environment Service (Cadw)
Plas Carew
Unit 5/7 Cefn Coed
Parc Nantgarw
Cardiff CF15 7QQ













Telephone: 03000 256000
Email: cadw@wales.gsi.gov.uk
www.gov.wales/cadw

Digital ISBN 978-1-78859-486-8

OGL © Crown Copyright 2017, Welsh Government, Cadw, except where specified. WG31143

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site Management Plan 2018–28: Action Plan

This action plan should be read in conjunction with the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site Management Plan 2018–28.

-  **Objective 1:** Maintain the castles and town walls to the highest national and international conservation standards.
-  **Objective 2:** Conserve, promote and interpret the archaeological heritage for the benefit of current and future generations.
-  **Objective 3:** Safeguard the setting of the World Heritage Site for the benefit of future generations.
-  **Objective 4:** Support and promote local distinctiveness within the World Heritage Site, recognising the characteristics and diversity of each of the four towns.
-  **Objective 5:** Use World Heritage Site status to support sustainable economic diversity and growth in the local and regional economy.
-  **Objective 6:** Provide a visitor experience that is unique and of the highest quality.
-  **Objective 7:** Market and promote the four locations of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech as a single World Heritage Site to ensure a coherent and integrated approach.
-  **Objective 8:** Encourage greater use of sustainable modes of travel as a means of visiting each of the destinations within the World Heritage Site without detriment to the qualities that demonstrate the uniqueness of the World Heritage Site on an international level.
-  **Objective 9:** Raise awareness and promote understanding of World Heritage amongst a range of audiences, including local communities, engendering pride in their unique culture and heritage.
-  **Objective 10:** To develop improved understanding of the World Heritage Site and help better inform its future management.
-  **Objective 11:** Identify, remove and/or mitigate risks to the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site.
-  **Objective 12:** Recognise the importance of nurturing existing partnerships and the development of new partnerships, as appropriate, to support the continued management of the World Heritage Site.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 1		Maintain the castles and town walls to the highest national and international conservation standards. ▽		
Policy 1A		All works to the castles and town walls will be carried out according to best-practice guidance, including adherence to Cadw's <i>Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales (Conservation Principles)</i> . ¹		
All staff managing work will receive appropriate training in the application of Cadw's <i>Conservation Principles</i> .	Ongoing	Cadw	Well-managed and effective projects.	The WHS is managed to the highest standards. The historic fabric of the WHS is actively conserved.
Policy 1B		Repairs identified in the quinquennial inspection will be prioritised, funded and implemented within the necessary time period.		
Prepare a costed and prioritised action plan based on the outcomes of quinquennial inspection surveys and implement to best-practice standards.	Short	Cadw	A costed and prioritised action plan.	Priority actions to conserve the historic fabric of the WHS are identified and implemented.
The steering group will review the action plan regularly, in line with funding priorities, and scrutinise its implementation.	Ongoing	WHS steering group	As above.	As above.

¹ *Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2011.
www.cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Conservation_Principles_EN.pdf

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 1C		Visitor facilities will be designed to enhance the World Heritage Site and its setting to avoid adverse impact on the Outstanding Universal Value or on the setting of any other adjacent historic asset.		
All new visitor facilities will be designed in line with best practice and applying appropriate evaluation including production of heritage impact assessments and consultation with stakeholders.	Ongoing	Cadw	Number and outcome of heritage impact assessments.	World-class visitor facilities that respect the OUV of the WHS are produced.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 2		Conserve, promote and interpret the archaeological heritage for the benefit of current and future generations. ↴		
Policy 2A		All projects with potential for impact on buried archaeological heritage within the World Heritage Site, or its essential setting, will be subject to archaeological evaluation to inform decision making.		
Policy 2B		There will be a presumption against developments that would result in the loss of significant archaeological remains. Mitigation options will be proportionate to significance and where appropriate will include retention of significant deposits and remains <i>in situ</i> .		
Identify and map archaeologically sensitive areas within each of the four towns.	Short	LPAs and their archaeological advisors	Sensitivity map created for each of the four towns.	There is greater awareness and understanding of archaeological heritage within each of the four towns.
Ensure pre-application discussions with developers include consideration of archaeological potential.	Ongoing	LPAs and their archaeological advisors	Number of pre-application discussions and outcomes.	Archaeological considerations are factored in at an early stage in developers' plans.
Policy 2C		Marine historic assets will be assessed, recorded and protected according to their significance; there will be a presumption against proposals that would disturb significant historic assets.		
Marine licences within the essential setting should take account of the WHS and its values.	Ongoing	Natural Resources Wales/Cadw	Number of applications, consultations and outcomes.	The essential setting of the WHS is protected.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 2D		There will be active dissemination of the outcomes of all archaeological work undertaken within the World Heritage Site and its setting.		
Reports on archaeological work carried out in the WHS will be deposited with the regional HER and NMRW.	Ongoing	Cadw/partners	Number of new records, articles, web resources, books.	There is greater awareness of the emerging understanding of the WHS.
Bodies undertaking archaeological work will be encouraged to arrange public open days, access and prepare popular publications.	Ongoing	Cadw/LPAs	Number of open days, events, publications etc.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 3		Safeguard the setting of the World Heritage Site for the benefit of future generations. ▸		
Policy 3A		World Heritage Site partners will work together to make full use of available planning tools to protect and enhance the setting of the World Heritage Site.		
Members of the WHS steering group to formally adopt final management plan through their own procedures.	Short	WHS partners	Management plan is adopted.	The WHS is safeguarded through a current management plan that is adopted by LPAs and supported by SPG.
LPAs to develop and adopt shared SPG.	Medium	LPAs	LPAs produce shared SPG.	As above.
LPAs review application of Article 4 Directions to support protection of the WHS and its setting within each of the four towns of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech.	Medium	LPAs	Article 4 Directions cover the WHS and essential setting in each of the four towns.	There is a consistent approach to use of Article 4 Directions within all four of the WHS towns.
Encourage statutory undertakers to use their permitted development rights sensitively.	Medium	LPAs/Cadw	Statutory undertakers take account of WHS.	The WHS and its setting are protected.
Where appropriate, make use of Section 106 agreements/CIL to support enhancement of the WHS.	Ongoing	LPAs	Number of Section 106 agreements/CIL contributions made.	Opportunities to enhance the WHS are actively sought and obtained.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 3B		The performance of the existing mechanism of essential setting and significant views as a means of protecting the Outstanding Universal Value, integrity and authenticity of the World Heritage Site will be monitored during the lifetime of the management plan.		
Identify developments taking place within the essential setting and assess their impact on the OUV.	Ongoing	LPAs/Cadw	Numbers of planning applications (including appeal decisions) and outcomes.	The impact of new development on the setting of the WHS is considered, assessed and monitored appropriately.
Policy 3C		New development is to be of appropriate design, scale and massing so that it preserves and enhances the setting of the World Heritage Site, respects significant views and reinforces a sense of arrival.		
Development briefs will be prepared for sensitive sites.	Ongoing	Developers/LPAs (with support from Cadw)	List of potential sites for each WHS town produced. Development briefs prepared.	The WHS and its setting are protected and new development is sensitive and appropriate.
Encourage pre-application meetings where a proposal falls within the essential setting or lines of significant views, or might have an impact on the sense of arrival.	Ongoing	LPAs	Numbers of pre-application meetings held between LPAs and applicants, and their outcomes.	As above.
Follow ICOMOS guidance for heritage impact assessment for significant development proposals.	Ongoing	LPAs	Number of heritage impact statements received by LPAs.	As above.
Ensure design and access statements include full context appraisal.	Ongoing	LPAs	Number of design and access statements with full context appraisal.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Consult ICOMOS-UK over large developments with the potential to affect the OUV of the WHS.	Ongoing	LPAs/Cadw	Documented consultations with ICOMOS-UK.	As above.
Provide regular programmes of training for elected members on Planning Committees to assist in their consideration of planning applications.	Ongoing	LPAs	Number of training sessions held for elected members.	As above.
Policy 3D		Action will be taken to improve the condition of listed buildings and monuments at risk within the World Heritage Site boundary and its essential setting.		
Identify buildings and monuments at risk in each town, current condition and ownership, and take action as appropriate.	Ongoing	LPAs/Cadw	Reduction in number of buildings and monuments at risk within WHS essential setting.	The setting of the WHS is enhanced as a result of the reduction in heritage at risk.
Policy 3E		Cadw, local authorities, Snowdonia National Park Authority and other stakeholders will work together to safeguard the setting of the World Heritage Site.		
Partners will ensure that their own development proposals comply with good practice requirements and will bring them to the WHS steering group for consultation.	Ongoing	Cadw/LAs/SNPA	Proposals taken to the steering group.	Setting of WHS is protected and enhanced.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years</i> <i>Medium term: 3 to 5 years</i> <i>Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
LAs, SNPA and Cadw will work with civic societies and other local interest groups to strengthen their role in protecting the setting of the WHS.	Ongoing	Cadw/LAs/SNPA	Activities relating to protection and promotion of the WHS and its setting; for example, responding to planning applications, involvement in characterisation work, involvement in identification and preservation of buildings at risk.	Enhanced engagement in protection and promotion of the WHS.
Civic societies and other local interest groups will play an active part in protecting and promoting the WHS and its setting.	Ongoing	Civic societies, other local interest groups	As above.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 4		Support and promote local distinctiveness within the World Heritage Site, recognising the characteristics and diversity of each of the four towns. ▽		
Policy 4A		The legibility of the plot pattern in the four towns will be protected.		
Raise awareness amongst stakeholders and potential developers about the importance of plot pattern and design.	Short	LPAs	Number of organisations/ developers engaged at pre-application stage.	There is no loss of legibility of the plot pattern in any of the four towns.
Ensure pre-application meetings with developers identify the importance of plot patterns within each settlement.	Short	LPAs	As above.	As above.
Policy 4B		Historic character will be used to better understand the four towns and inform future development helping enhance their distinctiveness and safeguard the World Heritage Site.		
Complete historic character studies for each town and integrate into the management plan.	Medium	LPAs/civic societies	A character study is completed for each of the four towns.	A source of information is developed for each town, which can be drawn on by a variety of stakeholders for a variety of purposes.
Policy 4C		The individual character and appearance of the four town centres will be protected and enhanced. Proposals for changes to the appearance of buildings within each of the town centres, for example, to shopfronts, will be assessed against available guidance, such as conservation area standards or local design guides.		
Public bodies and local businesses work together to identify potential townscape improvements.	Medium	LPAs/Cadw/local businesses	Number of improvement schemes implemented during the lifetime of the management plan.	There is more collaborative working between public and private sector organisations.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 4D		Enterprises that derive social or economic benefit from the World Heritage Site and which enhance local distinctiveness will be fostered.		
Create a forum for local enterprise within each of the castle towns (this may be a subgroup of an existing forum where such already exist. See Policy 6E).	Short to medium	Cadw, town and community councils, established business forums — HWB Caernarfon	Actions and opportunities are implemented as a result of forums.	There is greater awareness amongst local stakeholders about the role of the WHS in promoting local distinctiveness.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 5		Use World Heritage Site status to support sustainable economic diversity and growth in the local and regional economy. ▸		
Policy 5A		Regeneration opportunities that complement or enhance the World Heritage Site will be supported.		
Continue to deliver heritage-led regeneration programme at Caernarfon, including key strategic heritage projects Porth Mawr, Porth yr Aur and castle improvements.	Short	Cadw lead Partners: LPAs	Number of jobs created and accommodated (direct/indirect).	New regeneration opportunities are identified and key heritage projects are delivered in each of the four WHS towns in collaboration with stakeholders.
Continue staff support for evolving regeneration proposals in Harlech.	Ongoing	Cadw lead Partners: LPAs	Number of regeneration projects underway/completed.	As above.
Facilitate or support annual stakeholder workshops to identify regeneration and economic development opportunities.	Ongoing	Cadw, town and community councils, established business forums — HWB Caernarfon	Number of regeneration opportunities identified.	As above.
Take forward individual regeneration opportunities through a partnership approach working with relevant stakeholders to ensure the inclusion of the vision and objectives of the management plan.	Ongoing	Cadw lead Partners: LPAs	Total investment value.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 5B		The conservation work programme will be used as a catalyst to encourage the development and continuation of traditional skills and specialist conservation work.		
Identify and promote opportunities for work placements and apprenticeships to develop traditional buildings skills.	Short	Cadw Partners: LAs/SNPA/ local businesses/civic societies	Apprentices, training positions or work placements are created.	The WHS is used as a catalyst to promote and develop traditional building skills.
Raise awareness of conservation work arising out of the action plan amongst local business and groups.	Medium	Cadw/project leads	Local employees are used in conservation work.	As above.
Policy 5C		Improved understanding of the World Heritage Site will aid partnership working and investment opportunities.		
Undertake a 'Solving our own problems' type pilot in one of the four settlements.	Short	Cadw	A community-based needs assessment and action plan.	There is greater awareness amongst local stakeholders about the role of the WHS in emphasising local distinctiveness.
Consider and explore opportunities to utilise local forums to progress key destination management and regeneration issues.	Short to medium	Cadw, town and community councils, established business forums — HWB Caernarfon	Local business forum to promote the WHS exists. Number and outcomes of investment projects linked with WHS.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 5D		There will be collaborative working with other relevant initiatives to build and enhance economic conditions (the nomination of the Slate Industry of North Wales for World Heritage Site status, the development of the Snowdonia National Park Authority management plan and the Geomon geopark).		
Collaborate with the steering group of the Slate Industry of North Wales WHS nomination, SNPA and Geomon geopark to consider relevant economic and regeneration opportunities.	Ongoing	Cadw/Gwynedd Council/SNPA	Number of joint projects.	Tangible socio-economic benefits.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 6		Provide a visitor experience that is unique and of the highest quality. ▸		
Policy 6A		Signage on the approaches to the World Heritage Site, within the castles and in the public realm around them will be of high quality and consistent design, and will contribute to a sense of arrival and a high-quality visitor experience.		
Audit existing regional and local directional signage (signposting both to and within the WHS).	Short to medium	LAs/SNPA/Visit Wales	An audit of signage is undertaken in each WHS town.	There is a reduction of signage in sensitive locations and achievement of consistent and integrated signage throughout the WHS.
Encourage use of consistent style for all new signs and seek opportunities to integrate signage.	Short to medium	LAs/SNPA/Visit Wales	Style guide provided.	As above.
Review Cadw signage to ensure it is necessary and located sensitively.	Short to medium	Cadw	An audit of Cadw signage is completed for each site.	As above.
Improve streetscapes by removing all unnecessary/redundant/poor quality signage and street furniture, replacing where appropriate.	Short to medium	LAs/SNPA/local businesses	Number and outcome of projects to improve streetscapes.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 6B		Physical and virtual public access to the town walls in Conwy and Caernarfon will be maximised.		
Seek opportunities to improve physical access by opening up new sections of wall walk.	Medium to long	Cadw/stakeholders	Number of opportunities identified for the improvement of access. Overall distance of town walls accessible to public.	A variety of visitor opportunities are established, increasing visitor numbers across the four locations and contributing to the unique selling point of the WHS as a destination.
Develop interpretation resources to enable virtual access to the castles and town walls.	Short to medium	Cadw	Overall distance of town walls with virtual access.	As above.
Policy 6C		Visitor facilities within each of the four towns will be of a high standard of design and quality, reflecting the world-class nature of the World Heritage Site.		
Encourage the development of townscape audit exercises with relevant partners within each of the four towns.	Medium to long	LAs/SNPA/local businesses	Close working relationships are established between partners and stakeholders.	The WHS is a high-quality destination, with good tourist facilities.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 6D		Consistent, high-quality, world-class interpretation will be provided throughout the World Heritage Site and the four towns, guided by the overarching interpretation plan.		
Audit the condition and quality of interpretation across the WHS and its setting on a regular basis.	Ongoing	Cadw/LAs/WHS steering group	Annual quality check of interpretation at each location.	Quality-assured interpretation exists at each location with appropriate cross references between and beyond sites. Audiences have better understanding of the history and context of the WHS. New and repeat visits are encouraged through a firm understanding of audience satisfaction and requirements.
Establish, review and implement overarching interpretation plan.	Short to medium	Cadw	An overarching interpretation plan is created.	
Review audience satisfaction with interpretation regularly.	Ongoing	Cadw	VAQAS visitor satisfaction is recorded as high/excellent.	
Review audience understanding of interpretation regularly.	Ongoing	Cadw	Visitor satisfaction is recorded as high/excellent through visitor survey exercises	
Establish networks to share information between sites about the WHS, events and activities.	Ongoing	Cadw	Staff understand the WHS, its OUV and have access to event and activity information throughout the whole WHS.	
Policy 6E		A partnership approach with other providers of visitor facilities in each town will be employed to create a unique cultural experience at each location.		
Convene annual business forum (see Policy 4D) at which opportunities to provide joined-up cultural experiences can be developed.	Short	Cadw, town and community councils, established business forums — HWB Caernarfon	Number of collaborative events.	The visitor experience at each of the four castles is varied and offers joined-up cultural experiences.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 7		Market and promote the four locations of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech as a single World Heritage Site to ensure a coherent and integrated approach. ▸		
Policy 7A		There will be collective approaches to publicise the four locations and the promotion of the World Heritage Site.		
Prepare a marketing strategy for the whole WHS that will include exploring ticketing and retail opportunities.	Short to medium	Cadw/Visit Wales/ partners	Strategy prepared and adopted by all partners. Digital marketing campaign.	There are increased visitor numbers at all four locations.
Policy 7B		Partners will look actively to improve the identity and brand recognition of the World Heritage Site.		
Explore opportunities to develop branding, logo and guidelines for their use.	Short	Cadw/Visit Wales	A brand logo and guidelines created and adopted.	There is widespread awareness of the WHS identity amongst local businesses and the wider community.
Policy 7C		Cadw, local authorities, Snowdonia National Park Authority and key public and private sector partners will work together to deliver a coherent annual programme of events.		
Undertake joint planning to develop annual programmes of events including specific activities on promoting and supporting the Welsh language.	Ongoing	Cadw/partners	Annual event meeting.	Improved awareness amongst residents and visitors that the four locations make up a single WHS.
Encourage all partners to be aware and promote programme of events across all marketing platforms.	Ongoing	WHS steering group/ Visit Wales/local businesses	Number of visitors to events.	There are increased visitor numbers at all four locations.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 7D		Cadw and partners will work with Visit Wales to explore relevant marketing opportunities.		
Undertake relevant discussions and planning with Visit Wales to identify and promote the WHS as a key part of the evolving 'routes' approach.	Short to medium	Cadw/Visit Wales	WHS promoted by Visit Wales in its 'routes' approach.	Increased awareness of WHS.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 8		Encourage greater use of sustainable modes of travel as a means of visiting each of the destinations within the World Heritage Site without detriment to the qualities that demonstrate the uniqueness of the World Heritage Site on an international level. ▽		
Policy 8A		The use of sustainable methods of travel to visit the castles and towns of the World Heritage Site will be encouraged.		
Develop a transport baseline for the whole WHS which identifies how people arrive and travel between locations, and then undertake regular surveys.	Short to medium	LAs/SNPA	Baseline document to inform future strategy development.	There is an increase in the number of WHS visitors using sustainable modes of travel, resulting in a reduction in traffic congestion.
Bring together coach, bus and rail operators to discuss joined-up approach to sustainable travel in north Wales and opportunities for individual attractions.	Short to medium	Cadw/Welsh Government transport/ LAs/SNPA/transport operators	Opportunities to promote sustainable travel identified.	As above.
Review opportunities for joint ticketing with partners/transport providers.	Short	Cadw/public transport operators	Joint ticketing initiative.	As above.
Explore opportunities for waterborne transport, and for enhancing links with existing walking and cycling routes.	Short to medium	All partners	Number of new or improved sustainable transport routes.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 8B		Innovative ways to manage car parking during the peak visitor season to alleviate congestion will be explored, including park-and-ride, coach drop-off facilities and shared parking arrangements.		
Prepare draft parking strategy for one of the four towns and pilot implementation.	Medium	LAs/SNPA	Strategy adopted and put into practice.	Current parking issues are alleviated.
Develop improved public transport infrastructure/parking/drop-off arrangements.	Medium	LAs/SNPA	Number of improved public transport, parking and drop-off facilities.	As above.
Identify opportunities within each town where visitor parking can be shared with parking for other uses and explore feasibility with landowners.	Medium	Cadw/LAs/SNPA	Number of shared parking opportunities identified and number of spaces provided.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 9		Raise awareness and promote understanding of World Heritage amongst a range of audiences, including local communities, engendering pride in their unique culture and heritage. ▽		
Policy 9A		Active programmes of engagement will be delivered to raise awareness and provide learning opportunities.		
Create annual action plan linked to existing community engagement strategy.	Short	Cadw	Action plan is created. Number of engagement opportunities per annum. New organisations engaged.	There is increased engagement over the lifetime of the management plan with new and existing organisations.
Policy 9B		Learning and skill development opportunities through volunteering will be encouraged.		
Identify range of volunteering opportunities.	Medium	Cadw	Increased number of volunteers.	More volunteering opportunities are created and a culture of volunteering within the WHS is created.
Promote volunteering opportunities through the medium of Welsh.	Medium	Cadw	As above.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Policy 9C		A clear lifelong learning approach to improve understanding and enjoyment of the World Heritage Site will be adopted.		
Develop Youth Ambassador Scheme with key local partners.	Short to medium	Cadw/LAs and SNPA	Audience development plan prepared for the WHS.	There is increased engagement over the lifetime of the management plan with children and young people.
Improve and develop direct links with local schools to help deliver key curriculum or school development activities (such as participation within Pioneer areas).	Medium	Cadw lead Partners: local schools	Tailored education programme established.	As above.
Continue to deliver World Heritage facilitated programme.	Ongoing	Cadw	Increased number of educational events undertaken for individual stakeholder groups.	As above.
Policy 9D		Collaborative opportunities for learning will be established through links with other World Heritage Sites (especially in Wales) and World Heritage UK.		
Maintain links with other WHS and World Heritage UK, including attendance at conferences, training events.	Ongoing	Cadw	Participation in other WHS and World Heritage UK events and input into best-practice guidance.	Best-practice guidance shared and implemented.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 10		To develop improved understanding of the World Heritage Site and help better inform its future management. ▽		
Policy 10A		A programme of broad research will be prepared for the World Heritage Site.		
Preparation of research plan for the WHS.	Short to medium	Cadw	Research plan developed.	Improved understanding of the WHS and its significance.
Policy 10B		Cadw will work closely with relevant institutions and organisations (for example, the Gwynedd Archaeological Trust, universities and civic societies) to share knowledge and research findings.		
Work with appropriate organisations and develop research links.	Short	Cadw and research partners	Number of new joint research projects.	Links are developed with academic institutions and there is greater awareness of research findings.
Disseminate research to wider audiences.	Ongoing	Cadw and research partners.	Number of events held to disseminate research findings. Number of papers, articles, books and other publications.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 11		Identify, remove and/or mitigate risks to the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site. ↴		
Policy 11A		Comprehensive risk management will be fully integrated into management arrangements.		
Prepare a risk management strategy in accordance with best-practice guidance.	Short	Cadw	Risk management strategy in place.	The future of the WHS is safeguarded through appropriate risk management.
Prepare a risk register setting out risks, mitigation, potential actions and management.	Short	Cadw	Risk register in place.	As above.
Review and update risk register regularly.	Ongoing	Cadw/WHS steering group	Annual review of risk register and implementation of actions.	As above.
Policy 11B		The likely future impact of climate change on the World Heritage Site will be reviewed and assessed regularly.		
Consider the findings of the latest report on climate change by the Welsh Historic Environment Group (based on the strategic approach for assessing and addressing the potential impact of climate change on the historic environment ²) and build into agreed risk management approach.	Ongoing	Cadw/national agencies	Inclusion of actions and mitigation measures in risk register.	The future of the WHS is safeguarded through appropriate risk management.

² A Strategic Approach for Assessing and Addressing the Potential Impact of Climate Change on the Historic Environment, The Countryside and Community Research Institute, Dyfed Archeological Trust and the Centre for Environmental Change and Quaternary Research, University of Gloucestershire for the Historic Environment Group — Climate Change Subgroup, 2012. www.cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Climate_Change_on_the_Historic_Environment_of_Wales_EN_CY.pdf

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years</i> <i>Medium term: 3 to 5 years</i> <i>Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Work with partners such as Natural Resources Wales to develop options and sensitive mitigation measures as and when required.	Ongoing	Cadw/LPAs/Natural Resources Wales	Regular interaction and improved working relationships with national agencies.	As above.

Actions	Timescale <i>Short term: 1 to 2 years Medium term: 3 to 5 years Long term: more than 5 years</i>	Responsibility <i>(Resources are within existing budgets of individual organisations unless otherwise stated.)</i>	Measurable Outputs	Outcome
Objective 12		Recognise the importance of nurturing existing partnerships and the development of new partnerships, as appropriate, to support the continued management of the World Heritage Site. ▸		
Policy 12A		The effectiveness of the World Heritage Site management structure and membership representation will be reviewed regularly.		
Consider key management structure requirements on an annual basis, including a review of membership.	Ongoing/annual	Cadw	Feedback from members and subsequent report.	WHS management structure remains effective and is representative of different sectors, organisations, communities and interests.
Policy 12B		Appropriate and effective monitoring and review of the management plan will take place to supplement the UNESCO periodic review programme.		
Prepare a planned programme of monitoring reports and annual reviews.	Short	Cadw	Monitoring reports.	The future of the WHS is safeguarded through effective and proper management.
Undertake comprehensive review of the management plan within 3–5 years of publication.	Medium to long	Cadw	Completion of revised management plan. Relevant information to participate in UNESCO periodic review.	As above.

DYMA BROFLEN O'CH GWAITH

COFIWCH EI DARLLEN YN OFALUS CYN EI HARWYDDO

HERE IS A PROOF OF YOUR WORK

PLEASE CHECK CAREFULLY BEFORE SIGNING OFF

Nodwch unrhyw newidiadau gan ddefnyddio'r nodwedd sylw yn Adobe Acrobat. Gadewch i ni wybod pan fyddwch yn hollol hapus gyda'r gwaith ac fe anfonwn y copi terfynol/ ffeil y we atoch.



Mae'r delweddau stoc yn y broflen hon yn enghreifftiau yn unig, ac efallai nad ydynt wedi eu prynu. Felly, peidiwch â defnyddio nac anfon y broflen hon ymlaen heb ganiatâd y Tîm Dylunio Canolog.

Please use the comments feature in Adobe Acrobat to mark up any amends. If you are happy with the file and have no further amends let us know and we will send you the final print / web file.

Stock images used in this proof are for illustration purposes only and may not have been purchased. Do not use, extract or forward images contained in this proof without permission from the Central Design Team.

Llenwch y blychau isod / Please complete the fields below:

Enw/Name:

Est / Ext:

Angen gwneud newidiadau
Amends required

Dim angen gwneud rhagor o newidiadau
No further amends required

**ARGRAFFWR: NID PDF AR GYFER ARGRAFFU YW HWN.
CYSYLLTWCH Â: DESIGN@WALES.GSI.GOV.UK**

**PRINTER: THIS IS NOT A PRINT PDF.
PLEASE CONTACT: DESIGN@WALES.GSI.GOV.UK**



Llywodraeth Cymru
Welsh Government

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Management Plan 2018–28

November 2017



 **Cadw**

Cadw is the Welsh Government's historic environment service, working for an accessible and well-protected historic environment for Wales.

Welsh Government
Historic Environment Service (Cadw)
Plas Carew
Unit 5/7 Cefn Coed
Parc Nantgarw
Cardiff CF15 7QQ

Telephone: 03000 256000
Email: cadw@gov.wales
www.gov.wales/cadw

Cover: From top to bottom, these images of Beaumaris Castle, Caernarfon Castle, Conwy Castle and Harlech Castle were drawn by celebrated Welsh artist, Kyffin Williams, KBE, RA (1918–2006). They appeared on the covers of 'souvenir editions' of the guidebooks for the castles written by Alan Phillips and produced by the Information Branch of the Ministry of Public Buildings and Works. These guidebooks were first published in the 1961 by HMSO.¹

Issued 10
Digital ISBN 978-1-7-78859-485-1

OGL © Crown Copyright 2017, Welsh Government, Cadw, except where specified. WG31143

Mae'r ddogfen yma hefyd ar gael yn Gymraeg./This document is also available in Welsh.

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

Where third party material has been identified, permission from the respective copyright holder must be sought, including Archaeology Wales, British Library, British Museum, Bridgeman Images, Conwy County Borough Council, Conwy Gwledd Feast, Crown Lodge, Harlech, Gwynedd Archaeological Trust, Gwynedd Archive Services, Huntington Art Collections, San Marino, California, Leeds Art Gallery, Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru/National Library of Wales, National Museum of Wales, National Railway Museum/Science and Society Picture Library, Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales (RCAHMW), Skyscan Balloon Photography, The National Archives, Victoria and Albert Museum, Visit Wales and Chris Mahon, World Heritage UK.

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Management Plan 2018–28



Llywodraeth Cymru
Welsh Government



CYNGOR SIR
YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY
COUNTY COUNCIL



Foreword

Wales's World Heritage Sites are cultural assets of which we are right to be proud. The Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site is truly of international significance — images of these iconic places are recognised right across the globe.

The monuments have played an important part in our history for nearly a millennium and have earned their place in our national identity. They are more than architectural masterpieces: they represent a response to the power and strength of the Welsh princes, and they formed one of the biggest building projects of the Middle Ages. Throughout their rich and often conflicted history they have shaped the communities that surround them, and played a part on the wider stage of British and European history.

In more recent times, they have assumed a major economic and social role for their local communities and the wider region. Each year the World Heritage Site has over half a million visitors and contributes more than £30m to the Welsh economy. Significant investment over the last decade, such as the world-class visitor facilities at Harlech Castle, is helping to enhance these economic benefits.

But, above all, the World Heritage Site is about people. It is important to remember that behind the figures, many people are enjoying and understanding our heritage. There is good work being taken forward through several cultural heritage and lifelong learning programmes to engage with local communities and provide life-changing experiences for children, young people and families alike. The World Heritage Site also provides dynamic spaces for events, ranging from small community-led initiatives to major commemorative occasions, such as the poignant Weeping Window display of poppies at Caernarfon Castle which honoured those who gave their lives in the First World War.

Events and activities such as these help to challenge our perception of these iconic locations and promote Wales's modern identity through our distinctive Welsh language and culture. All of this puts the World Heritage Site at the heart of its communities.

The sustainable management of the World Heritage Site for future generations is therefore vital. The previous management plan served the site well. It has been well protected and conserved, and some important developments have been achieved over the last ten years. However, it is now time for a new plan that responds to new challenges and opportunities to ensure that this globally significant heritage asset can continue to realise its full economic and social potential.

The management plan is based firmly on a collaborative partnership approach, reflecting the requirements of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 and our 'Prosperity for All' strategy. The management plan also sets out an ambitious way forward to protect and enhance the towns and communities that surround the monuments and which have such an important relationship with them.

I would like to thank all those involved in shaping and developing the management plan, including the many stakeholders and members of the public who participated in the various events held over the last few months, and the members of the steering group. I am also grateful for the formal commitment of the four main partners, who are joint signatories to this management plan, which clearly shows a new collaborative approach to the management of the World Heritage Site.

I am confident that the broader partnership that will exist between traditional partners, businesses and the community can make a real difference in delivering positive outcomes over the next five to ten years.

Ken Skates

Cabinet Secretary for Economy and Infrastructure

Contents

I	Introduction	9
1.1	What is a World Heritage Site?	9
1.2	Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd	11
1.3	The Role of the Management Plan	13
1.4	Taking the Management Plan Forward	15
1.5	Stakeholder and Community Involvement	16
1.6	Achievements of the Previous Management Plan	18
1.7	Structure of the Management Plan	22
2	Statement of Significance	25
2.1	Introduction	25
2.2	Statement of Outstanding Universal Value	26
2.2.1	Brief Synthesis	26
2.2.2	Criteria for Inscription	27
2.2.3	Integrity	28
2.2.4	Authenticity	29
2.2.5	Protection and Management Requirements	30
2.3	Key Attributes	31
2.4	Setting of the World Heritage Site	32
2.4.1	Essential Setting	33
2.4.2	Significant Views	33
2.4.3	Sense of Arrival	52
2.4.4	Setting in Summary	52
3	The World Heritage Site in Context	55
3.1	One Site, Four Places	55
3.2	Historical Overview of the Four Sites	59
3.2.1	Beaumaris	59
3.2.2	Caernarfon	61
3.2.3	Conwy	64
3.2.4	Harlech	67
3.2.5	Research and Understanding	69
3.3	The Setting of the Castles	73
3.3.1	The Relationship between the Castles and their Towns	73
3.3.2	The Relationship with the Coast	75
3.3.3	The Relationship with the Natural Environment	77

3.4	Social and Cultural Context	79
3.4.1	The Conservation Movement	79
3.4.2	Commemorative Role	81
3.4.3	Welsh Nationalism and Cultural Identity	82
3.4.4	The Growth of Tourism	83
3.4.5	The Castles in Art, Music and Literature	84
3.5	The World Heritage Site Communities Today	88
4	Management Needs and Policy Framework	91
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Vision and Overarching Principles for the World Heritage Site	91
4.3	The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015	92
4.4	Underlying Principles	93
4.4.1	Protecting Outstanding Universal Value	93
4.4.2	Shared Responsibility	94
4.4.3	Community Engagement	95
4.4.4	Welsh Language and Culture	96
4.5	Policy Framework	97
4.6	Objectives	98
4.6.1	Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: Conservation of the Historic Fabric	99
4.6.2	Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: Archaeological Heritage	101
4.6.3	Safeguarding the Setting of the World Heritage Site	103
4.6.4	Sense of Place	115
4.6.5	Local Economy and Regeneration	118
4.6.6	The Visitor Experience	121
4.6.7	Marketing and Promotion	126
4.6.8	Sustainable Travel	128
4.6.9	Education and Lifelong Learning	130
4.6.10	Research and Understanding	133
4.6.11	Risk Management	135
4.6.12	Management Process	136
4.7	Action Plan	137
5	Monitoring	139

Appendices	141
1 Mechanisms for Safeguarding and Protecting the World Heritage Site	142
A1.1 National Legislation	142
A1.2 Local Planning System	143
2 Outline Character Statements	145
A2.1 Beaumaris	146
A2.1.1 Historical Background	146
A2.1.2 Historical Topography	148
A2.1.3 The Character of Building	150
A2.1.4 Statement of Significance	151
A2.2 Caernarfon	152
A2.2.1 Historical Background	152
A2.2.2 Historical Topography	154
A2.2.3 The Character of Building	156
A2.2.4 Statement of Significance	157
A2.3 Conwy	158
A2.3.1 Historical Background	158
A2.3.2 Historical Topography	159
A2.3.3 The Character of Building	163
A2.3.4 Statement of Significance	164
A2.4 Harlech	165
A2.4.1 Historical Background	165
A2.4.2 Historical Topography	168
A2.4.3 The Character of Building	170
A2.4.4 Statement of Significance	172
3 Maps and Plans of the Castles and Town Walls	173
A3.1 Beaumaris Castle	174
A3.2 Caernarfon Castle	175
A3.3 Caernarfon Town Walls	176
A3.4 Conwy Castle	177
A3.5 Conwy Town Walls	178
A3.6 Harlech Castle	179
4 References, Further Information and Contacts	180
A4.1 References	181
A4.2 Further Information	185
A4.3 Contacts	188



World Heritage Sites are 'places of Outstanding Universal Value to the whole of humanity'. The Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site includes Conwy Castle (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).

I Introduction ▸

I.1 What is a World Heritage Site? ▸

The UNESCO World Heritage Committee defines World Heritage Sites as 'places of Outstanding Universal Value to the whole of humanity.'² This means that their cultural and/or natural significance is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations of all humanity.' There is no higher recognition of heritage value. The inscription of three World Heritage Sites in Wales (Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd, Blaenavon Industrial Landscape and Pontcysyllte Aqueduct and Canal) represent Wales's global importance in the history of medieval military architecture and the origins of industry. Welsh Government guidance emphasises that the protection and conservation of World Heritage Sites is fundamental to fostering better understanding of their history and what makes them internationally significant.³

Pontcysyllte Aqueduct and Canal World Heritage Site (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



The Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) is responsible for the UK's general compliance with the UNESCO World Heritage Convention for the portfolio of 31 World Heritage Sites within the UK. DCMS liaises with the Welsh Government on the nomination, conservation and protection of World Heritage Sites in Wales. The management of specific World Heritage Sites in Wales, however, is a devolved matter and the Welsh Ministers are responsible for ensuring compliance with the convention. These functions are discharged through the Welsh Government's Historic Environment Service (Cadw).

The Welsh Government's approach to the protection and sustainable management of World Heritage Sites is based on three principles:

- the statutory designation of specific historic assets within World Heritage Sites and associated mechanisms to manage and control works
- the collaborative creation and implementation of World Heritage Site management plans to ensure the effective and active involvement of all key stakeholders
- the use of the planning system to guide appropriate development.⁴

Blaenavon Ironworks, which is part of the Blaenavon Industrial Landscape World Heritage Site (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



1.2 Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd ↗

The Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd was inscribed as a single site by UNESCO on the list of World Heritage Sites in 1986 as a cultural site of Outstanding Universal Value. The site comprises Beaumaris Castle, Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls, Conwy Castle and Town Walls, and Harlech Castle. It was amongst the first seven sites from the UK to be ascribed this level of international importance. The four locations are shown overleaf.

The decision of King Edward I of England (1272–1307), announced on 17 November 1276, to go against Llywelyn ap Gruffudd, prince of Gwynedd (d. 1282), 'as a rebel and a disturber of his peace'⁵ had, as one of its consequences, the start of a programme of castle building in Wales on an unprecedented scale. During the next 20 years, eight new castles were begun by the king, some of which were accompanied by substantial fortified towns. During the same period, royal building of some consequence also took place at four of the native Welsh castles that had fallen into the hands of the Crown, as well as several of the existing border castles from which the English operations were launched.

Harlech Castle is one of the four castles which make up the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site.



Of the eight new castles, the finest were Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech. Each was located on a coastal site in north-west Wales. Caernarfon and Conwy were equipped with new towns, each enclosed within massive walls built at the same time as the castles. Beaumaris was also accompanied by a new town, but this was not enclosed within stone walls until more than 100 years after the castle was built. Harlech also had a small new town, but this was never walled. All four castles were begun and substantially completed within the period 1283 to 1330.

Today, the World Heritage Site attracts well over half a million visitors each year and contributes some £8 million to the local economy (equivalent to over 450 jobs). The castles are well known both nationally and internationally, and contribute considerably to the appeal and interest of the area to visitors from around the world.

Appendix I summarises the existing mechanisms for safeguarding and protecting the World Heritage Site, including national legislation and local planning arrangements.

Figure 1.1: Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey of behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Welsh Government. Licence Number: 100017916 (2016).

1.3 The Role of the Management Plan ↵

UNESCO advocates the production of management plans for each World Heritage Site to bring together all responsible parties and enable a coordinated approach to site management.⁶ The production, adoption and regular review of agreed management plans for each World Heritage Site is fundamental to the approach of Cadw, partners and local communities to the protection of these important sites in Wales.⁷

The content of a management plan is governed by the unique qualities of the individual World Heritage Site.⁸ However, to meet UNESCO requirements, the management plan should provide an accurate, comprehensive and up-to-date description of the site and its setting, and explain how it is protected. The plan must present the Outstanding Universal Value and key attributes of the site. From this, the principal management needs of the site can be established.

World Heritage Site status is a material consideration when determining planning applications.⁹ The management plan therefore provides an important link between the international requirements of UNESCO on the one hand, and the aspirations and requirements of local planning authorities and communities on the other.

The quayside at Conwy, the redevelopment of which will be guided by the World Heritage Site management plan.



The primary role of the management plan is to present policies and actions designed to ensure that the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site is maintained, sustained and communicated. It can also:

- serve as a tool to help develop the identity of the World Heritage Site — what it means to communities and stakeholders today, as well as encouraging understanding of the past
- ensure a coherent approach to the management of the World Heritage Site across all four locations (and including the four local planning authorities of Conwy County Borough Council, Gwynedd Council, Isle of Anglesey County Council and the Snowdonia National Park Authority)
- form a focus for stakeholders and encourage the development of effective partnership working
- consider sustainable tourism and how this should be managed, encourage the economic and regenerative impacts that the World Heritage Site may have and play a particularly important role in culture and education.

The new management plan needs to take into account changes in national and local policy, and strategy in Wales, including the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015, the Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016, the preparation of a Historic Environment Strategy for Wales and work on local development plans.¹⁰

The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 places a core duty on public bodies (including the Welsh Ministers, local authorities and National Park authorities) to work to improve the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales. Key to its success will be the delivery of seven well-being goals with direct relevance to the World Heritage Site, including a globally responsible Wales, a Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language and a resilient Wales of cohesive communities. These objectives are recognised within the targets set for the management plan (see section 4.4).

Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls are at the heart of a modern, busy town which needs to be managed sustainably now and in the future.



1.4 Taking the Management Plan Forward ▯


Ownership and responsibility for implementation of the management plan sits with a multi-organisational steering group. The steering group comprises officials from Cadw and from the four local planning authorities. Wider interests include representation from Visit Wales, community councils, and the business and private sectors. A representative from ICOMOS-UK (International Council on Monuments and Sites UK) also sits on the steering group. Community representation is made primarily through elected officials and through the effective delivery of the community engagement strategy.

It is the responsibility of the steering group to establish and maintain a set of working principles. These should include open and transparent working and recognition of the value of effective engagement and participation in helping to deliver good outcomes. As well as having overall responsibility for implementing the management plan, the steering group is responsible for monitoring progress against the actions set out in the plan, and for the presentation of periodic reports to UNESCO.

Strategic direction is provided to the steering group by a World Heritage Site Board, which comprises senior Cadw and Welsh Government staff as well as local government representatives. The board meets on an annual basis. The steering group will establish a series of themed subgroups to take forward the delivery of specific actions and tasks set out in the management plan. Subgroups will include planning and conservation, and economy and regeneration. The subgroups will comprise representatives from stakeholder organisations.

The work of the steering group is further supported by the World Heritage Site coordinator. This is a key post, ensuring close collaboration with stakeholders, promoting the World Heritage Site as an area with a distinct identity and facilitating the delivery of the management plan. The management and governance structure is illustrated in Figure 1.2.

Figure 1.2: The Management and Governance of the World Heritage Site.



1.5 Stakeholder and Community Involvement ▸

The success of a World Heritage Site — in terms of protection, conservation and presentation — depends on the commitment of partners to a shared vision which is backed up by actions that are both achievable and deliverable.

A key priority for UNESCO and ICOMOS is to encourage the social benefit of World Heritage Sites through increasing public awareness, community participation and international cooperation. It is also important to transmit the significance of the World Heritage Site through a celebration of cultural distinctiveness. Developing civic pride in the unique communities that live and work within the World Heritage Site is a critical starting point to transform their social and economic circumstances, and to act as a driver for economic regeneration, sustainable tourism and social inclusion.

Community and stakeholder engagement is integral to the continued protection, sustainable development and management of the World Heritage Site. A Stakeholder and Community Engagement Strategy will be formally published for the World Heritage Site and this will evolve over the course of the management plan period to ensure that efforts to improve engagement and understanding with local communities continue.

A Fusion event held to encourage community involvement in Caernarfon Castle (see section 4.6.9).



This management plan has been developed in a participatory way with local communities and stakeholders. A series of consultation events was held to raise awareness of the World Heritage Site, and to inform and involve people in the management plan process. Stakeholder forums and public exhibition events explored not only issues of core significance affecting the built structures of the castles and town walls, but also examined what links have been created between the monuments and communities to engender ownership and opportunity. Overarching themes to arise from the consultation and engagement process included the need for more dialogue with communities, the importance of a joined-up approach between partner organisations, the fostering of new partnerships (for example, with landowners or the private sector), as well as linking with other opportunities that exist in each of the four towns and the wider region.

Critically, the notion of stewardship of the World Heritage Site and of what the site means for the townspeople and wider communities needs reinforcement, both through the preparation of the management plan itself and in the wider delivery of projects and actions.

One of the community events held at Caernarfon Castle as part of the 2012 Cultural Olympiad.



1.6 Achievements of the Previous Management Plan ▯

Many of the actions and ambitions of the previous management plan, which has been in operation since 2004, have been achieved. A quinquennial inspection of Beaumaris Castle, Conwy Castle and Town Walls, Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls, and Harlech Castle was completed in March 2016. This used visual inspection and aerial drones to capture high-resolution images of out-of-reach areas. The inspection found the overall condition of the castles and town walls to be good, which is a positive reflection on the rolling campaigns of repair and conservation work that have been carried out. During the plan period, major conservation has been undertaken around the circuit of Caernarfon and Conwy town walls, Conwy Castle barbican and interior features within Harlech Castle towers. New railings and access have been installed in Conwy Castle and along the town walls.

The process of understanding the character of each of the four towns in more detail began with the urban character study for the Caernarfon Waterfront area in 2010.¹¹ This has continued with the preparation of brief character statements for all four towns as the basis for more detailed studies in future (see Appendix 2).

Improved visitor facilities at Harlech include better access via a new bridge (left) and the refurbishment of the Castle Hotel to provide a visitor centre and holiday apartments (right).



A conference was held in 2007 to mark the seventh centenary of the death of King Edward I which drew together recent research about the Edwardian castles and towns in Wales. The proceedings of the conference were subsequently published in 2010 as *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales*.¹² This marked the greatest recent step forward in research and thinking about the effect that the building of the castles had upon Wales in the past, present and future. The papers demonstrate a more holistic understanding of the Edwardian castles and their context, including their symbolism and impact on Welsh society and its princes.

New visitor facilities have included the refurbishment and reopening of the visitor centre at Conwy in 2012, the development of the former Castle Hotel at Harlech into a new visitor centre with cafe and holiday apartments in 2016, a new ticket entrance building to Caernarfon Castle in 2015 and public access to the majority of the town wall circuit in Conwy. Accessible and imaginatively designed entrance bridges have also been created at both Caernarfon and Harlech castles.

The completion of an interpretation plan for the World Heritage Site in 2010¹³ informed new and visually stimulating interpretation. This has now been installed at each of the four castles, including at Beaumaris (2016) which uses computer-generated images to show how the castle might have looked if it had been completed. Research undertaken during the previous management plan period has also informed the preparation of new guidebooks for Conwy, Beaumaris and Harlech castles.¹⁴

The new visitor entrance to Caernarfon Castle is designed to welcome visitors but the building itself has minimal impact on the medieval fabric (left and right).



Links with local communities have continued to be developed through a wide variety of events and projects. Notable successes have been the programmes of activities developed and implemented successfully as part of the Welsh Cultural Olympiad project during the four years leading up to the London Olympics in 2012. This included the 'Men of Harlech' rock opera, based on the life of Owain Glyndŵr at Harlech, and 'Cipio'r Castell', which featured works of art, music and theatre at Caernarfon Castle.

Protection of the setting of the monuments has generally been achieved successfully through planning development management and appropriate policy, despite pressures for development and change at each of the four locations to different degrees. However, the large-scale waterfront development at Victoria Dock has had an impact on the setting of Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls, which had previously dominated views across the Menai Strait from the southernmost corner of Anglesey. Although the original proposals for the development were scaled back significantly in terms of their height and massing in an attempt to reduce the impact on the setting of Caernarfon Castle, especially when viewed from the castle itself, this remains a controversial development. Whilst the economy of Caernarfon may have experienced a boost as a result of Victoria Dock — through cultural, retail and residential uses (and therefore employment and expenditure to the local economy) — the new buildings are seen by many to dominate the distant coastline and skyline when viewed from Anglesey.

New interpretation to improve visitors' understanding of Beaumaris Castle includes a digital projection and soft play using medieval-style building blocks (left and right).



UNESCO's World Heritage Committee has established a six-yearly periodic reporting cycle for the purpose of assessing the state of conservation of World Heritage Sites. This determines whether the Outstanding Universal Value of World Heritage Sites has been maintained over time, as well as sharing experiences and good practice. The periodic review for the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site was prepared for UNESCO in 2013¹⁵ and identified a number of issues, including that:

- World Heritage status has benefitted education, information and awareness building activities, but there is room for further improvement
- increasing community awareness and understanding of the World Heritage Site at each of the four locations is important
- awareness of local residents/communities and landowners of the boundaries of the World Heritage Site should be improved
- the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site is adequately presented and interpreted, but improvements could be made.

A massive wooden guard, which oversees the entrance to the inner ward at Conwy Castle, helps visitors to understand how the ruined buildings were once used.



Owain Glyndŵr brought to life as a celebrated rock star in one of the Welsh Cultural Olympiad projects of 2012.



1.7 Structure of the Management Plan ↵

A wealth of information already exists about the castles and town walls of Caernarfon and Conwy and the castles of Beaumaris and Harlech in historical accounts, research and publications. It is unnecessary to replicate detailed background information here (for example, the history of the site or technical reports about its condition). Instead, the management plan sets out to describe simply and effectively:

- the attributes of the World Heritage Site that contribute to its Outstanding Universal Value and which must be protected in order to retain its significance
- issues and opportunities facing the future management of the World Heritage Site
- the policies and actions that will guide this process.

On this basis, the structure of the management plan follows this outline:

Chapter 2 sets out the significance of the World Heritage Site, including the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, a summary of the key attributes of the World Heritage Site, the conditions of authenticity and integrity that need to be maintained, and the significance attached to the setting and views of the World Heritage Site.

Chapter 3 provides interpretation of the key attributes of the World Heritage Site, including an outline history of each castle since its construction, the results of recent research that refine and contribute to the understanding of the World Heritage Site, a description of its recent history (passing into the care of Cadw on behalf of the Welsh Ministers), the conservation movement, the growth in tourism, the role of the castles in art, music and literature, and a summary of the social, economic and environmental context.

Chapter 4 comprises the management plan and policy framework for the World Heritage Site, including the vision and overarching principles, issues facing the World Heritage Site and corresponding objectives and policies. The resulting action plan sets out the actions that will guide the management of the World Heritage Site over the next five years, including lead and partner organisations, and timescales (short, medium and long term).

Chapter 5 describes monitoring arrangements.



Caernarfon Castle from along the River Seiont.



King Edward I, who ordered the building of the castles and town walls that make up the World Heritage Site, is shown in this late thirteenth-century manuscript with his senior churchmen (British Library, London, UK © British Library Board. All Rights Reserved/Bridgeman Images, Cotton Vitellius, Ms. AXIII, f. 6v).

2 Statement of Significance ▸

2.1 Introduction ▸

This chapter summarises the value and significance of the four castles, two sets of town walls and their individual settings. It includes the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the World Heritage Site, the criteria by which it was inscribed and an analysis of how the site meets UNESCO requirements of integrity and authenticity.¹⁶

The castles of Beaumaris and Harlech, and the fortified complexes of Caernarfon and Conwy are located in the former principality of Gwynedd in north Wales. These extremely well-preserved monuments are examples of the colonisation and fortification works carried out throughout the reign of King Edward I and of the military architecture of the time.

The castles were not merely garrison strongholds, but also seats of government. They were symbols of power and, along with their walled towns, served as centres from which English influence was disseminated throughout Wales. The king was the driving force behind the castle-building campaign but the execution was the responsibility of a cadre of experts organised by James of St George (about 1230–1309), master of the king's works, who came from Savoy. At the time of inscription, Master James was described by many historians as the greatest military architect of the time. Edward's meticulous building accounts have resulted in a collection of archives that reveal in unparalleled detail the huge administrative task of building these castles. They also provide a human dimension to illuminate the story preserved in stone — we know who worked on the castles, where they came from and what they were paid.

The World Heritage Site is a masterpiece of human creative genius, which was directed by Master James of St George — master of the king's works in Wales. This manuscript illustration shows a king in discussion with his master mason while building work continues in the background (British Library, London, UK © British Library Board. All Rights Reserved/ Bridgeman Images, Cotton Nero Ms. D1, f. 23v).



The castles and town walls represent the finest surviving collection of late thirteenth-century military architecture in Europe. By the nineteenth century they were valued as picturesque ruins and in the twentieth century they were taken into State care and conserved as monuments of national importance. They are now cared for by Cadw on behalf of the Welsh Ministers.

2.2 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

At the time of inscription, a statement of significance was produced for the World Heritage Site. Subsequently, in line with Article 1 of the UNESCO *Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage*,¹⁷ all existing World Heritage Sites were asked to produce a Statement of Outstanding Universal Value. The retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site was adopted by the UNESCO World Heritage Committee in 2013.

2.2.1 Brief Synthesis

The four castles of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy, Harlech and the attendant fortified towns at Caernarfon and Conwy are the finest examples of late thirteenth-century and early fourteenth-century military architecture in Europe, as demonstrated through their completeness, pristine state, evidence for organised domestic space, and extraordinary repertory of their medieval form.

The castles as a stylistically coherent group are a supreme example of medieval military architecture designed and directed by James of St George, King Edward I of England's chief architect, and the greatest military architect of the age. The extensive and detailed contemporary technical, social and economic documentation of the castles, and the survival of adjacent fortified towns at Caernarfon and Conwy, make them one of the major references of medieval history.

The castles of Beaumaris and Harlech are unique artistic achievements for the way they combine characteristic thirteenth-century double-wall structures with a central plan, and for the beauty of their proportions and masonry.

The documentary records for the building of the castles and town walls of the World Heritage Site provide a clear account of who was paid for what work, where and when. The document shown here refer to works at Beaumaris Castle (The National Archives: E 3721158).



2.2.2 Criteria for Inscription ▯

Criterion (i) to represent a masterpiece of human creative genius

Beaumaris and Harlech represent a unique achievement in that they combine the double-wall concentric structure, which is characteristic of late thirteenth-century military architecture, with a highly concerted central plan and in terms of the beauty of their proportions and masonry. These are masterpieces of James of St George who, in addition to being the king's chief architect, was constable of Harlech from 1290 to 1293.

Criterion (ii) to bear a unique or at least exceptional testimony to a cultural tradition or to a civilisation which is living or which has disappeared

The royal castles of the ancient principality of Gwynedd bear a unique testimony to construction in the Middle Ages in so far as this royal commission is fully documented. The accounts by Taylor in Colvin (ed.), *The History of the King's Works*, London (1963),¹⁸ specify the origins of the workmen, who were brought in from all regions of England, and describe the use of quarried stone on the site. They outline financing of the construction works and provide an understanding of the daily life of the workmen and population and thus constitute one of the major references of medieval history.

Criterion (iv) to be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history

The castles and fortifications of Gwynedd are the finest examples of late thirteenth-century and early fourteenth-century military architecture in Europe. Their construction, begun in 1283 and at times hindered by the Welsh uprisings of Madog ap Llewelyn in 1294, continued until 1330 in Caernarfon and 1331 in Beaumaris. They have only undergone minimal restoration and provide, in their pristine state, a veritable repertory of medieval architectural form: barbicans, drawbridges, fortified gates, chicanes, redoubts, dungeons, towers and curtain walls.

The castles are the finest examples of late thirteenth- and early fourteenth-century military architecture in Europe. This aerial view shows the walls-within-walls design of Harlech Castle, (Skyscan Balloon Photography for Cadw).



2.2.3 Integrity ▯

The individual castles possess a high degree of integrity with the coherence of their planning, design and quality of construction being undiminished.

The four castles include all the medieval defensive structures within the property boundary, but not the planned settlements or waterfronts. All the defensive attributes are within the boundary of the World Heritage Site, but as the towns were an integral part of their defensive, administrative and economic arrangements, and their waterside position contributed to their defence and trade, the full range of attributes could be seen to extend beyond the narrow boundaries.

The essential relationship between each castle and their coastal landscape remains intact and in two cases the intimate interrelationship of castle and town remains a striking feature of the present-day urban landscape. Although there is no formal buffer zone, the value of the wider townscape, the essential setting and significant views from each castle are incorporated into the management plan.

Potential threats to the integrity of the site could come from unsympathetic development on the town/landward side of the castles, but also from coastal or off-shore development within the setting of the castles. There is a need to protect the setting of the castles to ensure their relationship with their hinterland and coast remains undiminished.

The relationship of Beaumaris Castle with the Menai Strait was crucial to the supply and functioning of the castle and the town built alongside it (© Crown copyright: RCAHMMW).



2.2.4 Authenticity ▯

The authenticity of all four medieval castles and of the two town wall circuits has been maintained despite some reconstruction in the late nineteenth century at Caernarfon. During the last 100 years, the conservation of the castles and town walls has been undertaken following the philosophy of 'conserve as found', with minimal intervention or intrusive modification. The plans, form, materials and component features of the castles are largely unaltered from their medieval form. They clearly still display the wide repertory of medieval architectural forms, including barbicans, drawbridges, fortified gates, chicanes, redoubts, dungeons, towers and curtain walls.

The town walls at Caernarfon and Conwy remain largely unchanged and provide an exceptionally rare, almost complete, enclosure of their historic townscapes.

The overall setting of the four castles remains largely intact — with the exception of development on the Morfa at Harlech and some new development at Victoria Dock in Caernarfon — and thus they retain their ability to present very clearly their scale, defensive power and intimidating presence, as well as their beauty and prominence in the coastal landscape.

The circuit of the town walls, as well as the castle at Conwy, is remarkably complete and unaltered (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



2.2.5 Protection and Management Requirements ▯

The UK Government protects World Heritage Sites by the statutory protection of individual sites and buildings and by spatial planning and guidance. The four castles and two town wall circuits are protected by statutory scheduling as monuments of national importance and by being in State care. As such, they are maintained by the relevant conservation body within government according to current conservation principles. All four are protected by local plans, planning guidance and their World Heritage management plan which is reviewed regularly. Harlech is within the Snowdonia National Park while all four are within conservation areas that cover the immediate setting of the castles and town walls. Their wider setting has been defined as 'essential settings' and key views are protected. Evaluation of boundaries will be undertaken as part of the management plan review process.

These measures combine to ensure that the castles are subject to rigorous controls over development that could potentially impact upon them or their setting. Shoreline Management Plans and the Environment Agency's¹⁹ Flood Risk Assessments help protect the sites from coastal erosion or unsympathetic coastal development, thus keeping intact the important coastal views and sightlines.

Tourism and visitor management is directed by the Welsh Government's Historic Environment Strategy and implemented through the World Heritage Site management plan, which includes policies for promotion, access, interpretation and visitor management.

The World Heritage Site Steering Group, which includes the participation of site owners, local authorities, government and the general public, has responsibility for the implementation of the management plan that ensures that conservation, development control, educational use and public accessibility is maintained.

Tourism management implemented through the World Heritage Site management plan ranges from the care of visitors on individual sites to coach travel arrangements (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



2.3 Key Attributes ↵

UNESCO recommends that all World Heritage Sites should identify the attributes which express the Outstanding Universal Value of the site. Attributes can include not only physical features such as the form and design, materials and substances, traditions and techniques, but also intangible elements such as use and function, language, spirit and feeling.²⁰ Whilst attributes such as spirit and feeling do not lend themselves easily to practical application, they are nevertheless important indicators of character and sense of place; for example, in communities maintaining tradition and cultural continuity. The key attributes of the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site are summarised below and described in more detail in Chapter 3.

The four castles of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech, and the attendant fortified towns at Caernarfon and Conwy are the finest examples of late thirteenth-century and early fourteenth-century military architecture in Europe.

As a stylistically coherent group, the castles are a supreme example of medieval military architecture designed and directed by James of St George, King Edward I of England's chief architect, and the greatest military architect of the age.

The relationships between the castles and their settings, including all four towns, and the coast.

The extensive and detailed contemporary technical, social and economic documentation of the castles is one of the major references of medieval history.

The castles of Beaumaris and Harlech are unique artistic achievements for the way they combine characteristic thirteenth-century concentric — walls-within-walls — structures with a central plan and for the beauty of their proportions and masonry.

The influence of the castles on Welsh culture, language and distinctiveness.

One of the key attributes of the castles is their close relationship with the coast as shown by this view of Caernarfon from across the Menai Strait.



2.4 Setting of the World Heritage Site ▸

Setting is the surroundings in which a historic asset is understood, experienced and appreciated, embracing present and past relationships to the surrounding landscape.²¹ It often extends beyond the property boundary and into the surrounding landscape or townscape. In *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, setting is described as more than simply the immediate surroundings of a site; it can also relate to how the site was intended to fit into the landscape, the views from it and how the site is seen from the surrounding area.²²

Protecting the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site means defining and protecting its setting. In addition to the general consideration of setting that is applicable to all historic assets, the setting for the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site also includes three specific elements — essential setting, significant views into and out of the World Heritage Site, and a sense of arrival. These elements, as well as the broader interpretation of setting, are explained and described below.

The setting of historic assets combines physical and less tangible elements including functional, sensory perceptions or historical, artistic, literary and scenic associations. These can change through time as the historic asset and its surroundings change and develop. The setting of the World Heritage Site includes significant aspects of its landscape and townscape that add to the way in which the site is experienced and understood. This may include areas and places that form part of the essential setting (see section 2.4.1), but may also extend beyond it. It may, for example, include areas with a functional relationship to the World Heritage Site (such as the towns themselves, waterfronts and historic routes). It could include areas that serve as preparation for the experience of the World Heritage Site, or areas that emphasise the link between the site and other older or more recent historic sites (such as the Roman fort of Segontium in Caernarfon).

The setting of Conwy Castle overlooking the Conwy estuary is important both historically and aesthetically.



The setting of the four castles includes the towns of Caernarfon, Conwy, Harlech and Beaumaris. The relationship between the castles and the towns was not fully captured in the previous management plan; the towns have a historic character which reflects both their origins and their continued importance long after the roles of the castles had diminished. Outline character studies, undertaken as part of the preparation of this management plan, have identified those aspects of the four towns considered to be of particular relevance to the setting of the World Heritage Site to help guide development proposals and manage change within these areas. The outline character statements are set out in Appendix 2.

Not all aspects of setting can be mapped, as they include intangible elements and historical connections between places that may not be contiguous (for example, Llanbeblig, the location of Caernarfon's parish church, is an early medieval foundation at some distance from the castle and town).

2.4.1 Essential Setting ↗

World Heritage Sites nominated for inscription today are generally expected to include a buffer zone to provide effective protection for the site and its setting. No buffer zone for the World Heritage Site was included at the time of inscription requiring other measures to be implemented to ensure adequate protection. The last management plan established the principle of using 'essential setting' as a management tool to protect each of the four monuments. These are areas outside the World Heritage Site boundary, where inappropriate development or change could have an unacceptable impact on the Outstanding Universal Value, for example, by damaging or obscuring specific features or altering important views. This management plan maintains and enhances that approach as it has served its purpose well in place of a buffer zone.

The essential setting is a spatially defined area that can be mapped and used to inform the development management process. At each location, the essential setting is primarily restricted to areas immediately adjacent to the World Heritage Site boundary, within significant views or arcs of views, and considered to be most sensitive to development both visually and from the perspective of archaeological potential. The essential setting for the World Heritage Site is identified on Maps 2.1–2.4. Key features of the essential setting are described in Table 2.1. Note that the views shown in Table 2.1 are for illustrative purposes only.

2.4.2 Significant Views ↗

Views to and from the World Heritage Site are important for appreciating the site and its integrity and authenticity. Significant views are considered to be the most important views both into and out of each of the monuments in the World Heritage Site. These views generally extend beyond the areas of essential setting and can include visual relationships with key historic and natural features associated with the World Heritage Site. Due to the panoramic extent of some views, particularly those to and from the sea and mountains, some significant views have been depicted as 'arcs of view'. In these instances, the entire view enclosed within the 'arc' is considered to be significant.

Inappropriate development or change is considered to be that which would obstruct or interfere with or distract from these views. Significant views at each location are shown on Maps 2.1–2.4 and described briefly in Table 2.1. Note that the views shown in Table 2.1 are for illustrative purposes only.

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Beaumaris



Essential Setting

Despite changes since the castle was built, the rural and coastal landscape to the west, north and east maintains the historic setting. There is a historic link between the castle and the house and park known as Baron Hill. Both have been part of the Bulkeley estate for nearly 200 years; the park in particular reaches close to the castle moat.

The area of the medieval town shows the relationship between the castle and the borough. The essential setting includes the part of the town bounded by Steeple Lane. This also forms part of the Beaumaris Conservation Area, which extends beyond the essential setting of the World Heritage Site to the south and west of the town.

The castle has a close relationship with the sea, with the main entrance next to the castle's tidal dock. The waterside location would have given continued access to both supplies and defences.



An aerial view of Beaumaris Castle showing part of the essential setting with open land on three sides and the historic town on the fourth (top).

The view down the main street of Beaumaris from the castle. The main street lies within the essential setting and Beaumaris Conservation Area (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Beaumaris



Significant Views

From the castle — landward views of Baron Hill relate the castle to the rural landscape, but the most magnificent views are in an arc of more than 180 degrees from Puffin Island to the Menai Strait, taking in the Great Orme and Snowdonia.

Into the castle — because the walls and towers were not raised to their intended maximum height, the castle makes the greatest impression from distant viewpoints that are low on the coast or on the water. Closer views from Baron Hill, the streets of the historic town (particularly Castle Street) and The Green on the seafront are important.



The view of the castle from Baron Hill looking across the Menai Strait towards Snowdonia (top).

The view from the castle wall-walk towards the Menai Strait with Snowdonia beyond (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Beaumaris

The parkland to the north of the castle, known as Baron Hill.



The low-lying nature of the castle is clear in the view from the Green.




Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Caernarfon



Essential Setting

The entirety of the Caernarfon Conservation Area is included within the essential setting of the World Heritage Site. This includes the whole of the walled town, the Maes and part of the largely nineteenth-century urban development immediately outside the walls

St Helen's Road is an increasingly important access to the town and is an area where some regeneration/redevelopment has already taken place and where further redevelopment may be expected (for example, the Slate Quay area including the Welsh Highland Railway station).



An aerial view of Caernarfon Castle and town at the confluence of the River Seiont and Menai Strait (top).

The Maes in front of Caernarfon Castle lies within the Caernarfon Conservation Area and is part of the essential setting (middle).

The whole of the walled town lies within the conservation area and the essential setting (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Caernarfon



Views up and down the Seiont are considered worthy of protection, as is the prominent area of Coed Helen.

Victoria Dock is included within the essential setting. It is now a thriving marina with hotels and restaurants at its northern end, as well as a cultural hub (Galeri). Regeneration of the dock area has proved to be an important addition to the economy of the town, but the design of the commercial development is somewhat out of character with the World Heritage Site. Further development may be expected here; proximity to the World Heritage Site and the historical significance of the dock in relation to the development of the town make this an especially sensitive area.



Victoria Dock is now a thriving marina (top).

The promenade and town walls facing the Menai Strait (middle).

The essential setting reaches up the River Seiont for almost one kilometre inland (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Caernarfon



Significant Views

From the castle and town walls — the whole arc of the marine view of the Menai Strait including the Anglesey coast, the view of Coed Helen and inland along the Seiont. Similar views are obtained from around the wall walks and towers of the castle, and from the town walls.

Into the castle and town walls — views from the Menai Strait and Anglesey, views from Coed Helen and along the Seiont (this was the view painted by J. M. W. Turner, 1775–1851); and the view from Twthill. Other views are framed by the streets of the town.

The view from Twthill towards the castle and walled town (top).

The view from the castle towards Coed Helen (middle).

The view from the sea towards the town walls and castle (bottom) (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Caernarfon

J. M. W. Turner's watercolour of Caernarfon Castle painted around 1832 (British Museum, London, UK/Bridgeman Images).



J. M. W. Turner's view of the castle from the River Seiont is still a significant view.




Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Conwy



Essential Setting

Whilst the setting of Conwy Castle has been transformed over time, the castle still dominates the site.

The entirety of the conservation area is included within the essential setting, taking in the walled town. Areas of the essential setting that fall outside the protection of the Conwy Conservation Area are:

- Bodlondeb Park and woods, and associated buildings, which provide a large public open space just outside the town walls. Differences in topography make this a sensitive area for new development that may affect views of and from the northern range of town walls

This aerial view of Conwy shows how the castle dominates the town (top).

The walled town and castle lie within both the Conwy Conservation Area and the essential setting (bottom) (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).




Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Conwy



- the open areas of the Gyffin Valley to the south of the town walls — development here could adversely affect views of and to the southern range of town walls
- land uphill of the town wall watchtower (Tower 13) — the highest point of the World Heritage Site
- Coed Benarth, which frames the southern prospect from the castle and town walls
- three bridges cross the river, each of different date and design, and provide the principal approach to the castle and town.



The view from Bodlondeb wood which lies within the essential setting (top).

The three bridges that cross the River Conwy provide the principal approaches to the town and lie within the essential setting (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Conwy



Significant Views

From the castle and town walls — the River Conwy, the bridges, the cob and Deganwy Castle, as well as the view towards the mountains to the west. The height of the castle towers and the extent of the town walls provide many viewpoints. Views from the westernmost tower of the town wall (the watchtower) are particularly extensive and provide 360 degree vistas.

Into the castle and town walls — many views from the River Conwy, Deganwy, including its castle and the mountains (J. M. W. Turner painted the view from the Benarth foreshore), a fine view down the Gyffin Valley (painted by Paul Sandby, 1731–1809) and a variety of views from within the walled town.

One of the views from the castle along the River Conwy (top).

The view from the castle looking along and across the estuary towards the twin hills of Deganwy Castle (middle).

The view from Deganwy towards the walled town and castle (bottom).



Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Conwy

J. M. W. Turner's oil painting of Conwy Castle painted around 1803 (Private Collection/Bridgeman Images).



The viewpoint used by J. M. W. Turner to paint the castle across the mouth of the River Gyffin is still a significant view.



Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Harlech



Essential Setting

Harlech Castle is visible for long distances in a seaward arc. At the foot of the castle, the area known as the Morfa has been developed over time for uses including pasture, caravan parks and urban development, but this has generally comprised low-level building.

Harlech Conservation Area encloses the historic town and its immediate surroundings and extends southwards to Coleg Harlech. Areas of the essential setting that are considered to be particularly sensitive are:

- the town on the rock, which provides the approach to the gatehouse of the castle
- the fringes of the town and the wooded cliffs extending to the north-east and the south
- the area on the Morfa at the foot of the rock provides the approach to the 'Way from the Sea'. This area is largely outside the Harlech Conservation Area.



Harlech Castle from the air looking eastwards across the town (top).

The town to the east of the castle lies within the Harlech Conservation Area (middle).

A view looking southwards towards the wooded cliffs and Coleg Harlech (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Harlech



Significant Views

From the castle — the whole arc of the view over the Morfa to the sea and to Snowdonia. The view from the castle to the east is important because it extends over the rural landscape of the Harlech Dome (a prominent geological feature).

Into the castle — there are two important distant views of the northerly aspect of the castle: the view painted by J. M. W. Turner and the view painted by John Varley (1778–1842), though their actual viewpoints may have been lost through changes in the landscape. From the south, the castle is only visible from certain distant points. Within the town, there are closer views from a number of directions, while the view from the former Castle Hotel across the ditch demonstrates the strength of the castle and its defences.



Significant views from Harlech Castle across the Morfa (top) and across the town (bottom).

Table 2.1 Key Aspects of Essential Setting and Significant Views

Harlech

The significant view of the castle from the north-east, from approximately where J. M.W. Turner painted the castle, though the exact viewpoint no longer exists.



Turner's Harlech Castle painted from Tygwyn Ferry, Summer's Evening Twilight, painted around 1798–99 (Yale Center for British Art, Paul Mellon Collection, USA/Bridgeman Images).

2.4.3 Sense of Arrival ⇐

A sense of arrival is a distinct element in the setting of the World Heritage Site. It can support its Outstanding Universal Value and contribute to a distinctive sense of place. A sense of arrival may be influenced by buildings, sites, landscape features or views. Features with a direct relationship to the Outstanding Universal Value of the site are particularly important, such as views of the castles and town walls, or those attributes of the settlements directly associated with the World Heritage Site. However, even features of the landscape or townscape that have no direct association with the Outstanding Universal Value of the site, such as the modern townscape on the approaches to the historic settlements, have the capacity to either enhance or detract from a sense of arrival.

Current major access routes to the site are particularly sensitive to development, but may also present opportunities for enhancement; for example, in streetscape and signage.

2.4.4 Setting in Summary ⇐

The setting of the World Heritage Site therefore encompasses the relationship between the castles and their townscapes and landscapes, but also includes three specific elements:

- a mapped area of **essential setting** which refers to areas where inappropriate development would damage either the visual or historic setting of the World Heritage Site
- the identification of **significant views** at each location
- **a sense of arrival** at each location.

The key attributes associated with the setting of the World Heritage Site — the relationship with towns and the coast in particular — are described further in Chapter 3. The policy framework for the safeguarding of setting is described in Chapter 4.

The sense of arrival can be influenced by many factors including modern townscapes, such as the twentieth-century development on the Morfa at Harlech (left), and streetscapes such as the main approach to Conwy Castle through the town (right).





Begun in 1295, Beaumaris Castle was the last and largest of King Edward I's castles in north Wales.

mes uenwoth jetaim et susen.



De la tour tatei selon la
bible. *per* **aus gilberti me** *fecit*

Et ces meignes noe selon les
peuples et leurs nations fu
rent les gens deuisees enter
re apres le deluge et toute

The castles are pre-eminent examples of medieval military architecture. In this fifteenth-century French manuscript illumination, a king is shown seated with his master mason providing a building report. The round tower under construction is decked with spiral scaffolding, evidence for which survives at a number of King Edward I's castles (British Library, London, UK © British Library Board. All Rights Reserved/Bridgeman Images, Royal Ms. 15 D III, f. 15v).

3 The World Heritage Site in Context ▸

3.1 One Site, Four Places ▸

The Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd is a World Heritage Site comprising four geographically separate parts with a common historical association and identity. Together, Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech represent an extraordinary achievement in the construction of four castles and towns within a very short period of time and within what King Edward would have considered to be hostile territory. The project management of this building campaign, including the mobilisation of the workforce from all over England and further abroad, is well documented and of particular interest. The castles, together with their fortified towns, are pre-eminent examples of the peak of medieval military architecture and the circumstances in which they were constructed raises their significance to the international level. The combination of physical and written evidence that these castles and towns retain has a wide resonance telling us about monarchy and power struggles in the medieval period.

The castles and town walls were all constructed mainly between the period 1283 and 1330, though for the most part works were completed before 1300. Their design is recognised as being the work of a cadre of experts led by James of St George, master of the king's works, a highly regarded master mason and engineer brought to Wales from Savoy by King Edward I.

To understand their significance, the castles and town walls need to be seen as a group and as such are managed as one integrated World Heritage Site. They also need to be seen not just as garrison strongholds in the traditional sense of a castle, but also as seats of government.

Understanding the cultural identity of the four locations also involves recognising the significance of pre-existing settlements as well as later key historic events that have had an impact on the castles or their setting. For example, at Caernarfon there is an important Roman heritage which is celebrated at Segontium, as well as more recent industrial heritage relating to the export of slate. Beaumaris suffered badly during the Glyndŵr uprising but prospered again in the nineteenth century. Conwy was particularly affected by the arrival of the railway in the nineteenth century. Extensive land reclamation on the plain below Harlech in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries radically changed the relationship between the castle and the coast.

The four castles are all cared for by Cadw on behalf of the Welsh Ministers. They are open to the public on a fee-paying basis. The medieval town walls of Caernarfon and Conwy are also cared for by Cadw. The two walled towns of Caernarfon and Conwy are in multiple ownerships, mostly private, and change to the buildings within them is controlled by the local planning authority through adopted planning policy.

The four castles and the attendant fortified towns at Caernarfon and Conwy are the finest examples of late thirteenth-century and early fourteenth-century military architecture in Europe, demonstrated through their completeness, pristine state, evidence for organised domestic space and extraordinary repertory of medieval form. Administrative and domestic architectural features include suites of royal apartments (particularly at Conwy), multiple suites of domestic apartments to service administrative requirements and evidence for room function and service arrangements.

While the design and construction of the castles and town walls were the responsibility of the master of the king's works, the provision of funds and the control of expenditure were in the hands of the king's wardrobe, part of the king's household with responsibility for spending. This group travelled with the king and was based in Conwy throughout the years in which direct royal control was consolidated over Gwynedd. The wardrobe maintained detailed records of payments made for the works. Although some have been lost (notably those destroyed during the rebellion of 1294), the remainder are held in The National Archives at Kew in London and have been studied in detail by historians such as Arnold Taylor (1911–2002) in *The King's Works in Wales 1277–1330*.²³

The deep moat is the outermost line of defence at Beaumaris Castle.



The concentric — walls within walls — defences at Beaumaris Castle.



The castles are all on prominent coastal sites in north-west Wales and were built as part of a single campaign. Beaumaris and Caernarfon both overlook the Menai Strait, Conwy is 25 miles (40km) east along the north Wales coast and Harlech is a similar distance south of the Llyn peninsula. They are each adapted to suit their varying locations from the flat ground of Beaumaris to the steep rocky promontory of Harlech. Each was enclosed with high curtain walls, strengthened by a series of projecting towers and entered through a heavily defended gatehouse. Further out, a series of outer defences provided additional protection, such as the deep moat at Beaumaris and the rock-cut ditch at Harlech. Other military features at the castles include complex arrowloops (particularly elaborate at Caernarfon), the provision of concentric walls at Beaumaris and Harlech — combined with arrowloops designed to provide covering fields of fire — and defended water gates, drawbridges, chicanes, redoubts, dungeons and towers at all four locations. At Caernarfon and Conwy, walled towns were constructed alongside the castles with gatehouses at their principal entrance points.

At the time of inscription, based on the research of Arnold Taylor, it was considered that all four castles were designed by Master James of St George, who was regarded as the greatest military architect of his age. More recently, research has emphasised the important contribution made by a cadre of specialists who were led by Master James, as well as the influence of King Edward I. Savoyard architectural features at the four castles include doorways with semi-circular arches, distinctively wide windows with segmental arches and tracery, latrine shafts in shallow buttresses and corbelled out from walls, and the use of spiral and inclined scaffolding (as evidenced by putlog hole positions).


Savoyard features can be recognised at a number of the castles. This corbelled out latrine at Harlech (right) bears a close resemblance to one at the Savoy castle of La Batiatz in modern-day Switzerland (left, Peter Humphries).



Whilst the castles form a stylistically coherent group, the differences between them are as important as their similarities, with each castle designed according to its site and purpose. At Conwy, for example, the nature of the rock outcrop dictated a linear outline. The outward appearance of Caernarfon Castle differs from others of Edward I's strongholds in Wales. The towers are polygonal instead of round and the walls have a prominent patterning with bands of different coloured stone, designed to reflect the role of the castle as a new royal palace and a seat of government. Beaumaris Castle, constructed on flat ground, has been described as the ultimate concentric castle, built with almost geometric symmetry. The setting of Harlech Castle on a steep rocky outcrop emphasises its defensive potential and military strength, with a stepped access down to the sea. The relationship between design and site reflected the need to have strategic defences in Gwynedd against the indigenous Welsh population.

There are also differences in the physical relationships between the castles and the towns. Both Caernarfon and Conwy were designed as castle boroughs, where castle and town were planned as an integrated unit, as evidenced by the construction of the town walls. Town walls seemed to have been planned from the outset at Beaumaris, but were not constructed until more than 100 years later. Whilst a borough was also established along with the castle at Harlech, no traces of town walls survive. The siting of a castle on an existing settlement, as at Caernarfon or Conwy, transmitted a signal of conquest and recognised the significance of these places to the native communities. At Beaumaris, the location for the castle was directed by the desire to control the trade route operated by the Llanfaes ferry but required the nearby Welsh settlement to be relocated to the west of the island. The foundation of a castle borough implies investment and ambition, and acknowledges the economic and symbolic importance attributed to these locations.

The windows in the gatehouse at Harlech (left), reconstructed (middle), were of similar design and size to the those at the Savoy castle of Chillon in modern-day Switzerland (right, Peter Humphries).




3.2 Historical Overview of the Four Sites ⇐

3.2.1 Beaumaris ⇐

The castle lies at the northern end of the town of Beaumaris on the Isle of Anglesey, approximately 150m from the sea. The castle was integral with a town, though this was not walled at the time of castle construction. However, a stub of wall adjacent to the castle dock indicates that a wall may have been intended at the start. The town retains much of its medieval grid street pattern. At the time that Beaumaris Castle was built, the nearby Welsh town of Llanfaes was the principal trading port on the island and reputedly the most prosperous and populous borough in native Wales. However, in order for the new borough of Beaumaris to thrive, the town of Llanfaes was deliberately depopulated by the Crown and its residents moved to Newborough on the other side of the island.

Beaumaris Castle, the last of these royal strongholds, was built between 1295 and 1330 and the structure is little changed. It was constructed on flat land described in contemporary documents as *Bellum Marsicum* in Latin and *Beaumarreis* in French — ‘the beautiful marsh’. Its location — unencumbered by rising ground or existing settlements — allowed Master James to create the concentric plan with a degree of symmetry not achieved at Caernarfon, Conwy or even Harlech. The main courtyard of the castle is surrounded by a narrow enclosing ward, both of which were protected by a wide outer moat filled by tidal water. It is the combination of near-perfect symmetrical planning and its water defences that gives Beaumaris its most striking and remarkable characteristics.

An imaginative reconstruction of Beaumaris Castle as it may have looked had it been completed (Illustration by Chris Jones-Jenkins, 2017).



At first, no expense was spared in the construction of the castle and some £6,000 was spent in the period between April and September 1295, a third of which was for the carriage of materials alone. This was more than the recorded expenditure on this item at Caernarfon, Conwy or Harlech throughout the 1280s.

Works halted in 1298 when Edward's attention turned to Scotland and Master James of St George moved to new work there. He was back at Beaumaris in 1307 and succeeded by Master Nicholas de Demeford before 1309 by which time Master James had died. The inner towers and gatehouses never reached their intended height and the turrets were never begun by the time works finally ceased around 1330. Despite the cost of construction, the castle was not maintained, and a survey in 1343 records several areas as being 'dilapidated and ruinous', including chambers, towers and roofs.

The end of the fourteenth century saw mounting tension in Wales and in 1389 the chamberlain ordered 20 men to be placed in Beaumaris Castle 'because of enemies at sea'. In 1403, at the height of the Glyndŵr revolt, the castle was besieged and not recaptured until 1405. In the years following, there were periodic accounts of the poor condition of the castle including a letter from Sir Richard Bulkeley to Thomas Cromwell in 1539 expressing his concern. In 1609, the castle was described as 'utterly decayed' but it was repaired early in the Civil War when it served as a Royalist base until Colonel Bulkeley was forced to surrender to Parliament in 1646. Contemporary accounts suggest that there was a programme of dismantling which is thought to have involved the removal of the courtyard buildings. Certainly, over successive centuries the castle was robbed for building stone as indicated by the appearance of the early nineteenth-century Beaumaris gaol.

In 1807 the castle passed into the ownership of the Bulkeley family of nearby Baron Hill. In 1925, the castle was placed into the guardianship of the State. Cadw, on behalf of the Welsh Ministers, now cares for the monument. Works carried out have included the re-establishment of the moat and the removal of the ivy that previously cloaked much of the castle.

After Beaumaris was taken into care in 1925, the castle was soon cleared and consolidation began.



3.2.2 Caernarfon ▯

Caernarfon Castle and the walled town are situated on a rocky outcrop between the mouths of the rivers Seiont and Cadnant on the shore of the Menai Strait. King Edward I established the castle and the town in 1283 to consolidate his conquest of Gwynedd and it became the seat of royal government for north Wales. Tradition holds that King Edward I's eleventh child, Edward (later crowned Edward II, 1307–27) was born here in 1284. In 1301, the young prince was invested as prince of Wales.

There was a pre-existing Norman fortification on this site and the Roman fort of Segontium lies a short distance from the castle. This was the military and administrative centre of north-west Wales throughout the Roman period and the remains of the fort can still be clearly seen. Edward I may have known that Segontium was associated with the emperor, Magnus Maximus, who, legend says, was based here as regional commander. Caernarfon's striking banded walls and polygonal towers, instead of the more usual rounded form, are widely believed to suggest that King Edward was inspired by imperial Roman architecture. Edward may also have known that Magnus Maximus appears as Maxen Wledig in Welsh mythological poetry, later recorded in *The Mabinogion*. In the 'Dream of the Emperor Maxen', the emperor journeys in his sleep and arrives eventually at a great castle, 'the fairest that anyone had ever seen'. Maxen sends out messengers to find the castle. First, they come to 'a great city at the mouth of the river, and a great castle in the city with great towers of different colours'. From here, they continue until they see the 'Island of Mon facing them ... and they saw Aber Saint, and the castle at the mouth of the river.'²⁴ It has been suggested that this may be another reason why Caernarfon, unlike the other castles, was given a prominent patterning of bands of different coloured stone.

This artist's view of Caernarfon shows the borough, quay and castle as they may have appeared when building work at the castle stopped in the early fourteenth century (Illustration by Ivan Lapper, 1993).




Although the site of the Norman motte and bailey, begun around 1090, determined the shape of the thirteenth-century castle, very little is now visible (although subsurface evidence may still be present). Nor do the buildings erected by the princes of Gwynedd between 1115 and 1283 survive. The motte was incorporated into Edward's castle and the bailey survived as the area now known as the Maes (Castle Square). The first building phase, between 1283 and 1292, created the character of the castle we see today, albeit with some nineteenth- and early twentieth-century restoration. After the first phase of construction, Master James of St George was moved on to work elsewhere and subsequent construction was led by Master Walter of Hereford, later succeeded by Master Henry of Ellerton.

The layout of the town with its encircling walls was also begun in 1283 and is believed to have been largely finished by 1292. It comprises eight towers and two twin-towered gateways at intervals of approximately 64m. The town is laid out on a grid pattern much like Conwy but over a smaller area. The Menai waterfront was the first quay associated with the thirteenth-century castle and town, enabling vast quantities of building materials to be imported. It also ensured the safety of the castle's longer term supplies and underpinned the economy of the new town.

In 1294, a revolt led by Madog ap Llywelyn destroyed half the town walls and burnt the castle extensively. As soon as the revolt was quelled a second phase of building began in 1295. The town walls were repaired by Walter of Hereford and the northern defences of the castle were completed. By 1330, the castle had largely assumed its present appearance. The incomplete Queen's Gate and King's Gate suggest that further work had been intended. One major addition to the town walls was the early fourteenth-century chapel of St Mary (licensed in 1307), built into the north-west corner to provide a chapel for soldiers garrisoned at Caernarfon.

The chapel of St Mary was added to the town walls in the early fourteenth century for soldiers garrisoned in Caernarfon.



The castle successfully withstood sieges by Owain Glyndŵr and his French allies in 1403 and 1404. Reports of 1538 and 1620 showed that, although the masonry was sound, roofs and floors had decayed and, in some cases, collapsed. The castle was garrisoned during the Civil War and changed hands three times. In 1660 orders were given by the government to demolish the castle but, although this was welcomed by local authority, it was never carried out.

In successive centuries, as was typical, cottages were built up piecemeal against the walls. Later alterations to the walls included modifications to the East Gate, firstly to provide accommodation and then, in 1767, to incorporate the town hall which was built over it, though later removed in the mid-twentieth century. The need to cater for increased traffic has resulted in successive alterations to the town gates and the introduction of additional openings.

Sir Llewelyn Turner and others were responsible for a number of changes in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Turner, who was deputy constable of the castle from 1870 to 1903, was responsible for a variety of repair and restoration work to the castle itself, and also the removal of piecemeal developments built up in the castle ditch and against the castle walls.

The East Gate through the town walls at Caernarfon has been much modified since it was built in the late thirteenth century.



Under the direction of Sir Llewelyn Turner, who served as deputy constable of Caernarfon between 1870 and 1903, the castle began to be rescued from centuries of neglect.



3.2.3 Conwy ▮

Before the building of Conwy, the site of the town was occupied by Aberconwy Abbey, a Cistercian monastery favoured by the Welsh princes, as well as buildings used by the Welsh princes. The location was also an important crossing point over the River Conwy that had been defended for many years. Conwy Castle was built on the west bank of the River Conwy and the town was King Edward I's first settlement in Gwynedd after the defeat of Llywelyn, prince of Wales.

The masonry of the castle seen today remains much as built between 1283 and 1287. The castle is exceptional for its completeness and for the grandeur of its towers and curtain walls. Although the buildings within the castle enclosure have been lost, sufficient remains of their foundations to give a reasonably clear idea of the layout of the castle accommodation. The great hall, chambers and kitchen can be seen in the outer ward. The royal chambers and a royal chapel survive in the inner ward. These are regarded as the most intact set of royal apartments left by a medieval monarch in either Wales or England and create a clear picture of how the castle would have functioned when the royal entourage was in residence. Fragmentary evidence of external lime plaster also shows that the castle would have looked very different in medieval times, rendered and whitened.

Lack of maintenance led to a report in the 1330s that Conwy, like the other north Wales castles, was no longer habitable. The great hall range was repaired in the 1340s, according to the register of Edward 'the Black Prince' (1330–76), the eldest son of Edward III (1327–77).

An impression of Conwy as it may have appeared in the early fourteenth century (Illustration by Ivan Lapper, 1990).



As at Caernarfon, King Edward I also established a walled town for incoming settlers. Creating the town involved moving Aberconwy Abbey to a new site at Maenan, but leaving the medieval abbey church of St Mary to serve the parish. The abbey was the burial place of Llywelyn ap Iorweth — the Great (d. 1240) — so this was another act of symbolism to appropriate a place so closely associated with the Gwynedd dynasty. The town is enclosed by 1.3km of walls, with three twin-towered gates and 21 towers, enclosing an area of nearly 9 hectares. They represent one of the best-preserved and most impressive examples of medieval town walls in Europe.


King Edward I took refuge here at Conwy during the 1294–95 rebellion. A century later, the castle was the venue for tense discussions between King Richard II (1377–99) and the representatives of Henry of Bolingbroke, later Henry IV (1399–1415). The castle was captured briefly by Glyndŵr in 1401 and some repairs were carried out after.

Although the town was relatively thinly populated, according to contemporary accounts it attracted wealthy merchants, as illustrated by the early fifteenth-century Aberconwy House, and local gentry such as Robert Wynn (d. 1598) who built the fine Elizabethan town house, Plas Mawr, in the 1570s and 80s.

This fine carved stone head is thought to represent Prince Llywelyn ap Iorweth, who was buried at Aberconwy Abbey (National Museum of Wales).



St Mary's Church, Conwy, is the former abbey church that was retained for parish use.




The castle passed out of royal ownership in 1627 and was purchased, evidently as a ruin, by the first Lord Conway (d. 1631). During the Civil War the castle was defended and repaired for the king by John Williams (d. 1650), archbishop of York, who was born in Conwy and returned here after his enthronement. Despite Williams's loyalty and the expense he incurred, the king brought in Sir John Owen (d. 1666) as governor of Conwy, which led to Williams defecting to the Parliamentarians. Conwy was one of the very last royal strongholds to surrender and it was deliberately ruined soon after. In time, however, the ruined castle was regarded as picturesque and drew travellers, antiquarians and artists from afar, including Thomas Pennant (d. 1798) and J. M. W. Turner.

Perhaps the most significant changes to the castle and town walls since their construction resulted from the coming of the roads and railways. Firstly, Thomas Telford's suspension bridge was constructed in 1826 — one of the first of its kind in the world. This led to the removal of the water gate and entrance ramp to create new roads into the town. The Chester to Holyhead railway and Robert Stephenson's railway bridge followed in 1849. The bridge was the first example of tubular construction in the country and cut close against the south side of the castle. A third bridge was added for road traffic in 1958. The Conwy road tunnel was built after the inscription of the World Heritage Site, in an effort to alleviate traffic congestion. The Conwy Suspension Bridge is now in the care of the National Trust.

The imposing Elizabethan town house on Conwy's High Street, built by Robert Wynn in the 1570s and 80s.

Thomas Telford's suspension bridge, built in 1826.



3.2.4 Harlech ▯

Harlech Castle sits high above the coast. Despite its constrained and lofty site it is one of the most perfectly symmetrical castles built by King Edward I, surpassed only by Beaumaris. It is separated from the land by a deep rock-cut ditch.

The castle is the product of three building campaigns. The first phase began in 1283 after King Edward I's defeat of Llywelyn, prince of Wales, and involved the enclosure of the castle. In the second phase, completed in 1289, the inner curtain wall was strengthened and the towers and gatehouse were completed. The outer defences were added in a third phase in 1295, after the Madog rebellion. As with the other three castles, Master James of St George oversaw building operations at Harlech. In 1290, he was appointed constable for three years and lived in the castle, probably in the gatehouse. There is no evidence here of town walls; indeed, the town seems to have received comparatively little attention compared with the castle.

A reconstruction of Harlech Castle as it may have appeared about 1325. By this date, all of the main components of the castle were complete: the inner and outer defences, the encircling wall at the base of the castle rock and the bridge spanning the ditch (Illustration by Terry Ball, 1996).



The bridge was reworked in the early fourteenth century under Edward II. In 1404, during the Glyndŵr rebellion, the castle's ill-equipped garrison had to surrender after a long siege. Owain Glyndŵr subsequently set up his court here and tradition has it that he was crowned prince of Wales in the castle. In 1409 the future King Henry V (1413–22) recaptured the castle, but it was again attacked in 1468 during the Wars of the Roses. Harlech continued in use during the sixteenth century as the home of the Merioneth Assizes, which no doubt resulted in the continued maintenance of the castle. Conceivably, it was partly because of this better condition that Harlech held out as the last Royalist stronghold to fall to Parliament during the Civil War. Despite an order to demolish the castle, it was not proceeded with and Harlech remained the property of the Crown.

The town at Harlech was not laid out to the same extent as Beaumaris, Caernarfon and Conwy. It has just one main street and no obvious market place. However, the town did receive its royal charter in 1284 and its customs and privileges were modelled on those of Conwy. It was one of two new towns founded by King Edward I in Merioneth, the other being the now lost town of Bere.

Harlech Castle played an interesting role in the training of commando troops during the Second World War. The No. 10 (Inter-Allied) Commando headquarters was temporarily located in London House in Harlech, commanded by Lt Col. Dudley Lister MC. The unit undertook training marches in the local area as well as scaling the walls of Harlech Castle in the dark.

As a result of Owain Glyndŵr's siege most of the outer curtain wall along the east and south sides of Harlech Castle was destroyed.

Harlech Castle housed the court of Owain Glyndŵr following the successful Welsh siege in 1404. This harness mount — decorated with Glyndŵr's arms — was found at the castle in 1923 (National Museum of Wales).



3.2.5 Research and Understanding ▯

The extensive and detailed technical, social and economic documentation of the castles make them one of the major reference points of medieval history. Built features that can be linked to documentation include evidence for constructional phasing linked with expenditure/events (for example, breaks between masonry lifts, wall strengthening/raising), the replacement of earlier timber trusses in Conwy great hall with stone arches in the 1340s and documentation relating to incomplete architectural elements (for example, King's Gate at Caernarfon and the upper floors of the gatehouses at Beaumaris).

*The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales*²⁵ published the proceedings of the conference held in 2007 to mark the seventh centenary of the death of King Edward I. The conference, hosted in Gwynedd, drew together recent research and scholarship on all of the castles and towns built by Edward in north Wales. It provided the opportunity to rethink the effect that their building had upon Wales in the past, present and future. Papers presented at the conference illustrated a more holistic approach to understanding the Edwardian castles and their context, and their impact on Welsh society and its princes in the thirteenth century, notably Llywelyn ap Iorwerth (Fawr, the Great) and his grandson, Llywelyn ap Gruffudd, prince of Wales. The symbolism and meaning of the castles through the words of Welsh poets were examined (Dylan Foster-Evans) alongside the symbolism that Edward may have employed at Caernarfon (Abigail Wheatley). The role of Welshmen in Edward I's armies was examined (Adam Chapman). The conference also considered the wider context, with papers on the Edwardian towns in Wales (Keith Lilley), the baronial castles in north Wales (John Goodall) and Edward I in Scotland and Gascony (Chris Tabraham and Marc Morris). Research into specific elements of castle building included an examination of the sources of stonework used in the Edwardian castles (Graham Lott).

Delegates at the 2007 conference, 'The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales', which examined recent research on the castles and their context.



Research into ways of castle life considered food supply and preparation, including an evaluation of the kitchens at Caernarfon and Harlech (Peter Brears). 'The King's Accommodation at his Castles' (Jeremy Ashbee) presents the evidence to understand better the royal apartments at Conwy Castle and how they operated. The paper shows that although parts of royal apartments from this period survive at other castles in Wales and England, including Caernarfon, Harlech, Leeds (Kent) and the Tower of London, the buildings at Conwy are by far the 'most complete set of royal apartments left by the medieval English monarchy anywhere'. Despite being without roofs and floors they are otherwise little altered from their original design. Ashbee's research also provides a review of royal gatehouses, comparing the layout and works at Harlech and Beaumaris. The paper discusses the use of rooms within the gatehouse at Harlech and reviews the ideas around whether or not the gatehouse was used for high-status living accommodation.

Another key piece of research, carried out at Queen's University Belfast, has looked at the planning and design of Edward's new towns, including those in the World Heritage Site. It was published in 2005 by Keith Lilley, Chris Lloyd and Steve Trick in *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I*. The atlas notes that by 1295 Caernarfon was a successful walled town, with a quayside for ships, a borough charter and around 60 burgages. At the time, the town was about half the size of Conwy and Beaumaris. The walls were laid out in a way that spoiled the symmetry of the street pattern, leading researchers to ask the question whether the walls and the layout of the town were laid out by different people. The atlas reasserts Taylor's views and notes that Caernarfon Castle was 'the most impressive and enduring symbol of Edward's conquest and settlement of north Wales, imbued with an imperial iconography that connected him and his empire with that of ancient Rome and its emperors.'²⁶

The royal apartments in the inner ward of Conwy Castle are the best-preserved suite of medieval private royal chambers in England and Wales.


This imaginative illustration depicts a meeting between King Edward I and the archbishop of Canterbury in the royal apartments at Conwy in February 1295 (Illustration by Peter Visscher, 2007).



The atlas notes that Conwy was a larger town than Caernarfon, citing a survey from 1295 which recorded 112 burgages occupied by a total of 99 burgesses. Many of the names show that they moved to the area from southern and eastern England. In 1312 there were 124 burgages, making Conwy second in size only to Beaumaris. The atlas notes the close similarity between the layout of Conwy and Beaumaris; both have a T-shaped plan with the castle at one end of the street that runs parallel to the shore. It also points out the unity of design between the town and castle at these two locations.²⁷ By contrast, the number of burgages in Harlech was small and inhabitants complained to the king in 1329 that their location was disadvantaging them.

Finally, archaeological work at Beaumaris has shown that an earlier and larger defensive town circuit is discernible, which — if accepted — would have encompassed the entire town beyond the fifteenth-century defences. Lilley et al. conclude ‘the evidence at Beaumaris, then, is for a castle and town closely connected in their layout, and seemingly laid out to one overall plan’. The atlas also notes key similarities between Beaumaris and Conwy not found in the other towns of King Edward I in north Wales, in particular, in the form of their main streets, where one is parallel to the quayside and a second runs at right angles up from the waterfront. This could be attributable to Master James of St George, who worked at both places. Therefore, Beaumaris might be a rare case in medieval urban planning where it is possible ‘to pinpoint the author of a town plan.’²⁸

Maps of the Edwardian towns prepared for *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* by Keith Lilley, Chris Lloyd, Steve Trick (2005), York: Archaeology Data Service. <https://doi.org/10.5284/1000022>. ‘Mapping the Medieval Urban Landscape’ research project was funded by the Arts and Humanities Research Council (2003–05).



In 2010, Cadw published *Caernarfon Waterfront: Understanding Urban Character* to inform the regeneration of the Caernarfon waterfront area, which is recognised as a critical part of the setting of the World Heritage Site.²⁹ The study focuses on the Menai and Seiont waterfronts, together with the immediately adjacent urban areas, and provides a baseline for strategic planning.

Recent excavations associated with the construction of the new visitor centre in Harlech have yet to be published, but resulted in the exposure of a series of building foundations and basements, some believed to date back to the fourteenth century, and a cemetery. Further analysis will provide new information on the form and development of the early town associated with the castle.

The Caernarfon waterfront is a critical part of the essential setting of the World Heritage Site and contributes to the historic character of the town. This lithograph shows the Slate Quay around 1830 (By permission of Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru — National Library of Wales).



3.3 The Setting of the Castles ▸

There are three distinct elements to the setting of the castles:

- the relationship that each castle has with its town
- the relationship between each castle and the coast
- the relationship between each castle and the natural environment.

3.3.1 The Relationship between the Castles and their Towns ▸

The symbiotic relationship between the castles and their towns provided economic and political benefit, and was used by Edward I to establish English settlement. In all four cases, the towns were sited on the most vulnerable sides of the castles and, where built, their walled defences provided additional support for the castle defences. Key elements of all four modern towns include the survival of a medieval street pattern and a plot structure based on medieval burgages. In some cases, post-medieval buildings were constructed of masonry robbed from the ruins of the castles.

In both Conwy and Caernarfon, the original relationship between the castles and their towns is demonstrated by surviving town wall circuits with defensive features such as wall-walks, defended gateways, arrowloops and open-backed D-shaped mural towers, which allow sections of wall to be isolated when under attack. There is also a relationship between the castles and medieval churches within the towns (St Mary's Church in Caernarfon and St Mary's Church in Conwy). For the most part, the walls did not incorporate contemporary buildings, with the exception of Llywelyn's Hall at Conwy.

At Beaumaris, the original town was not walled from the outset (though it may have had earthwork defences). After the Glyndŵr rebellion, permission was given to build town walls of which only fragmentary remains survive. The less dominant visual appearance of the castle is due both to its low-lying location and because it was never completed. With late Georgian development close to the castle, from certain viewpoints it is partially hidden by taller buildings, for example, Bulkeley Terrace. However, when viewed from across the Menai Strait, Beaumaris Castle is a striking site which inspired artists such as J. M. W. Turner. The views from and towards the castle, both from the sea and from land, are of particular importance to the protection of the World Heritage Site.

Caernarfon Castle is the focus of both the medieval town and the modern town that grew up outside the town walls. This view shows the Maes, the medieval market place outside the walled town transformed into a formal urban square in the nineteenth century.



In medieval times, the town of Caernarfon was smaller than either Beaumaris or Conwy, but it has grown substantially over the centuries. The castle's environment has been much changed over time by gradual rebuilding within the walls and especially by the development of the town as a port for the slate industry in the nineteenth century. Suburban expansion in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, the creation of a bypass and the recent development at Victoria Dock have all contributed to the changes around the castle. Within the town walls, medieval burgages were adapted by the building of larger town houses. In terms of civic buildings, the construction of Pencadlys (Gwynedd Council headquarters) in the 1980s involved the redevelopment of a large plot in the town centre — integrated with the historic townscape to some extent by its fragmented plan. The Maes is outside the town walls, but was the original market place and has always been an important civic space.

In Conwy, development was largely contained within the town walls until the late nineteenth century; it was based on the medieval street pattern and the incomplete survival of a burgage plot structure. The greatest impact on the setting of the castle, more so than at any of the other three castles, came from transport engineering with the construction of Telford's suspension bridge in 1826, the Chester to Holyhead railway in 1849 and Stephenson's railway bridge. Increasing car traffic resulted in the need for a new road bridge in 1958 and, as a result of severe traffic problems, the Conwy tunnel was built in 1991 — the first immersed tube tunnel in Britain.

The town of Harlech was founded at the same time that the castle was constructed and received its charter in 1284. It was the smallest of Edward I's planned boroughs, with only 12 taxpayers listed in 1292–93 and 291/4 rented burgages in 1312. Following Glyndŵr's occupation of the castle, the borough remained largely dormant until the improvement in communication brought about by the railways in the mid-nineteenth century and the rise in popularity of the town as a tourist resort. Some alterations to the castle's immediate setting were made in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries to improve road access up the hill to the town.

Conwy Castle and town walls were designed as one from the outset as this view from the watchtower – the highest point on the town walls – demonstrates (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



3.3.2 The Relationship with the Coast ⇐

As noted earlier, the relationship between the castles and the sea has played an integral and important role in the early growth of settlements, seaborne trade and commerce. All four castles were located at points along the Welsh coast where they could be supplied from the sea. There are water gates at all four sites, designed for direct access from the coast. In Caernarfon, the Menai quayside was part of the original building campaign, which enabled large quantities of building material to be imported and underpinned the economy of the new town. The dock at Beaumaris is more elaborate than those at Conwy and Caernarfon, perhaps the result of the experience of the 1294 uprising and the need for Edward I to retain a naval force in the Menai Strait to safeguard the continued delivery of stone, timber and other supplies necessary to build the castle.³⁰ The towns very quickly became sophisticated settlements with international trading relationships.

In Harlech, it was no longer possible to reach the water gate by boat by the sixteenth century. The relationship between Harlech Castle and the sea changed completely with coastal erosion, sand accretion and land reclamation. Harlech Marsh, which would have occupied a large area including that currently occupied by the lower car park at the castle, was reclaimed following the Enclosure Award of 1806.

The coastal position of the castles was vital for their defence, building and supply. The Menai quayside at Caernarfon, seen in the foreground of this aerial view, was part of the original building campaign.



The dock at Beaumaris Castle allowed ships safe and direct access for unloading supplies necessary for the construction and defence of the castle.



The post-medieval period saw a steady increase in coastal activity and a commensurate growth in port and harbour facilities. For example the growth in mercantile trade is illustrated by the presence of wealthy merchants' houses in the town of Conwy; coastal trade between Beaumaris and Chester regularly carried fish, wool and cloth. During the Industrial Revolution, the harbour at Caernarfon was further developed to service the busy slate industry, with the Slate Quay developed formally in the early nineteenth century.

The relationship of the four towns with the sea continues to change, with present-day challenges and opportunities focusing on the potential of former harbours and quays for regeneration (including marinas, commercial and residential development), leisure and renewable energy.

The castle at Harlech is no longer accessible from the sea, but originally boats could sail up to its water gate.



The quayside at Conwy was important to the construction and development of both the town and castle.

3.3.3 The Relationship with the Natural Environment ▯

The site chosen for Beaumaris may have lacked natural defences, but instead it had direct and level access to the sea, and no physical features to constrain its design. In landscape terms, the Menai Strait is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) because of its important marine and intertidal plants, and animals. Immediately to the north-east of the castle, there is an area designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) for its intertidal and geomorphological features. The adjacent parkland at Baron Hill to the north of the castle is another SSSI. It is designated on account of its lichens. Beaumaris Castle also lies within the Penmon area on the register of landscapes of outstanding historic interest in Wales.

Caernarfon Castle is sited prominently on the shore of the Menai Strait and between the mouths of the rivers Seiont and Cadnant. The Menai Strait and Conwy Bay Special Area of Conservation is designated under the European Habitats Directive for its range of marine habitats. The Afon Seiont is designated as an SSSI, for its geological interest. Trees within the wooded bank of the River Seiont are subject to Tree Preservation Orders. The area is known to be a habitat for protected species including bats, otters, lampreys, Atlantic salmon and sea trout.

Much of the Baron Hill parkland between Beaumaris Castle and Llanfaes is a Site of Special Scientific Interest on account of its lichens (© Gwynedd Archaeological Trust).



Conwy is built on a ridge of Silurian grits or sandstones at the mouth of the Conwy estuary. The Conwy estuary is an SSSI and an important habitat for otters. The Cadnant SSSI adjoins the town walls to the north and is of particular geological interest. Conwy lies within the Creuddyn and Conwy registered landscape of outstanding historic interest in Wales and Benarth Wood, overlooking the castle to the south, is within the parkland included in the register of historic parks and gardens of special historic interest in Wales.

Harlech Castle lies on a rocky outcrop overlooking an area of marsh and dunes, extending out to Tremadog Bay. In medieval times, the sea was much closer to the castle. Land reclamation at Harlech has altered the medieval setting of the castle significantly. Important wildlife habitats and species have been identified in the area. Lime-loving plants found here are believed to flourish on lime that has leached out from the mortar of the castle over the centuries. The town and castle lie within the Arudwy area on the register of landscapes of outstanding historic interest in Wales, which is rich in archaeological remains indicating human occupation well before the castle was built.

The Conwy estuary is a Site of Special Scientific Interest and an important habitat for otters.



3.4 Social and Cultural Context ▸

This section explores elements of more recent history that are of relevance to the four castles and walled towns, including:

- their role in the conservation movement
- their passage into the care of Cadw on behalf of the Welsh Ministers
- the commemorative role of Caernarfon Castle
- the role of the castles in the twentieth century
- Welsh nationalism and cultural identity
- the rise of modern tourism.

3.4.1 The Conservation Movement ▸

The repair and restoration of Caernarfon Castle began at about the same time as the beginnings of the wider preservation movement elsewhere in the UK. Research work by Richard Avent³¹ identified the formative influence of the early conservation work at Caernarfon in developing the conservation philosophy of 'conserve as found'. Although consideration was given to selling the castle in the early part of the nineteenth century, it was decided that it should remain in Crown ownership. In 1845, Anthony Salvin, a leading Victorian architect, was asked to report on the condition of the castle. However, the restoration of the castle mainly took place as a result of the vision of Sir Llewelyn Turner, who was deputy constable of the castle from 1870 to 1903. Turner undertook a significant restoration programme at the castle, innovatively funded through a four pence entrance fee charged to visitors. The impact of Turner's work remains apparent in the castle as it appears today, not least in terms of its crenellated wall tops, largely restored under his direction. Fortunately, his use of contrasting stonework identifies the modern restoration.

At Conwy, attempts were made to protect the appearance of the castle during the construction of Stephenson's railway bridge in 1849, by incorporating a mock-Gothic archway into the town wall. Further substantial interventions were undertaken in 1876 to the 'high tower' in the medieval

Many of Caernarfon Castle's crenellated battlements were restored by Sir Llewelyn Turner.



town wall. Shortly after, the large breach in the Bakehouse Tower was repaired; this was probably a result of deliberate slighting following the final surrender of the castle to Royalist forces during the Civil War. The repair of the latter by the London and North Western Railway Company was during the course of a significant landscaping exercise to install railway sidings alongside the castle. In the middle of the twentieth century, buildings that had grown up against the town walls were removed in an effort to improve the appearance of the walled circuit and a nineteenth-century gateway designed by Telford was demolished in 1958.

The castles passed into State care at various times during the twentieth century. In 1908, Caernarfon Castle passed into the care of the Office of Works, which took on responsibility for its conservation according to the prevailing principle of 'conserve as found'. Harlech Castle has been maintained as an ancient monument since 1914 and Beaumaris Castle since 1925, when the Commissioners of Works carried out a large-scale conservation programme that included stripping back vegetation, digging out the moat and repairing stonework. In 1953, Conwy Castle and Town Walls were leased from the Conway Corporation (now Conwy County Borough Council) on a 99-year lease. Today, all four castles and the town walls are cared for by Cadw on behalf of the Welsh Ministers according to *Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales*.³²

Restoration work at Caernarfon at the beginning of the twentieth century. Here, a massive oak beam is being brought into the castle from the quay echoing centuries of building practice.



The repair to the Bakehouse Tower in Conwy Castle, made by the London and North Western Railway Company, is clearly visible as different coloured stonework in the middle tower.



3.4.2 Commemorative Role ▾

The tradition of holding the investiture of recent princes of Wales at Caernarfon Castle began in 1911, on the recommendation of David Lloyd George, then Chancellor of the Exchequer. To date there have been two ceremonies: Prince Edward (later King Edward VIII) in 1911 and Prince Charles, the current prince of Wales, in 1969.

The two investitures were viewed by the Welsh people in very different ways, as summarised by Alun Ffred Jones, then Minister for Heritage in his paper 'King Edward I's Castles in North Wales — Now and Tomorrow.'³³ The 1911 investiture attempted to celebrate the medieval conflicts of its origin, with journalists depicting Caernarfon Castle both as a 'means of subjugating Wales, but also a tribute to the tenacity of the Welsh.'³⁴ A Welsh journalist at the time wrote that 'the old castle of the oppressor is in its ruins: the national spirit is stronger than ever it was before' and Caernarfon Castle was seen as testament to the strength of medieval Welsh resistance and also as the scene of reconciliation.³⁵

The 1969 investiture took place in a different political climate, at a time when there were concerns about the political future of Wales and the survival of the Welsh language. Although the investiture was popular amongst many, Caernarfon Castle was also the scene of several protests and the focus of an anti-investiture campaign.

The castles have also been used as a focus for commemorative events; for example, lighting has been used on the castle walls at Caernarfon to remember soldiers who died in the First World War and the 'Weeping Window' poppy sculpture, by artist Paul Cummins and designer Tom Piper, was on display here during autumn 2016. A beacon was lit at Beaumaris Castle to commemorate VE Day. In 2011, Harlech Castle was selected as one of 26 iconic UK landmarks to appear on a set of commemorative stamps produced by the Royal Mail.

Owain Glyndŵr's flag flying at Caernarfon Castle on 16 September 2008 to mark the day of his proclamation as prince of Wales in 1400.



The 'Weeping Window' poppy sculpture on display at Caernarfon Castle in 2016 to remember soldiers who died in the First World War, 1914–18.



3.4.3 Welsh Nationalism and Cultural Identity ▯

In the nineteenth and twentieth centuries Wales became increasingly conscious of its national identity. The 1997 devolution referendum and the subsequent Government of Wales Act 1998 devolved powers from Parliament to the National Assembly for Wales.


History has bequeathed two sets of cultural values to the castles and town walls of King Edward I in Gwynedd. On the one hand, they are admired for their grandeur and for their quality as works of architecture; on the other, they are seen as symbols of oppression and alienation. Both sets of cultural values contribute to the importance of the World Heritage Site.

The paper by Alun Ffred Jones describes the feelings of pride, admiration and resentment that 'jostle closely together' in relation to King Edward's castles, with feelings being 'naturally strongest in Gwynedd, and most marked in the case of Caernarfon, where one of the most strongly Welsh-speaking towns is watched over by the grandest and most symbolic of King Edward's castles.'³⁶

Recent cultural events celebrating Welsh distinctiveness and identity have included the Urdd National Eisteddfod, held in Gwynedd in 2012, with a parade through the town and proclamation ceremony held at Caernarfon Castle attended by more than 6,000 people in 2011.

The Welsh language is central to the cultural distinctiveness of Wales and has been the main carrier of Welsh identity for centuries. The presence of the Welsh language in north-west Wales is particularly strong. 2011 census data identified the percentage of Welsh speakers in Gwynedd and Anglesey as 65.4 per cent and 57.2 per cent of the population respectively (the average across Wales is some 19 per cent). At ward level, this figure can be as high as 86 per cent in Caernarfon. The presence of Welsh speakers in Conwy, further to the east, is much lower (27.4 per cent for the county borough in the 2011 census). This is a result of factors similar to those experienced elsewhere in Wales, such as in-migration from England, out-migration of Welsh speakers, educational factors and a decline in the way the Welsh language has been passed from one generation to the next. There is, however, recognition of the need to safeguard and nurture the position of the Welsh language in the community.

The proclamation of the 2012 Urdd Eisteddfod was held in Caernarfon Castle in 2011 (left) (© Urdd Gobaith Cymru). A silent disco was held in Caernarfon Castle in 2017 (right) (© Gwynedd Council).



3.4.4 The Growth of Tourism ▯

For much of recorded history, travel was difficult, uncomfortable, expensive and frequently dangerous. It is only since the mid-nineteenth century that travel for pleasure has become more affordable, safer and less difficult (first with the introduction of the railways, then cars and the increasing availability of international travel). Increases in the standard of living, the introduction of annual holidays and an increasing desire for travel have all fuelled the rapid and massive growth in modern tourism seen towards the end of the twentieth century.

The four castles have long been destinations for visitors to north Wales. In the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, they were a magnet for poets and painters, who were unable to travel to mainland Europe as a result of the Napoleonic wars. In the mid-nineteenth century, the arrival in north Wales of the railway made the area more accessible for tourists. For example, the arrival of the railway in Harlech in 1867 heralded an increase in the number of visitors to the town in the summer months. In the middle of the twentieth century, tourism fast became an important element of the economic fabric of Wales with the north Wales coast, Snowdonia and the castles proving particular draws. The 1960s and 70s saw a high point in visitor numbers and tourism growth: in 1976, over one million visits were made to the four castles. Since this time, changes in the holiday market have included cheaper flights and competition from destinations abroad. The previous management plan identified that between 1986 and 2004, visitor numbers to the castles declined by some 15 per cent. There has also been a change in visitor habits, with increases in short breaks and day trips rather than formal holidays. This change in visitor behaviour has continued and visitor numbers have also increased in recent years.

The arrival of the railway in north Wales heralded a steady increase in visitors encouraged by advertising such as this poster promoting Harlech Castle (left) (National Railway Museum/Science and Society Photographic Library). The annual Conwy Gwledd Feast, which celebrates food, music and art, encourages more visitors to the walled town and quayside (right) (Photograph courtesy of Conwy Gwledd Feast).



3.4.5 The Castles in Art, Music and Literature ▯

As monuments in the Welsh landscape, the castles have inspired artists throughout their long history. In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, they were subjects for topographical artists. Examples of this genre include John Boydell's engraving *A North West View of Caernarfon Castle* (1749) and engravings by the brothers Samuel and Nathaniel Buck, who illustrated all four castles in 1742.

With the beginning of the Romantic movement, artists were inspired to paint the castles in naturalistic landscapes to show their relationship with their settings. For example, Paul Sandby painted both Conwy and Harlech in 1776. When the Romantic artists were unable to travel to mainland Europe during the Napoleonic Wars, they discovered other parts of Britain, including Snowdonia. All four castles were painted on many occasions by artists who used them to express

John Boydell's 1749 engraving, A North West View of Caernarfon Castle (top) (By permission of Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru — National Library of Wales). Paul Sandby's 1777 watercolour of Harlech Castle (bottom) (Leeds Museums and Galleries (Leeds Art Gallery), UK/Bridgeman Images).



Julius Caesar Ibbetson's **Conway Castle, Moonlight at the Ferry** (1794) (top) (© Victoria and Albert Museum, London). J. M. W. Turner's watercolour **Beaumaris Castle Isle of Anglesey**, painted about 1835 (bottom) (© Courtesy of the Huntington Art Collections, San Marino, California).



their own visions of Romantic landscapes. Examples include *Conway Castle, Moonlight at the Ferry* (1794) by Julius Caesar Ibbetson (1759–1817) and, perhaps most famously, a series of paintings by J. M. W. Turner.

Turner discovered north Wales in two tours in 1798–99, returning with a series of sketchbooks which became the basis for a number of his finest finished paintings, including *Harlech Castle from Tygwyn Ferry, Summer's Evening Twilight* (around 1798–99), *Caernarvon Castle, North Wales* (1799–1800) and *Conway Castle* (about 1803). Two later paintings followed; *Caernarvon Castle, Wales* around 1832 and *Beaumaris Castle, Isle of Anglesey* around 1835.

More recently, twentieth-century artists have sought to recreate historical scenes in the light of current knowledge. Examples include Alan Sorrell (1904–74), whose painting of Harlech Castle shows clearly how it could have been supplied from the sea; Terry Ball (1931–2011) and John Banbury (1938–97), who produced 'bird's-eye' views of all four castles; Ivan Lapper (1939–), whose paintings illustrate the walled towns and particular events in their history, and Chris Jones-Jenkins (1954–), who specialises in cutaway reconstruction illustrations.

Links between the castles and literature are primarily related to *The Mabinogion*, a collection of eleven tales of Celtic medieval mythology. References are made both to Caernarfon in 'The Dream of the Emperor Maxen' and to Harlech in *The Mabinogion*.

Maxen Wledig was emperor of Rome. One day he went hunting with his retinue and around midday fell asleep in the sun. He had a dream which saw him travelling along a river valley until he reached the highest mountain he had ever seen and beyond it were the 'fairest plains that anyone had ever seen'. He travelled on to the mouth of a great river, and saw 'a great city at the mouth of the river, and a great wall around the city with many great towers of different colours'. He travelled further until he reached more mountains. 'From there he saw an island in the sea ... From that mountain he saw a river crossing the land, making for the sea, and at the mouth of the river he saw a great castle, the fairest anyone had ever seen'. The dream led him to the castle gate which he found open and inside he found a hall with a roof of gold tiles and walls lined in sparkling stones and gold paved floors. He sat down in a golden chair with a beautiful maiden and had his

Ivan Lapper's imaginative reconstruction of the Welsh attack on Caernarfon in September 1294, painted in 1993.



Chris Jones-Jenkins's reconstruction of the outer gate at Beaumaris Castle (2010).




arms around her. At that point he awoke from his dream and was determined to find this maiden. He sent messengers travelling the world looking for her to no avail until one group reached the island of Britain and found Eryri, realising that this was the land that their lord had seen and finally located the maiden seated in a gold chair at the castle.³⁷

Harlech is particularly associated with Bendigeidfran, who was king of the island of Britain and brother to Branwen. Sitting on the rock above the sea at Harlech with his brothers, they saw a fleet of 13 ships coming from Ireland. The ships brought Matholwch, the king of Ireland, who came to ask for the hand of Branwen in marriage. A great feast was arranged, but Bendigeidfran's brother, Efnysien, was displeased and took revenge by maiming the king's horses, causing Matholwch to leave in anger. Although Bendigeidfran persuaded him back and compensated him, back in Ireland there was disquiet at how the king had been treated in Wales; Branwen was forced into servitude. When word reached Bendigeidfran in Wales he vowed to go to Ireland and take revenge. The legend suggests that he was such a big man that he waded across the sea while his army went by ship. His mission failed, ending in bloodshed and remorse; seven men journeyed back to Harlech with the still living head of Bendigeidfran, where they then feasted for seven years. Eventually, Bendigeidfran's head was buried in London, facing France, to ward against invasion from the sea. A sculpture of Bendigeidfran by the sculptor Ivor Roberts-Jones (1913–96) sits outside Harlech Castle.

In terms of musical history, although no music relates specifically to the era of King Edward I, the Welsh folk song 'Men of Harlech' is believed to have been inspired by the siege of Harlech Castle in 1468 during the Wars of the Roses. The symbolism and meaning of the castles through the words of Welsh poets have been examined by Dylan Foster Evans.³⁸

*Ivor Robert-Jones's sculpture, The Two Kings, outside Harlech Castle, depicts a scene from the story of Branwen in **The Mabinogion** with Bendigeidfran returning with the body of his nephew, Gwern.*

The story of Branwen re-told in comic-book format aims to engage with new and young readers.



3.5 The World Heritage Site Communities Today ⇐

Today, the four castles are at the heart of four different communities. Caernarfon, the county town of Gwynedd is the largest with a population of over 9,000 (9,615 in 2011 census). It has a busy infrastructure and includes both Welsh Government and local authority administrative centres, national hotel and supermarket chains as well as regional businesses and trades. Conwy has a population of over 4,000 (4,065 in 2011 census) and lies alongside the mainline railway and the A55 coast road. A greater proportion of this community is employed in tourism or at the council offices. Beaumaris and Harlech are almost identical in size and much smaller with a population of around 2,000 each (in the 2011 census, Beaumaris was recorded with 1,938 residents and Harlech with 1,997). Beaumaris's geographical location makes it less accessible than Conwy, for example, and as a result is perhaps a more closely knit community, but its focus on tourism is equally strong.

The town of Beaumaris has developed as a visitor destination and today is a picturesque resort. The town has retained much of its medieval street pattern and the high street is home to a high proportion of independent retailers. The castle attracted 82,103 visitors in 2016/17. Investment from projects, such as the Anglesey Coastal Environment project, has improved facilities in the town, including the refurbished pier. An independent study in 2013³⁹ found that the World Heritage Site in Beaumaris contributed £1.2million to the local economy (equivalent to 60–70 jobs). Although the town and surrounding rural areas are generally considered affluent, there remain pockets of relative deprivation and unemployment.

Beaumaris is a small, closely knit community on the island of Anglesey, with a strong focus on tourism.

Harlech has a vibrant and active community keen to identify potential opportunities for the town.



Caernarfon Castle attracted 301,567 visitors during 2016/17. The 2013 study identified that the castle contributed £2.6 million to the local economy (equivalent to 142 jobs).⁴⁰ The castle continues to be a focus for regeneration investment, delivered through a multi-agency partnership, which includes Gwynedd Council and Cadw. Recent and future projects include the continued regeneration of Caernarfon Waterfront (focusing on the historic Island Site at Slate Quay), as well as improving visitor facilities such as the Welsh Highland Railway terminus in the town. Caernarfon also remains home to areas of significant deprivation. The wards of Peblig and Cadnant, for example, are recognised as being areas with above average levels of poverty and unemployment.

Conwy Castle attracted 203,122 visitors in 2016/17. The 2013 study⁴¹ identified that the castle contributed around £3.1 million to the local economy (equivalent to 178 jobs). Visitor attractions include not only the castle and town walls (much of which are accessible to visitors), but also Plas Mawr Elizabethan town house. Conwy has a vibrant retail core with independent retailers and traders.

Finally, the town of Harlech has also seen recent investment in the area around the castle, with a new bridge to improve access and a new visitor centre and cafe. As a result, visitor numbers to Harlech rose dramatically from 77,000 in 2014/2015 to 102,198 in 2016/17. The castle contributed some £1.15 million to the local economy in 2013 with the expectation that this figure will grow in line with visitor numbers.⁴² Whilst the new bridge and visitor centre have already had a very positive impact on the town and appear to have influenced the re-opening of a number of businesses, the town remains vulnerable. Harlech has a vibrant and active community, with local groups coming together to identify potential opportunities for the future of the town. These may include improvements to the town centre where there are high vacancy rates and a number of buildings in need of environmental improvement.

Caernarfon is the county town of Gwynedd and the largest of the four towns. Local facilities include the marina at Victoria Dock (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales)

Conwy is a busy town on the main routes (A55 and railway) across north Wales making it accessible and attractive for tourists.





This iconic view of Harlech Castle captures the majesty of the medieval fortress. The overarching principles set out in this plan will help guide the management of the World Heritage Site.

4 Management Needs and Policy Framework

4.1 Introduction

The previous chapters of this management plan have set out the significance and value of the World Heritage Site. This chapter identifies the vision and overarching principles, and objectives and policies that will guide the continued management of the World Heritage Site, addressing specific management needs and opportunities.

4.2 Vision and Overarching Principles for the World Heritage Site

The vision for the World Heritage Site is:

To celebrate and protect the distinctiveness of the World Heritage Site and its surrounding communities so that it brings social and economic benefits now and in the future.

Tourism brings vital economic benefits to the World Heritage Site. Here, tourists are enjoying their visit to Conwy Castle.




4.3 The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015⁴³ ⇐

The continued management of the World Heritage Site reflects the distinctive and forward thinking legislative context within Wales. The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (the 2015 Act) sets seven well-being goals that together provide a shared vision for the future of Wales. Policies developed to manage the World Heritage Site will each contribute to one or more of these goals, which have the principle of sustainable development at their core.

The 2015 Act requires public bodies in Wales to work towards the seven goals.

The seven goals of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.



- **A prosperous Wales (Goal 1)** — an innovative, productive and low-carbon society which recognises the limits of the global environment and therefore uses resources efficiently and proportionately; and which develops a skilled and well-educated population in an economy which generates wealth and provides employment opportunities, allowing people to take advantage of the wealth generated through securing decent work.
- **A resilient Wales (Goal 2)** — a nation which maintains and enhances a biodiverse natural environment with healthy functioning ecosystems that support social, economic and ecological resilience and the capacity to adapt to change (for example, climate change).
- **A healthier Wales (Goal 3)** — a society in which people's physical and mental well-being is maximised and in which choices and behaviours that benefit future health are understood.
- **A more equal Wales (Goal 4)** — a society that enables people to fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances.
- **A Wales of cohesive communities (Goal 5)** — attractive, viable, safe and well-connected communities.
- **A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language (Goal 6)** — a society that promotes and protects culture, heritage and the Welsh language, and which encourages people to participate in the arts, and sports and recreation.
- **A globally responsive Wales (Goal 7)** — a nation which, when doing anything to improve the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales, takes account of whether doing such a thing may make a positive contribution to global well-being.

Through its links with resident and visitor communities, and the local and national economy, the World Heritage Site can help public bodies to achieve these goals.

4.4 Underlying Principles ▾

The following four themes cut across all activity undertaken as part of the management plan and are crucial to its successful delivery.

- Protecting Outstanding Universal Value
- Shared responsibility
- Community engagement
- Welsh language and culture

4.4.1 Protecting Outstanding Universal Value ▾

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value and the key attributes which contribute to the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site are described in Chapter 2. ICOMOS advises that 'World Heritage Sites need to be seen as single entities that manifest Outstanding Universal Value'. Outstanding Universal Value is expressed in a range of attributes and it is these that need to be protected in order to sustain Outstanding Universal Value.⁴⁴ Decision makers will therefore need to assess the impact of proposals within the World Heritage Site on its key attributes, both individually and collectively. Where appropriate, local planning authorities can ask for information, which may include a heritage impact assessment from developers, for proposals likely to have an impact on the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site.

A further safeguarding measure relates to the requirements set out in the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*.⁴⁵ Paragraph 172 states that development proposals that may have an impact on the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site may need to be notified (via DCMS) to the UNESCO World Heritage Committee Secretariat, so that the committee can advise on appropriate safeguarding measures.

The new access bridge to Harlech Castle was designed to minimise the impact on the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site.



4.4.2 Shared Responsibility ▾

World Heritage Sites are recognised globally as places of exceptional importance. Excellence in conservation practice is therefore required to safeguard their future. To achieve this, there needs to be a widespread understanding and awareness amongst different types of organisation (public, private and voluntary sectors) of the special values of the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site and acceptance of a common responsibility to protect these values. The starting point is the inclusion of relevant management considerations associated with the World Heritage Site in plans and strategies. These should include (but are not limited to) local development plans, destination management plans, local economic strategies, local transport plans, place plans, site development briefs, flood risk assessments, shoreline management plans, and the plans and strategies of highways departments and utilities companies.

Public sector money is used in a variety of ways to deliver projects either directly or indirectly within the World Heritage Site and its surroundings. Direct purposes may include local authority and Snowdonia National Park Authority spending on regeneration projects, highways and townscape improvements. Spend may also take place indirectly through the provision of grant funding to private sector or voluntary sector organisations (for example, grants to improve listed buildings, grants to charities or to local businesses). Where public sector money is being used for projects located within the World Heritage Site, or its essential setting, it is important that there is adherence to the wider policies and objectives contained in this management plan to ensure that best conservation practice can be achieved.

The site of the new Ffestiniog and Welsh Highland Railway Station, which is a joint project with multiple stakeholders that will benefit the World Heritage Site.



4.4.3 Community Engagement ▾

UNESCO strongly encourages World Heritage Sites to recognise that local communities who are either in, part of or adjacent to a World Heritage Site need to play a more important role in the long-term sustainable development and management of these internationally important places. Additionally, within the Welsh public sector there has been growing recognition of the benefits to services and the public when positive, transparent and constructive engagement approaches are established.

In simple terms community engagement is 'a planned and systematic process with the specific purpose of working with identified and diverse groups of people, whether they are connected by geographic location, special interest, or affiliation or identify to address issues affecting their well-being.'⁴⁶ Community engagement can take many forms ranging from informing the community of policy directions of the government through to empowering the community to make decisions and to implement and manage change themselves.

In recognition of this importance, a Stakeholder and Community Engagement Strategy will be formally published with its main actions aligning with the key objectives of the action plan. This reinforces the point that community engagement or participation can take place through any activity.

Locally based Arduwy Knights regularly re-enact thirteenth-century life at Harlech and Caernarfon castles to encourage tourism and raise funds for local charities.



4.4.4 Welsh Language and Culture ▯

The Welsh language contributes to the sense of place of an area and is an essential part of the communities within the World Heritage Site. The proportion of Welsh speakers in wards of Caernarfon, such as Seiont and Menai, is in the region of 86 per cent. Although there is concern that the proportion of Welsh speakers has declined marginally in the 10 years between the 2001 and 2011 censuses, the safeguarding and promotion of the Welsh language is a strategic requirement for Welsh Government, local authorities and National Park authorities through the Welsh Language Measure 2011, which requires compliance with a number of approved standards.⁴⁷ There is recognition of the contribution that the Welsh language makes to both cultural distinctiveness and, in turn, the development of the economy (for example, as an attribute that sets north-west Wales apart from other areas).

World Heritage Site status can be used to help promote the use of the Welsh language and help maintain its important day-to-day role in the cultural role of the surrounding communities. In order to help retain what is special about the area, the promotion of the Welsh language should be incorporated into all management plan objectives and policies within the action plan. For example, this would apply to proposals for new signage and interpretation through to proposals for new development within the four towns of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech. There will also be a commitment to work with the three 'Menter Iaith' (language schemes) initiatives across the three local authority areas to take forward specific projects or collaborative arrangements.

The promenade at Caernarfon was resurfaced and made more attractive through the installation of historic artefacts from the local area as part of a heritage-led regeneration scheme.



The World Heritage Site emblem appears in Welsh, as well as French and English, to remind visitors from far and near that Welsh is a thriving language.



4.5 Policy Framework ↵

Underpinned by the general principles described in section 4.4, this management plan sets out a series of objectives supported by a suite of specific policies which have been developed in response to analysis of issues and opportunities identified in the course of preparing the plan. Maintaining and conserving the fabric of the World Heritage Site to the highest standards will always be paramount, but there are several other recurring themes which this policy framework is also designed to address.

The World Heritage Site is a single site with four separate locations, and it has a distinctive relationship with its setting in each of the four towns. Stronger promotion of the site as a single entity must be balanced with recognition of the distinctive character of each of its component parts. At the same time, protecting the setting is vital, not only to safeguard the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site, but also to make the most of the opportunities that the site presents. This plan therefore places particular emphasis on the protection and management of change in the setting, and also on maintaining and enhancing a sense of place.

The role of heritage as an economic driver is now well attested. There are clear opportunities to realise the economic potential of the World Heritage Site more fully, in the process tackling a continuing need for regeneration and resilience in the local and regional economic mix. The plan therefore includes specific objectives and policies focused on the local economy and regeneration. It also recognises that there are opportunities to improve and enhance the visitor experience, and to strengthen the way that the site and its setting are marketed and promoted.

Another important issue relates to the need to realise social benefits from the World Heritage Site and to develop a strong relationship with its local communities to overcome barriers to access. This is the focus of policies for education and lifelong learning, but it is also an important element of the management process itself, which is committed to an inclusive and collaborative approach.

Broader international and environmental issues such as Brexit and climate change present some important risks for every World Heritage Site, but highlight the need for proactive risk management and good governance arrangements.

Effective performance in all these thematic areas requires a strong collaborative model, based on trust, partnership and clear communication.

4.6 Objectives ▾

Following extensive consultation and analysis during the development of the management plan, 12 themes have been identified to provide the framework for delivery during the 2018–28 period.

- 1 Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: conservation of the historic fabric
- 2 Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: archaeological heritage
- 3 Safeguarding the setting of the World Heritage Site
- 4 Sense of place
- 5 Local economy and regeneration
- 6 The visitor experience
- 7 Marketing and promotion
- 8 Sustainable travel
- 9 Education and lifelong learning
- 10 Research and understanding
- 11 Risk management
- 12 Management process

Maintaining the historic fabric of the World Heritage Site to the highest national and international conservation standards is essential.



4.6.1 Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: Conservation of the Historic Fabric

The castles and town walls need regular maintenance carried out to the highest standard using appropriate materials and techniques. Notwithstanding financial pressures, it is essential that the conservation and maintenance of the World Heritage Site is treated as the highest priority. Stakeholders will be encouraged to regard the proper conservation of the immediate environs of the castles and town walls as being equally deserving of the highest standard of care in line with current best practice.

The findings of the 2016 Welsh Government's quinquennial inspection to review the condition of the site identified the current state of the World Heritage Site as good, with relatively few category 1 and 2 works necessary. Category 1 works have the highest priority and need immediate attention; category 2 works should ideally be carried out within one year. This is a positive reflection on the rolling conservation programme that has been put in place. Works that have been identified in the inspection will form part of the future conservation programme for the castles and town walls. Conservation work is carried out both by Cadwraeth Cymru (Cadw's in-house team of craftspeople) and by specialist contractors.

Beaumaris Castle

The works identified at Beaumaris Castle include minor maintenance repairs to some elements of stonework, including stitching corbels and pointing up cracks. Other recommended repairs are the replacement of decayed timber treads to some of the stairs, the prevention of water ingress to the Chapel Tower and the removal of vegetation to prevent ingress of water into historic fabric. Work is currently underway to resolve the Chapel Tower roof and improve presentation of the chapel.



Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls

At Caernarfon Castle, the highest priority works were identified as repairs to the Granary Tower and central chamber of the North-East Tower where water ingress is causing timber decay at lower levels. Missing bird mesh in the North-East Tower also allows pigeons to enter resulting in a build-up of guano.

The town walls at Caernarfon were found to be largely in good condition with the exception of the East Gatehouse (Porth Mawr) and West Gatehouse (Porth yr Aur), a short section of walling west of Porth Mawr and the rear section of wall running between Porth yr Aur and the castle. Conservation projects are currently underway at both gatehouses led respectively by Cadw and the Royal Welsh Yacht Club.



Illustrations of Beaumaris Castle (top) and Caernarfon Castle (bottom) by Kyffin Williams, KBE, RA.

Conwy Castle and Town Walls

The priority works at Conwy Castle were noted as fractures to stones and open cracks in multiple locations across the monument, the removal of plant growth and unblocking of some very high-level rainwater chutes which, if left unchecked, would cause deterioration to the adjacent stonework.

The town walls require very similar work as the castle, with only limited areas of excessive vegetation build-up in the more inaccessible locations.

Harlech Castle

The castle fabric was reported to be in a generally good state of repair; only vegetation removal and associated re-pointing were identified for action. There is also a need to improve accessibility around the castle, including works to handrails.

As well as conserving the historic fabric, it is important to maintain high-quality visitor amenities, facilities and interpretation in good condition. The recent significant improvements at all four sites have shown how sensitive installations can improve access and advance visitor understanding and appreciation of the monuments. Cadw has a crucial role to play in ensuring that conservation and visitor improvement initiatives apply best practice and meet all relevant statutory and guidance requirements. This includes taking advice from partners and international heritage organisations as appropriate.



Illustrations of Conwy Castle (top) and Harlech Castle (bottom) by Kyffin Williams, KBE, RA.

Objective I: Maintain the castles and town walls to the highest national and international conservation standards.

Policy IA All works to the castles and town walls will be carried out according to best-practice guidance, including adherence to Cadw's *Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales*.⁴⁸

Policy IB Repairs identified in the quinquennial inspection will be prioritised, funded and implemented within the necessary time period.

Policy IC Visitor facilities will be designed to enhance the World Heritage Site and its setting to avoid adverse impact on the Outstanding Universal Value or on the setting of any other adjacent historic asset.

4.6.2 Safeguarding the World Heritage Site: Archaeological Heritage ▯

Since the preparation of the last management plan there have been several archaeological discoveries that have enhanced our understanding of the World Heritage Site. Archaeological excavations during and in advance of construction projects have brought new knowledge of the castles and historical periods within each of the four towns. Examples of discoveries within the World Heritage Site include the contents of a midden, lead pistol and musket balls from the seventeenth century, and a fragment of Roman pottery at Caernarfon Castle during the recent works to construct the new ticket entrance. Archaeological assessments in relation to potential flood alleviation infrastructure in Beaumaris have highlighted a potentially sensitive archaeological area immediately to the north of the castle, including evidence for the castle moat.

Archaeological discoveries within the towns have also contributed to a broader understanding of the context and setting of the World Heritage Site. Recent archaeological excavations at Harlech, in advance of construction works at the visitor centre, recovered the remains of a building thought to be a church, as well as human remains buried in a Christian east–west orientation.

While it is important to control and limit archaeological disturbance wherever possible, redevelopment proposals within the towns may offer opportunities to develop further understanding and appreciation of, for example, living conditions and construction processes within the medieval

*The marine historic environment is important to help understand the relationship between the World Heritage Site and the sea. This cannon comes from the wreck of the **Bronze Bell** which lies off the beach at Harlech (Crown copyright: RCAHMW).*

Excavations at Harlech Castle in advance of the construction of the new access bridge revealed new evidence about the area immediately outside the castle walls (Archaeology Wales).



period. These opportunities may also offer better understanding of the historical depth of the area before or after the construction of the castles and towns. The outline characterisation work undertaken as part of the preparation of the management plan, together with more detailed characterisation work that is proposed during the lifetime of the plan, will help contribute further to our understanding and appreciation of the World Heritage Site and its setting.

The relationship between the four castles and the sea is defined in Chapter 3. Marine archaeological remains may include evidence of trading routes and shipping; for example, a substantial early eighteenth-century armed merchant ship, *Bronze Bell*, lies off the beach at Harlech. Evidence of the construction and provisioning of the castles may be recoverable; for example, the means of entry into the castle dock at Beaumaris is not clear. Research has identified the possible presence of a channel (either natural or artificial) linking the sea to the moat.

Objective 2: Conserve, promote and interpret the archaeological heritage for the benefit of current and future generations.

- Policy 2A** All projects with potential for impact on buried archaeological heritage within the World Heritage Site, or its essential setting, will be subject to archaeological evaluation to inform decision making.
- Policy 2B** There will be a presumption against developments that would result in the loss of significant archaeological remains. Mitigation options will be proportionate to significance and where appropriate will include retention of significant deposits and remains *in situ*.
- Policy 2C** Marine historic assets will be assessed, recorded and protected according to their significance; there will be a presumption against proposals that would disturb significant historic assets.
- Policy 2D** There will be active dissemination of the outcomes of all archaeological work undertaken within the World Heritage Site and its setting.

The preliminary character studies of all four towns will improve understanding and appreciation of the World Heritage Site and its setting. This view of Beaumaris captures the medieval church as well as nineteenth-century town houses.



4.6.3 Safeguarding the Setting of the World Heritage Site ▯

Setting describes the relationship of a historic asset to the surrounding landscape or townscape. Its importance lies in what it contributes to the significance of a historic asset.

Chapters 2 and 3 have described the importance of the setting of the World Heritage Site and the key attributes of the setting. The setting of the World Heritage Site includes the relationships between the castles, towns and coast, and is informed by an understanding of their historic character. It also includes three specific elements:

- the mapped **essential setting** at each of the four towns in the World Heritage Site, referring to areas outside the inscribed World Heritage Site boundary where inappropriate development would damage the visual, sensory or historic setting of the site. The boundaries of the essential settings have been reviewed in light of developments and land-use changes that have happened since the last management plan was prepared (see Table 2.1 and Maps 2.1–2.4).
- identification and protection of **significant views** at each location
- **sense of arrival** at each location.

Beaumaris from Baron Hill showing the setting of the castle and town on flat ground alongside the Menai Strait (Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



The setting of the World Heritage Site is highly vulnerable to change and its protection relies on the use of all available planning and heritage protection tools. Rigorous planning controls must be in place to protect it, and there should also be a rigorous process of assessment for any development proposals, however small, to ensure that their potential impact is fully understood before any works are approved.

Consideration of setting in the development management process is likely to include factors such as:

- functional and physical relationships with other structures/historic assets and how these have changed over time; for example, the historic waterfronts
- topographical features that have influenced the location of the castles
- physical character of the surrounding landscape or townscape, including any formal design or land use; for example, the formal planning of the medieval towns
- the original layout of the castle/town walls and how this has changed
- archaeological features and the potential for buried features surrounding the castles and town walls
- historical, artistic, literary, place name, cultural or scenic associations
- views to, from and across the castles and town walls, including any planned vistas
- the prominence of the castles and town walls in views throughout the surrounding area
- views associated with aesthetic, functional or ceremonial purposes
- other sensory elements, such as noise or smell.

Caernarfon from the air showing the prominent setting of the castle and town walls between the Menai Strait and River Seiont.



Change over time has been a feature of the four towns in which the World Heritage Site is located. Growing populations, changing technologies and increasing leisure time have all been important factors in how the towns have developed. Current development pressures that may have an impact on the setting of the World Heritage Site include:

- the pressure of housing growth to the west of Conwy
- sites within the essential or wider setting of the World Heritage Site that may come forward for redevelopment; examples may include the Victorian baths site in Beaumaris, the Coleg Harlech and St David's Hotel sites in Harlech, proposals for redevelopment along St Helen's Road in Caernarfon — specifically between the Caernarfon Harbour Trust buoy shed and southwards along St Helen's Road — and the quayside development in Conwy)
- the growth in renewable technologies, which could have a cumulative impact on setting in particular. For example, changes to permitted development rights introduced by the Welsh Government in 2012 have made it easier to install domestic microgeneration equipment, including solar panels on roofs or walls and single standalone wind turbines; permitted development is conditional on the amenity of the area and visual impact of the proposal.

In this aerial view of Conwy, the setting of the castle on a rocky outcrop overlooking the estuary and integrated with the town walls is clearly visible.



Heritage impact assessments are advocated by ICOMOS⁴⁹ as a means of assessing the potential impact of new development on World Heritage Sites and their settings. For particularly sensitive sites, the preparation of development briefs should also be considered. The purpose of a development brief is to provide information about the constraints and opportunities that may be presented by a specific site, and to set out clearly the type and design of development that may be expected or encouraged. Development briefs can be material considerations when determining planning applications.

Other World Heritage Sites in the UK have adopted supplementary planning guidance as a means of providing a rigorous planning framework for the development, regeneration and conservation of World Heritage Sites and their settings. *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales* advocates that local planning authorities adopt the management plan as support for supplementary planning guidance to ensure that World Heritage Site policies are part of the evidence base used to assess applications and proposals.⁵⁰

This distant view of Harlech shows the setting of the castle high above the Morfa and now cut off from the sea (Crown copyright: RCAHMMW).



Article 4 Directions are made by local planning authorities to restrict the scope of permitted development rights in a particular spatial area, so that full planning applications are required. They can be used to control works that could threaten the character of an area (for example, a conservation area) and to assist with the protection of historic assets and their settings.⁵¹ The designation of a conservation area does not in itself automatically lead to the creation of an Article 4 Direction. At present, only those conservation areas in Beaumaris and Conwy in the World Heritage Site have Article 4 Directions in place. Consultation with stakeholders, as part of the preparation of the new management plan, has identified that there would be benefits in having a consistent approach to the protection of the built environment in all four locations, including the use of Article 4 Directions in the conservation areas at Caernarfon and Harlech.

Aspects of setting have a particular value when they help to create a sense of arrival to the World Heritage Site. The quality of buildings, sites or landscape features associated with the major road and rail, or water access routes to the World Heritage Site can contribute to a sense of arrival for visitors, helping to prepare the visitor's response and assist in the interpretation of the World Heritage Site and its Outstanding Universal Value. For example, driving towards Conwy there are views of the physical environment in which the World Heritage Site was constructed, the monumental architecture of the walled town and castle is revealed and the road passes alongside historic assets with links to the World Heritage Site. Route appraisal may reveal opportunities to open up views of the World Heritage Site, buildings or features, assist in determining good development design or provide inspiration for appropriate materials.

There are several approaches to Caernarfon, each of which influences the visitors' sense of arrival. The roads from the west (left) and the south-east (right) emphasise the scale and location of the castle, as well as the challenge of improving the sense of arrival.



The sense of arrival at Beaumaris begins with the road sweeping along the bay past Gallows Point (top) before reaching Castle Street (bottom), which is the main shopping area.



Conwy can be approached from a number of local roads (top) but the most impressive first view is gained from the bridge across the estuary (bottom).



Whether arriving at Harlech from the Morfa (top) or along the top road (bottom), the castle is imposing perched on its rock outcrop.



Development proposals along the major tourist access routes will need to take sense of arrival into account and consider how they can contribute positively to it.

Minor development (householder, change of use) along the main access routes could have more limited impact. In these circumstances, high-quality design and landscaping, and signage in keeping with the locality will be required. The use of Article 4 Directions to support the protection of the World Heritage Site and its setting will be of further assistance.

A further issue relates to the quality of the townscape; for example, where there are listed buildings in poor condition which detract from the quality of the townscape and setting of the World Heritage Site. Buildings considered to be of special local interest (those that do not necessarily meet the criteria for listing but which nevertheless may be valued by local communities) may also have a role to play as part of the setting of the World Heritage Site. Funding opportunities should be sought to help fund listed buildings at risk within the essential or wider setting of the World Heritage Site. For example, there is an opportunity to consider and explore a strategic scheme across the four communities to ensure a consistent approach to help improve the built environment and provide traditional building skill opportunities for local people.

High Street, Conwy, is one of the two principal roads through the town.



Beaumaris townscapes outside the castle (top) and amongst the mid-nineteenth-century townhouses of Alma Street (bottom).



Palace Street (top) and Castle Ditch Street (bottom) within the walled town at Caernarfon.



Protecting the setting of the World Heritage Site relies not just on making full use of all available planning tools and on sound development management processes, but also on collaborative working to ensure consistency, exchange of good practice and to make the most effective use of resources. Civic societies and other local interest groups have an important role to play, not only through involvement in the planning process, but also in wider activity to protect, conserve and present the World Heritage Site and its setting.

Objective 3: Safeguard the setting of the World Heritage Site for the benefit of future generations.

- Policy 3A** World Heritage Site partners will work together to make full use of available planning tools to protect and enhance the setting of the World Heritage Site.
- Policy 3B** The performance of the existing mechanism of essential setting and significant views as a means of protecting the Outstanding Universal Value, integrity and authenticity of the World Heritage Site will be monitored during the lifetime of the management plan.
- Policy 3C** New development is to be of appropriate design, scale and massing so that it preserves and enhances the setting of the World Heritage Site, respects significant views and reinforces a sense of arrival.
- Policy 3D** Action will be taken to improve the condition of listed buildings and monuments at risk within the World Heritage Site boundary and its essential setting.
- Policy 3E** Cadw, local authorities, the Snowdonia National Park Authority and other stakeholders will work together to safeguard the setting of the World Heritage Site.

Harlech townscapes.



4.6.4 Sense of Place ▹

The term 'sense of place' conveys the unique feel of an area, all the things that combine to make a place special and different from other places. Welsh language and culture contribute to a sense of place, as do environmental factors such as how a place looks. The more that people recognise that where they live has a distinctive sense of place, the more civic pride is generated.⁵²

The local distinctiveness of the four towns of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy, and Harlech is promoted through physical factors, such as unique street patterns and the quality of the built environment, as well as through types of local businesses and services, and products offered. Protecting these elements is central to protecting the sense of place that they provide. Local communities need to be aware of what it is that makes the place in which they live special and to embrace this distinctiveness.

Each of the four towns has its own distinctive sense of place created by many factors including history, layout and architecture — Beaumaris (top), Caernarfon (bottom).



Townscape issues that can detract from sense of place include high proportions of vacant shop units and poor-quality shop frontages. Approximately 16 per cent of retail floorspace in Caernarfon is vacant (twice the GB average), with vacant properties concentrated along High Street in the old part of the town, which detracts from an area of otherwise high architectural and environmental value. The Gwynedd–Anglesey Retail Study (2013)⁵³ identified that Caernarfon could develop a niche in the retail hierarchy through the attraction of better-quality independent retailers, thereby tapping into available tourist expenditure. Harlech too has suffered from relatively high numbers of empty shop units in recent years, though it is hoped that the new bridge, visitor centre and cafe opposite the castle may go some way towards regenerating the town.

Each of the four towns has its own distinctive sense of place created by many factors including history, layout and architecture — Conwy (top) and Harlech (bottom).



Other recent research has included the study of the historic character of the Caernarfon Waterfront.⁵⁴ The research has helped inform proposals for the regeneration of this area, focusing on the Menai and Seiont waterfronts, and immediately adjacent urban areas. The study identified not only distinct character areas (the Promenade, Bank Quay/Victoria Dock, the Slate Quay, the Maes, Segontium Terrace and Tre'r Gof, and Coed Helen), but also described design parameters for redevelopment.

Following on from the Caernarfon Waterfront historic character study, further character work in the three other castle towns that make up the World Heritage Site would provide baseline evidence to inform future initiatives. Character studies could also engage and involve local communities, using for instance Civic Trust Cymru's toolkit, *Exploring your Town*.⁵⁵ Some interest in this has already been expressed in Harlech.

The World Heritage Site spans four local planning authority areas, each with different funding priorities and plans. This means that a strategic funding plan would benefit the World Heritage Site, its setting and inhabitants to avoid piecemeal townscape improvements. One example could be to take a strategic approach to discussions with the Heritage Lottery Fund about specific opportunities to aid townscape improvements or through opportunities that may arise with the growing support for Business Improvement Districts.

Objective 4: Support and promote local distinctiveness within the World Heritage Site, recognising the characteristics and diversity of each of the four towns.

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Policy 4A | The legibility of the plot pattern in the four towns will be protected. |
| Policy 4B | Historic character will be used to better understand the four towns and inform future development helping enhance their distinctiveness and safeguarding the World Heritage Site. |
| Policy 4C | The individual character and appearance of the four town centres will be protected and enhanced. Proposals for changes to the appearance of buildings within each of the town centres, for example, to shopfronts, will be assessed against available guidance, such as conservation area standards or local design guides. |
| Policy 4D | Enterprises that derive social or economic benefit from the World Heritage Site and which enhance local distinctiveness will be fostered. |

4.6.5 Local Economy and Regeneration ▢

The policies contained within this management plan aim to foster partnerships between different stakeholders that will embrace heritage and enhance the World Heritage Site in the future, on the basis that this will also be good for the economic life of north Wales.

There is good evidence to demonstrate that heritage is an economic driver and that heritage-led regeneration can be highly beneficial. A report published by UK National Commission for UNESCO in February 2016 valued the financial benefits of World Heritage Sites to the UK at £85 million in 2014/15 alone. It also recognised the impact of investment at World Heritage Sites across the country in terms of supporting jobs and communities. In addition to the impact on the tourism economy, there are potential beneficial impacts for local businesses and residents in terms of:

- stimulation of new products and markets; for example, through the development of the World Heritage Site brand/identity which may bring footfall to businesses within World Heritage Site destinations
- contribution both to regional development and local regeneration; examples might include how the World Heritage Site identity can be used as a way to 'sell' the benefits of an area. Other World Heritage Sites in the UK have identified how the designation has attracted new business to an area (particularly in the fields of visual arts and design) and how the identity can raise aspirations about the quality of an area.
- attracting inward investment; for example, through the creation of partnerships, or through engaging businesses and communities with the aims of the project.

*Valuing the Welsh Historic Environment*⁵⁶ identified that the historic environment is a highly significant contributor to the Welsh economy, supporting over 30,000 full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs in Wales and contributing approximately £840 million to Wales's national gross value added (GVA) (equivalent to 1.9 per cent of Wales's total GVA). The Welsh historic environment contributes to the economic well-being of people and communities through support for wider regeneration activities, acts as a catalyst for investment and enhances skills for jobs.

The heritage-led regeneration of Caernarfon Waterfront, including the promenade, complements the World Heritage Site and enhances its tourism potential and economic prospects.



The E4G report, *The Economic Impact of the Heritage Tourism Environment for Growth (E4G) Project (2014)*,⁵⁷ shows that the castles of the World Heritage Site attract more than 500,000 visitors each year. Conwy Castle alone has a GVA directly attributable to the site of just over £3 million per annum and supports nearly 180 FTE jobs. Equivalent figures for Caernarfon Castle and Harlech Castle are £2.7 million per annum (142 FTE jobs) and £1.1 million (63 FTE jobs) respectively. This level of economic activity is a major driver both for Wales, north Wales and the local economy. The policies in this management plan aim to enable this to be harnessed to its maximum potential at the same time as passionately protecting the Outstanding Universal Value which is the *raison d'être* of the World Heritage Site.

Heritage-led regeneration can be a positive catalyst to achieve economic change in an area, creating jobs, initiating wider improvements and furthering the development of community pride and identity. This is of particular relevance for areas within the World Heritage Site that may suffer from relatively high levels of deprivation; for example, certain wards and communities within Caernarfon. Heritage-led regeneration opportunities, which may come forward during the lifetime of the management plan, include the redevelopment of both the Slate Quay area and Porth Mawr in Caernarfon (the latter potentially providing access to a section of the town walls), the quayside area in Conwy and the St David's Hotel and Coleg Harlech sites in Harlech. These proposals may help create commercial opportunities and provide employment as well as create a sense of place and use heritage to invigorate communities.

A demonstration of traditional woodworking skills at Beaumaris Castle. Traditional skills training can boost local employment opportunities.



Throughout the consultation period there were strong views on the need to have effective partnership arrangements in the four communities. Three of the towns specifically highlighted the need to explore the establishment of forums that could identify and drive forwards such opportunities. Using the *Solving our own problems!* event template, which has been developed effectively by the Irish Walled Town Network,⁵⁸ could be one approach to build interest and community-led action.

The World Heritage family has global coverage and aims to promote cultural and educational ties. Evolving relationships with other countries and regions on heritage regeneration matters may therefore help to provide further best practice on improving approaches and strategies taken in the World Heritage Site. Links have already been developed with the Irish Walled Town Network, helping to provide a range of ideas and experiences in delivering change across a broad network of towns across Ireland. Broadening and improving collaborative opportunities with other important UNESCO designations may also provide similar outcomes. Work has already begun on improving the relationship with Geomon, the geopark designation for the Isle of Anglesey.

The importance of heritage to the economy and communities of north-west Wales is further emphasised by the nomination of the cultural landscape associated with the slate industry in Gwynedd as a new World Heritage Site and the opportunities this may present for joint marketing and community regeneration proposals. On a similar vein, such opportunities should also be explored during the development of the new management plan for the Snowdonia National Park Authority.

Repair and maintenance works to historic buildings (those constructed pre-1919) comprise an important element of the historic environment economy. In Gwynedd, heritage construction supports 350 jobs, generates a turnover of £32 million and a GVA of £16 million.⁵⁹ The conservation work programme required for the World Heritage Site encourages the development and continuation of traditional skills through contracting with specialist local and regional companies, engaging with the education and training sectors, and partnering with appropriate social enterprises such as CAIS.

Objective 5: Use World Heritage Site status to support sustainable economic diversity and growth in the local and regional economy.

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Policy 5A | Regeneration opportunities that complement or enhance the World Heritage Site will be supported. |
| Policy 5B | The conservation work programme will be used as a catalyst to encourage the development and continuation of traditional skills and specialist conservation work. |
| Policy 5C | Improved understanding of the World Heritage Site will aid partnership working and investment opportunities. |
| Policy 5D | There will be collaborative working with other relevant initiatives to build and enhance economic conditions (the nomination of the Slate Industry of North Wales for World Heritage Site status, the development of the Snowdonia National Park Authority management plan and the Geomon geopark). |

4.6.6 The Visitor Experience ▾

The four castles are all major visitor attractions. Visitors to each of the castles vary: Conwy and Caernarfon have the highest visitor numbers per annum (203,122 and 310,567 respectively in 2016/17). This is due mainly to their accessible locations, links with coach tours and their international reputations. Visitor numbers to Harlech and Beaumaris are lower, which reflects their more 'off the beaten track' locations. Beaumaris attracted 82,103 visitors in 2016/17 and Harlech attracted 102,198, which still makes them some of the most visited attractions in north Wales. Caernarfon and Harlech castles have each seen a significant growth in visitor numbers between 2015/16 and 2016/17 on account of the 'Weeping Window' exhibition at Caernarfon and the opening of the new visitor centre and bridge at Harlech.

Cadw's latest comprehensive visitor surveys undertaken in 2015 show some important insights and trends:⁶⁰

- three in four (75 per cent) peak season visitors are from outside Wales, compared to a lower proportion (57 per cent) in the shoulder season
- the proportion of overseas visitors to Cadw sites is particularly high
- the majority (69 per cent) of visitors to Cadw sites had visited the site for the first time
- Cadw sites attract many families with children — not far short of half of all visiting parties (44 per cent).

Trip Advisor ratings are also used as part of a range of different measures to assess and evaluate the experience across the Cadw estate. The findings for the four monuments provide positive confirmation of their high-quality offer; over 90 per cent of visits are consistently rated as 'very good' or 'excellent'.

The touring dragons proved to be a popular visitor attraction at Harlech Castle.



Research into 2016 tourism trends in Wales identified that castles and historic sites are still one of the most common reasons for visiting Wales for both domestic visitors (UK) and those from overseas.

For UK day visitors this was the second highest response at 22 per cent after general sightseeing, which had the top response at 25 per cent. It was the third most popular activity for UK visitors staying overnight (38 per cent) and the most popular response for important and growing overseas visitors (43 per cent).⁶¹

In 2015, Cadw sites received a higher than average proportion of overseas visitors (19 per cent),⁶² which is reflected in the visitor make-up to the World Heritage Site. The high proportion of international visitors is facilitated both by the development of Holyhead and Liverpool as destinations for cruise ships (bringing visitors on day trips by coach), but also by the high level of awareness of World Heritage in other countries (for example, Japan).

The Welsh Government strategy for tourism 2013–20⁶³ focuses on five key areas:

- promoting the brand
- product development
- people development
- profitable performance
- place building.

There is an emphasis on an iconic and high-quality tourism product, with both heritage and

New interpretation has improved the visitor experience at Harlech.



Redecoration, including modern stained glass windows, in the chapel at Beaumaris has helped visitors to understand the castle better — one of the aims of the Pan-Wales Heritage Interpretation Plan.



distinctive cultural experiences playing an important role. The destination management plans that have been prepared by the three local authorities (Conwy County Borough Council, Gwynedd Council and Isle of Anglesey County Council) are concerned with all aspects of the visitor experience and reiterate the importance of managing and developing this part of north Wales as a first-class, integrated, quality visitor destination.

The World Heritage Site offers a spectacular built environment for visitors and residents alike to appreciate and experience. However, the north Wales tourism market is characterised by factors such as seasonality and a lack of high-quality visitor accommodation and services compared with other visitor destinations. Key issues identified in the destination management plans that should be addressed to improve the visitor experience in north Wales include the need for better visitor facilities, parking provision, more tourist friendly engagement, and improved shops and shopping opportunities.

The visitor experience relates to many things, including how easy a place is to find, availability of information, the sense of arrival and quality of accommodation; even the quality of the public toilets can have an impact on how satisfied visitors are with a destination and whether or not they might return in the future. Improving the visitor experience therefore is not the remit of any single authority or organisation, but instead requires a collaborative approach between those responsible for visitor attractions, infrastructure, visitor accommodation, local businesses and community representatives. For example, there could be opportunities for volunteer wayfinders to be posted in the castle car parks, not only to improve visitor experiences but also to engage the community.

UNESCO has developed a World Heritage Sustainable Tourism Toolkit, a 'how to' guide which brings best-practice knowledge to the full World Heritage community, so that site managers, tourism professionals, conservation professionals and communities around the world understand the possibilities of sustainable tourism and what key issues have already been addressed.⁶⁴ Such resources can be a valuable asset to site managers in particular, assisting with managing and maximising tourism benefits, whilst minimising potentially negative impacts. The series of guides range from understanding tourism and adding value through products, experiences and services, through to managing visitor behaviour and engaging local communities and businesses.

Innovative interpretation at Caernarfon Castle explains the roles of historic figures and their power struggles through the medium of a game of chess.



Interpretation

The Pan-Wales Heritage Interpretation Plan is an overarching plan which aims to inspire both visitors and the people of Wales by interpreting the country's unique stories and bringing them to life.⁶⁵ The countrywide approach is unique to Wales and one which aims to provide a framework for interpretation through a national overarching narrative. Story strands include the castles and princes of medieval Wales. An interpretation plan for the castles and town walls of King Edward I was prepared for Cadw in 2010, which set out the links between these sites and other story strands, identified target audiences and outlined the context for interpretation.⁶⁶ The interpretation plan identified strengths and weaknesses associated with the four sites and existing interpretation, and provided the basis for new interpretation that has been delivered. Whilst a number of the short-term actions have been completed at the individual castles, the interpretation plan also includes an action plan for medium- and long- term projects that could be implemented.

One of the story strands of the Pan-Wales Heritage Interpretation Plan encourages links between sites connected with King Edward I and the Welsh princes. Here, visitors are being shown around Deganwy Castle (top), built by both English and Welsh rulers. Conwy Castle can be seen in the distance. The recent growth in outdoor activity tourism in north Wales — such as Zip Velocity Wales (bottom) — also offers the potential to attract new audiences to the World Heritage Site (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



Visitor Opportunities

There are many opportunities to develop new visitor experiences and encourage new audiences. For example, there has been a growth in activity tourism over recent years which has seen increasing numbers of visitors to Snowdonia and other parts of north Wales to pursue the wealth of outdoor activities that are available. The recent opening of Surf Snowdonia has proved a unique selling point for the area as a destination. There is potential cross-over between activity and cultural tourism: collaboration between local authority tourism teams, Cadw and other providers could help provide a joined-up tourism offer. Examples might include identifying activities that could be based in and around the castles, and how the castle 'experience' can be developed and sold as part of the destination management planning for each local authority. Working collaboratively with businesses and stakeholders will also help link the tourism offers of the towns, castles and other attractions in the area. Of particular interest would be the creation and maintenance of links with other sites that are relevant to the values of the World Heritage Site (for example, castles built during Edward I's first campaign in Wales, in particular, Flint and Rhuddlan; Deganwy Castle and other castles associated with the Welsh princes of Gwynedd (Dolbadarn and Dolwyddelan) and the Roman fort of Segontium).

Other potential visitor opportunities include opening up wider access to the town walls in Caernarfon. Although there is enthusiasm for the provision of wider access, which could include virtual technologies, there are significant constraints relating mainly to ownership issues. Although the majority of the town walls are in Cadw ownership, there are sections which belong to private owners including The Church in Wales, Landmark Trust, and the Royal Welsh Yacht Club, and sections that form the boundaries of back gardens to private houses, hotels and guesthouses.

Objective 6: Provide a visitor experience that is unique and of the highest quality.

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Policy 6A | Signage on the approaches to the World Heritage Site, within the castles and in the public realm around them will be of high quality and consistent design, and will contribute to a sense of arrival and a high-quality visitor experience. |
| Policy 6B | Physical and virtual public access to the town walls in Conwy and Caernarfon will be maximised. |
| Policy 6C | Visitor facilities within each of the four towns will be of a high standard of design and quality, reflecting the world-class nature of the World Heritage Site. |
| Policy 6D | Consistent high-quality, world-class interpretation will be provided throughout the World Heritage Site and the four towns, guided by the overarching interpretation plan. |
| Policy 6E | A partnership approach with other providers of visitor facilities in each town will be employed to create a unique cultural experience at each location. |

4.6.7 Marketing and Promotion ▾

Research into ways that other World Heritage Sites have maximised the potential economic impact of their historic assets has included the development of a powerful and effective heritage identity and brand, which has been used to communicate the Outstanding Universal Value to different audiences. One World Heritage Site that has been particularly successful at doing this is the Blaenavon Industrial Landscape World Heritage Site in south Wales. The development of a unique brand identity that effectively links together and promotes the four castles and towns in a unified way could have considerable power. This could be accompanied by guidance on how the brand can be used by different commercial and public sector organisations.

Building on this, one of the main objectives of Cadw's marketing efforts is to widen access to culture and heritage, and encourage greater participation. There is increasing emphasis on digital marketing using website, social media and electronic newsletters as a means of promoting the World Heritage Site. The four towns within the World Heritage Site are also marketed as destinations by the local authority tourism teams.

Working together through aligned strategic priorities, shared research and combined efforts can only further the promotion and awareness of the World Heritage Site to the widest range of audiences, both at home and abroad. Consultation with stakeholders and members of the public as part of the preparation of the management plan has identified that more could be done in terms of marketing the four castles and towns as a single World Heritage Site. In so doing, this would raise awareness of their collective importance as well as encourage visits to all four places.

Connectivity between the monuments can also be further promoted by the regular interaction between the four head custodians to enable greater synergy between the tourism and community offer, and through the exploration of joint events and attractions.

There also needs to be greater collaboration between local authorities, Snowdonia National Park Authority and Cadw in planning and marketing events at the castles. There are clear benefits from a more joined-up approach. Greater partnership working can assist in developing new ideas and approaches for commercial activity at the castles.

Clearly branded and high-quality signage is needed within the World Heritage Site.



Visit Wales will be developing a 'routes' approach similar to the successful examples operating in other countries such as Ireland and the USA. There will be opportunities to explore and feed into this evolving programme over the 10-year programme which aims to improve or enhance signage or branding along the A55, the A478 and the A470.

Building on this, further work will also need to be undertaken to improve and develop an all-Wales marketing approach for the current World Heritage Site.

Objective 7: Market and promote the four locations of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy and Harlech as a single World Heritage Site to ensure a coherent and integrated approach.

- Policy 7A** There will be collective approaches to publicise the four locations and the promotion of the World Heritage Site.
- Policy 7B** Partners will look actively to improve the identity and brand recognition of the World Heritage Site.
- Policy 7C** Cadw, local authorities, Snowdonia National Park Authority and key public and private sector partners will work together to deliver a coherent annual programme of events.
- Policy 7D** Cadw and partners will work with Visit Wales to explore relevant marketing opportunities.

Themed souvenirs can help create connections between the four sites and improve visitors' understanding of the World Heritage Site as a whole.

Interpretation panels using the thematic icons from the Pan-Wales Heritage Interpretation Plan help join up the stories between sites.



4.6.8 Sustainable Travel ⇐

Parking and traffic congestion have been identified as issues to varying degrees in each of the four towns, particularly during the peak tourist season.

There is a fine balance to be achieved between parking to support visitor numbers and economic growth, and using parking policy as an effective demand management tool to encourage the use of sustainable travel alternatives. The exploration of more innovative ways to provide either car parking — for example, through sharing currently underused parking areas — or the use of park-and-ride schemes during the summer months could promote responsible sustainable tourism.

In Beaumaris, parking is available at a number of locations, including the formal car park to the north of the castle, the area of The Green (owned by the town council) during the summer months and a further overflow area to the rear of the castle. However, the volume of traffic accessing these areas can cause congestion through the town centre and detract from the amenity of the castle setting.

In Harlech, parking is divided between the town and the Morfa area; visitors parking at the latter have a steep climb to the castle entrance. The car park outside the new visitor centre in Harlech is relatively small, with visitors relying on on-street parking elsewhere in the town centre.

Trains stop at the station within the walled town of Conwy and provide a spectacular approach to the town and castle. Limited car parking and coach drop-off points can make train travel a more sustainable option.



In Conwy, the volume of coaches visiting the town combined with the restrictions imposed by the narrow gateways and archways has meant that further consideration is needed for dedicated coach-parking facilities. The number of coaches visiting Conwy is particularly high as a result of the town's location in relation to the A55 and coach trade from cruise ships visiting Holyhead and Liverpool. Whilst Conwy has tried to be coach friendly — through the location of suitable coach-parking facilities, pick-up and drop-off points — it has been recognised that a new dedicated coach-parking area is needed and this is identified as a priority for the next five years in the North Wales Local Transport Plan. Although no funding has yet been identified for a dedicated coach-parking area, Conwy will be looking to provide improved coach drop-off facilities.

The North Wales Local Transport Plan has been jointly produced by all six north Wales local authorities. Key outcomes of the plan include connections between key destinations and markets, increased levels of walking and cycling, and minimised impacts on the environment. There are opportunities at each of the four towns to make either better links with existing transport infrastructure (and thereby encourage greater use of public transport, walking and cycling as a means of travel) or to create new ways of accessing the four sites so that they form part of a distinctive visitor experience. Examples of the former may include the creation of better links with the railway station at Harlech or the establishment of a sponsored minibus link from the Morfa car park to the town centre. Examples of the latter may include the opportunity to use maritime cruises, tours and links as a way of accessing Beaumaris, Caernarfon and Conwy.

Ensuring better links with the walking and cycling networks may provide a further opportunity to encourage sustainable travel; for example, ensuring that the World Heritage Site is signposted from the Wales Coastal Path and national cycle routes.

In order to improve and promote sustainable travel, there is clearly a need for continued investment in supporting infrastructure; for example, in Conwy a weight limit has recently been imposed on one of the access bridges to Morfa Bach, which will have implications for modes of travel.

Objective 8: Encourage greater use of sustainable modes of travel as a means of visiting each of the destinations within the World Heritage Site without detriment to the qualities that demonstrate the uniqueness of the World Heritage Site on an international level.

Policy 8A The use of sustainable methods of travel to visit the castles and towns of the World Heritage Site will be encouraged.

Policy 8B Innovative ways to manage car parking during the peak visitor season to alleviate congestion will be explored, including park-and-ride, coach drop-off facilities and shared parking arrangements.

4.6.9 Education and Lifelong Learning ▾

One of the key priorities for UNESCO and ICOMOS is to encourage the social benefit of World Heritage Sites. Social benefit is defined as:

- public awareness
- community participation
- international cooperation — including cultural tourism.

The Welsh Government's published vision for education and lifelong learning seeks to create 'a system where the learner is the main focus of everything we do, and to ensure they benefit from excellent teaching and learning'. The World Heritage Site can add value to this because it provides opportunities for people of all ages, backgrounds and abilities to learn and be educated. Through education and lifelong learning we can enable future generations to grow up understanding the World Heritage Site and its Outstanding Universal Value, and to want to continue caring for it.

The World Heritage Site hosts around 23,000 education visits (2015/16) a year and is used as a source of learning experiences for primary, secondary, further and higher education. Facilitated activities led by costumed interpreters explain aspects of the history and significance of the sites. At two locations there are dedicated education rooms and all four locations are increasing and developing their learning resources for family activities. Special projects include creating digital resources for schoolchildren which will be housed in i-beacons on site. Caernarfon Castle will be a topic for examination by AQA GCSE from 2019.

One of the Fusion events at Caernarfon Castle which encourage young people to engage with and learn about the castle.



The World Heritage Site offers a broad range of learning themes, including monarchy and government, architecture and building skills, the development of commerce and trade in Wales, urban planning, tourism, conservation and other aspects of cultural value. Visitors from other parts of the UK and abroad will find resonances in some of these themes which they can apply to where they live and will make the learning they derive from the World Heritage Site feel relevant to their lives.

Since 2015, 'Preserving World Heritage Sites' has been one of the Global Citizenship Challenges approved by the Welsh Joint Education Committee (WJEC) for the Welsh Baccalaureate Qualification. The purpose of the Global Citizenship Challenge is to develop learners' skills, whilst providing opportunities to understand and respond appropriately to global issues.

Cadw is also working with partners as part of the Fusion Pioneer Area Programme of which the World Heritage Site forms an essential part. The Fusion programme supports local authorities to find new and exciting opportunities for people to enjoy and take part in cultural activities which can boost skills, engagement, self-esteem and aspiration.

UNESCO's Young People's World Heritage Education Programme seeks to encourage and enable the decision makers of tomorrow to participate in heritage conservation and respond to threats facing World Heritage. It will be important to explore ways in which young people, in particular, can be engaged; examples from elsewhere include the Blaenavon Industrial Landscape World Heritage Site which, with grant funding from the Heritage Lottery Fund, has established World Heritage Youth Ambassadors. This is a volunteer group dedicated to empowering young people to have a voice, learn about World Heritage and contribute to the management of the World Heritage Site.

The children's trail at Harlech Castle helps children to explore the castle and understand how the now-empty buildings were used.



Volunteering provides people with opportunities to learn new skills, meet people and strengthen communities, gain experience for future employment, as well as gain confidence and have fun. It can be supported in various ways, including through time-banking programmes, which enable volunteers to be rewarded through access to benefits. Conwy County Borough Council, for example, aims to identify volunteering opportunities within tourism through the network of attractions and tourist-related businesses.

Delivery mechanisms that may assist with community engagement include the LEADER programme (the European Union initiative which supports rural development projects at the local level and is used to revitalise rural areas), utilising partnership working between the LEADER groups in Anglesey, Conwy and Gwynedd.

Objective 9: Raise awareness and promote understanding of World Heritage amongst a range of audiences, including local communities, engendering pride in their unique culture and heritage.

Policy 9A Active programmes of engagement will be delivered to raise awareness and provide learning opportunities.

Policy 9B Learning and skill development opportunities through volunteering will be encouraged.

Policy 9C A clear lifelong learning approach to improve understanding and enjoyment of the World Heritage Site will be adopted.

Policy 9D Collaborative opportunities for learning will be established through links with other World Heritage Sites (especially in Wales) and World Heritage UK.

Costumed interpreters can help explain all aspects of history.




4.6.10 Research and Understanding ▾

Understanding of the World Heritage Site and its surroundings can be enhanced through new research. This has been illustrated by the conference held in 2007 and subsequent papers published in 2010 (see section 3.2.5), which led to a reconsideration of the role of the castles and their place in the past, present and future of Wales.⁶⁷ Research by building historian Jeremy Ashbee has contributed to understanding the royal apartments and high-status accommodation within the castles at Beaumaris, Conwy and Harlech.⁶⁸ Other recent research has contributed to knowledge about the four towns of Beaumaris, Caernarfon, Conwy, and Harlech (Lilley et al.).⁶⁹ This research is valuable because it enabled greater visualisation of areas of significance in the World Heritage Site through the use of geographic information systems (GIS) and has helped to provide more effective comparison between the four towns.

Greater archaeological understanding of the towns and recognising/mapping areas of high archaeological potential should be prioritised within a new research programme. Specific areas of research could include the proper mapping of medieval basements and fabric in existing buildings. All archaeological work undertaken within the World Heritage Site and its setting should be properly recorded and reported.

New research into the archaeology of the towns could include the proper mapping of medieval basements and medieval fabric in existing buildings. Some earlier fabric has already been identified in buildings within Caernarfon (left) and Conwy (right).



The documentary records about the construction of the castles comprise one of the great historical records of the medieval period and should be subject to ongoing research to increase knowledge and understanding of the construction of the World Heritage Site.

Attracting funding for major research projects is a competitive process and organisations such as Cadw that may have funded research programmes in the past may no longer be able to do so. However, alternative means of undertaking research may be viable and establishing links with academic establishments and departments within appropriate organisations will be of benefit.

A conference on the towns associated with the castles could be helpful as part of a wider research agenda. This could focus more widely on the role of the towns than the 2007 conference was able to. Attendees could include historians, archaeologists, urban geographers, planners, sociologists and tourism specialists.

Objective 10: To develop improved understanding of the World Heritage Site and help better inform its future management.

Policy 10A A programme of broad research will be prepared for the World Heritage Site.

Policy 10B Cadw will work closely with relevant institutions and organisations (for example, the Gwynedd Archaeological Trust, universities and civic societies) to share knowledge and research findings.

Documentary research into the towns could improve understanding of the World Heritage Site. These maps of Caernarfon and Harlech were drawn by John Speed around 1610 and show the layout of the towns at that time (By permission of Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru — National Library of Wales).



4.6.11 Risk Management ▾

UNESCO recommends that potential risks that may present a threat to the World Heritage Site are identified and monitored within the management plan, together with contingency plans and mitigation measures for dealing with them. Key strategic risks to the World Heritage Site include not only physical risks to the monuments themselves, such as damage, vandalism, visitor pressure or as a result of climate change, but also organisational risks such as poor management, loss of key staff or changes to funding regimes.

The climate change model developed by the UK Met Office (UKCP09) predicts a rapid rise in global temperatures in the coming years, with higher mean temperatures throughout the year, hotter drier summers or wetter summer conditions, warmer wetter winters and more frequent extreme weather; for example, flooding or droughts). As a result, the vulnerability of the coastal and low-lying parts of the World Heritage Site such as Beaumaris has become more pronounced.

The potential impacts of climate change during the lifetime of the management plan and beyond will need to be monitored and assessed so that appropriate policy response and actions can be put in place. Impacts on the World Heritage Site may include accelerated erosion and deterioration of building fabric, structural problems and thermal movement of materials as a result of more frequent or intense rainfall events and temperature extremes.

In 2011 Welsh Government produced a national strategy for flood and coastal erosion risk management in Wales⁷⁰ which identifies the importance of working with Cadw to ensure that risks to historic and culturally significant landscapes are managed.

A further report prepared for the Historic Environment Group in 2012⁷¹ considered the need for a strategic approach to assessing and addressing the potential impact of climate change on the historic environment of Wales and work is continuing to help develop plans for managing future change. Mitigation measures, such as sea defences, can damage or affect the character of the historic assets they are designed to protect so the importance of collaboration between agencies to identify appropriate measures will continue to be necessary.

Identifying and monitoring risks is the first step in the effective management of them; establishing a risk management strategy and accompanying risk register which sets out risks to the World Heritage Site will therefore be developed as a matter of priority during the first two years of the management plan period.

Objective 11: Identify, remove and/or mitigate risks to the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site.

Policy 11A	Comprehensive risk management will be fully integrated into management arrangements.
Policy 11B	The likely future impact of climate change on the World Heritage Site will be reviewed and assessed regularly.

4.6.12 Management Process ▮

A better-managed World Heritage Site is more sustainable and more likely to protect the Outstanding Universal Value. The Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd presents particular management issues because it consists of four separate sites forming one World Heritage Site. There are some common management issues and others that are site specific so this management plan takes both a holistic approach as well as one that answers local needs. It supports those who care for the World Heritage Site in aiming to achieve national and international best practice in its management.

The site will continue to be managed by a range of partnerships involving local authorities, councils, Snowdonia National Park Authority and local stakeholders as well as national agencies, in particular, Cadw. The following policies aim to focus the partnership objectives on those that benefit the World Heritage Site as a whole and to enable a long-term strategy for its management.

The continued role of the World Heritage Site coordinator, accompanied by a representative and active steering group are essential components of the management and safeguarding of the World Heritage Site. In order to function effectively, the steering group will hold structured meetings on a regular basis, with task and finish groups set up as necessary for specific issues. The work of the World Heritage Site coordinator will be guided by the objectives and policies set out in this plan and implementation will be monitored and reviewed by the steering group.

Regular engagement with representatives from the other two World Heritage Sites (and tentative site) in Wales will also aid in improving management processes and collaborative opportunities.

Delegates at the 2016 World Heritage UK conference in Caernarfon, which encouraged collaboration between World Heritage Sites in the UK (Chris Mahon, World Heritage UK).



Objective 12: Recognise the importance of nurturing existing partnerships and the development of new partnerships, as appropriate, to support the continued management of the World Heritage Site.

Policy 12A The effectiveness of the World Heritage Site management structure and membership representation will be reviewed regularly.

Policy 12B Appropriate and effective monitoring and review of the management plan will take place to supplement the UNESCO periodic review programme.

4.7 Action Plan ▮

The action plan for the future management of the World Heritage Site is set out in an accompanying document. It identifies relevant actions for each policy, the organisations responsible for those actions and the timescale for their implementation.

Sunset at Harlech Castle.





Monitoring the implementation of the management plan includes the Cadw annual and quinquennial inspections of the six ancient monuments. Various repair works to Caernarfon Castle were identified as necessary in the 2016 inspection.

5 Monitoring ▾

In accordance with Article 29 of the World Heritage Convention, periodic reports must be prepared for a World Heritage Site every six years. Key indicators for measuring the state of the World Heritage Site qualitatively and quantitatively are set out below, and will be undertaken to inform the six-yearly periodic reporting process.

Responsibility for the implementation and monitoring of the management plan, together with the submission of periodic reports to UNESCO lies with the steering group.

The quinquennial inspection of the World Heritage Site took place in 2016 using both visual inspection and aerial drones to capture high-resolution images of out-of-reach areas. In general terms, the current condition of the World Heritage Site was found to be good, given the relatively low numbers of category 1 and 2 works (highest priority) noted in the inspection. This should be seen as a positive reflection on the rolling campaigns of conservation that have been carried out. Specific conservation priorities have been identified for each of the four locations within the World Heritage Site.

Indicator	Actions
Safeguarding and Protecting the World Heritage Site	
Condition of the six scheduled ancient monuments.	Cadw annual and quinquennial inspections.
Number and condition of listed buildings and scheduled monuments in the World Heritage Site, and the essential setting, at each location. To include specifically the number and condition of buildings at risk and monuments at risk.	Baseline data collected for 2017. Annual review undertaken by Cadw/ local planning authorities.
Enhancement or maintenance of significant views from and into the World Heritage Site.	Fixed point photography locations established. Biennial monitoring by Cadw.
Number of planning applications within the wider and essential setting of the World Heritage Site. Data to include number of applications where the World Heritage Site has been identified as a material consideration and outcomes.	Data collected by local planning authorities. Include data for number of applications commented on by Cadw.
Expenditure on conservation and refurbishment of the World Heritage Site.	Annual review of financial plan.

Local Economy and Regeneration	
Number and value of grants attracted to the World Heritage Site and adjoining towns.	Collated from various sources on an annual basis (for example, Cadw, local planning authorities, Welsh Government).
Awareness Raising	
Number of visits to each of the World Heritage Site locations per annum.	Recorded by Cadw at each of the four locations.
Annual visitor survey at each World Heritage Site location.	Qualitative assessment to identify visitor satisfaction and awareness of World Heritage Site.
Number and type of community events held at each of the four castles per annum.	Community awareness of World Heritage Site/ transmission of values.
Number of publications/published articles produced per annum.	Recorded by Cadw.
Education and Lifelong Learning	
Number and range of topics of formal education events held at each of the four castles per annum.	Recorded by Cadw.
Summary of feedback from questionnaires from attendees/organisers of education events.	Recorded by Cadw.
Number of volunteers supported at each of the four castles.	Recorded by Cadw.
Management Plan Process	
Annual review of the action plan by the World Heritage Site steering group.	Completion of actions noted; creation of new actions to implement policies/ achieve objectives.

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Appendix I

Mechanisms for Safeguarding
and Protecting the World
Heritage Site



Appendix I: Mechanisms for Safeguarding and Protecting the World Heritage Site ▾

National and local mechanisms currently in place to safeguard and protect the World Heritage Site, including national legislation and local planning arrangements, are summarised in this appendix.

AI.1 National Legislation ▾

All the monuments within the World Heritage Site are scheduled monuments. The 1979 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act (the 1979 Act)⁷² provides the legislative framework for the protection of ancient monuments in Wales, including properties in the direct care of the Welsh Ministers which are managed by Cadw. The 1979 Act makes provision for the regular inspection of scheduled monuments, lays down penalties for damaging a monument and empowers the Welsh Ministers to make grants to owners for their repair.

The Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016 (the 2016 Act⁷³ is part of a suite of legislation, policy, advice and guidance that makes important contributions to the existing systems for the protection and sustainable management of the Welsh historic environment. Planning guidance on World Heritage Sites is currently provided in paragraphs 6.4.5 and 6.5.2–6.5.4 of *Planning Policy Wales* (edition 9, 2016) and in *Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment*.⁷⁴ Guidance currently states that development plan policies must reflect that World Heritage Sites have been inscribed by UNESCO because of their Outstanding Universal Value. Further, World Heritage Sites and their settings are a material consideration to be taken into account by local planning authorities in the determination of planning applications and by the Welsh Ministers when determining cases on appeal or following call-in. The impact of development proposals on both the sites and their settings should be carefully considered.

Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales supplements the relevant sections of *Planning Policy Wales* (Edition 9), Chapter 6: The Historic Environment and *Technical Advice Note 24: Historic Environment*.⁷⁵ This sets out general principles for managing World Heritage Sites in Wales and provides guidance for their conservation, protection and presentation through the planning system.

AI.2 Local Planning System ▯

The protection of the World Heritage Site is also assured through the town and country planning system. Local planning authorities (Conwy County Borough Council, Gwynedd Council, Isle of Anglesey County Council and Snowdonia National Park Authority) are each required to prepare a local development plan for their area, which sets out appropriate policies to manage new development.

Gwynedd Council and Isle of Anglesey County Council have prepared a joint local development plan for the Gwynedd and Anglesey local planning authority areas, which was adopted in July 2017. The plan contains policies which afford protection to the World Heritage Site of the Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd, notably Policy PS17: Preserving and Enhancing Heritage Assets and Policy AT1: Conservation Areas, World Heritage Sites and Registered Historic Landscape, Parks and Gardens.

Conwy County Borough Council's local development plan has been adopted and affords protection to cultural heritage. Conwy County Borough Council is keen to ensure that heritage assets are protected from inappropriate development and will take the opportunity to enhance the historic areas and buildings where this is needed. Relevant policies include Strategic Policy CTH/1: Cultural Heritage, Policy CTH/2 — Development Affecting Heritage Assets, Policy CTH/3: Buildings and Structures of Local Importance and Policy CTH/4: Enabling Development. In addition, Conwy County Borough Council has a number of supplementary planning guidance documents which support local development plan policies. The Conwy Conservation Area Management Plan supplementary planning guidance, which was adopted in July 2015, is of particular relevance. It includes actions and measures that replicate for Conwy Castle and Town Walls some of the wider policies for the World Heritage Site as a whole; for example, the need for coordination across council services, the approach to development management and actions promoting education and awareness of the World Heritage Site.

The Eryri local development plan was adopted by the Snowdonia National Park Authority in 2011. The local development plan includes Strategic Policy Ff: Historic Environment, which states that development will not be permitted that will adversely affect in any way heritage assets, including World Heritage Sites. The plan is currently being reviewed, with a draft deposit due in 2017.

Two of the castles are in areas protected for their environmental quality and value — Harlech Castle, located in the Snowdonia National Park, and Beaumaris Castle, located in the Isle of Anglesey Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Policy CCC 2.1 of the Isle of Anglesey AONB Management Plan (2015–20) is to 'identify, protect and actively conserve the historic, archaeological and cultural resources of the AONB with relevant agencies'.

Further protection to the essential setting of the World Heritage Site is afforded by designated conservation areas. Conservation areas are defined as areas 'the character and appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance'; quality of place therefore is one of the prime considerations in their identification. Conservation areas designated in each of the four castle towns are as follows:

Beaumaris Conservation Area (designated 1968; adopted character appraisal 2006)

Caernarfon Conservation Area (designated 1968) encloses the walled town and castle, and extends to cover the principal town centre street frontages and Segontium Terrace. The conservation area excludes Victoria Dock and St Helen's Quay.

Conwy Conservation Area covers the whole of the walled town and areas immediately adjoining the town wall (designated 1975; adopted character appraisal).

Harlech Conservation Area encloses the historic town and extends southwards to include Coleg Harlech.

Article 4 Directions can provide additional planning controls on development within conservation areas and are currently extant in both Conwy and Beaumaris conservation areas. The aim of these Article 4 Directions is to encourage the retention of high-quality architectural features on buildings and to preserve and enhance the conservation area of which they are part. 'Like for like' repairs and reinstatement of architectural features are encouraged, along with the removal of previously unsympathetic changes to buildings.



Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Appendix 2

Outline Character Statements

Appendix 2: Outline Character Statements ▾

A2.1 Beaumaris ▾

A2.1.1 Historical Background ▾

Beaumaris was the last of Edward I's castle towns. Work on the castle began in 1295, following the Welsh revolt of 1294, in which the nearby Welsh port and settlement of Llanfaes was severely damaged. The inhabitants of Llanfaes were removed to Newborough and the new town of Beaumaris received its charter in 1296. It quickly became one of the largest of Edward's new towns. The town was laid out according to a definite plan and, although it was not provided with walls from the outset, it may have been contained by earthwork defences. There is more definite evidence of a ditch and stone wall built in 1407–14 following the Glyndŵr revolt during which the town and castle suffered.


Beaumaris was a successful urban foundation and thrived as the island's main port and administrative centre throughout the later Middle Ages. It was still the principal town of Anglesey in the seventeenth century, but began to decline as a trading centre during the eighteenth century. The town once had charge of an important ferry crossing of the Menai Strait, but, in the nineteenth century, it was bypassed by new road and railway links, and its role as a port was eventually eclipsed by Holyhead. Its administrative functions (symbolised especially by the gaol of 1828) were transferred to Llangefni by the end of the nineteenth century.

The inhabitants of the older Welsh settlement of Llanfaes (top right) were removed to Newborough when Beaumaris Castle (bottom left) was built on a new site (© Gwynedd Archaeological Trust).



During the nineteenth century, the town found a new lease of life as a genteel coastal resort, promoted both by the town council and the Bulkeley family of Baron Hill (established as the family seat in 1612), who had purchased the castle in 1807, and owned many properties in the town. This dual patronage contributed significantly to the development of the town during the nineteenth century, not only in major new building projects such as Green Edge, Victoria Terrace and The Bulkeley Hotel, but also for infrastructure such as the shore road, which was built by Viscount Bukeley in 1804. Meanwhile, land to the north-east of the castle formed part of the parkland of Baron Hill and was never developed. Beaumaris enjoyed a new-found prosperity as a result of this investment, but did not expand far beyond its medieval limits until the twentieth century, when a mixture of public and private development established an arc of suburbs to the north-west and south-west of the historic town.

John Speed's 1610 map of Beaumaris shows the main elements of the layout of the town and castle (By permission of Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru — National Library of Wales).



A2.1.2 Historical Topography ▾

The original layout of the medieval town comprised two main streets at right angles to each other (Castle Street and Church Street), but the original full extent and layout is open to debate. The two main streets and the area closest to the castle and the quay may represent the extent of the original built-up area, but there is some evidence that either from the outset, or very shortly afterwards, the town extended westwards. The line of New Street could mark the outer limits of an early defensive enclosure for which fragmentary evidence has been retrieved. What is more certain is that in the early fifteenth century a stone wall and ditch were built, delineating a smaller area, bounded to the west by what is now Steeple Lane (New Street area). There may also have been a mesh of subsidiary lanes, represented in the modern streetscape by Rosemary Lane, Chapel Street, Margaret Street and Little Lane. One anomaly in an otherwise regular street pattern is Ratings Row, which is interpreted as a possible survivor from an earlier land use such as a monastic site boundary.

Unlike the other Edwardian towns, there is no clear evidence for the location of an early market place, which, by the early seventeenth century, occupied a piece of ground to the north-west of the church. From 1785, the market was housed under the town hall and from 1826 it was on Church Street. Nor is there precise evidence for the medieval quay.

The modern layout of the town preserves the medieval arrangement. The main street is aligned on the south gatehouse of the castle (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



Within this street pattern, there was a precisely laid-out pattern of plots, many of which survive in the modern townscape. These plots continued to determine the pattern of building, giving a characteristic variety, except where amalgamated plots provided opportunities for larger building projects. A similar development pattern characterised early suburban development along Wexham Street, which may itself be medieval in origin. To the west of the town, the grander scale of suburban development (in existence by the seventeenth century) suggests the higher status and importance of the waterfront.

A new shoreline was established as part of nineteenth-century improvements, which included draining The Green (1823) and building a sea wall (1832). This enabled new building projects to the south-east, outside the medieval limit of the town. Green Edge and Victoria Terrace were followed by Raglan Street, Bulkeley Terrace and Alma Street.

Map of Beaumaris.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2017. Ordnance Survey 100021874.

A2.1.3 The Character of Building ▸

With the exception of the church, there are no known medieval buildings within the assumed medieval limits of the town, but the steady prosperity of Beaumaris has bequeathed a long chronology of building within these urban limits, through from the early fifteenth to the mid-nineteenth century. The earliest surviving domestic buildings are timber framed, the high quality of which suggests a sophisticated local building tradition and a prosperous society. By the eighteenth century, however, stone was the dominant material. This was rendered until the fine Penmon stone was used for prestigious building projects associated with the architects Hansom and Welch in the 1830s. Locally made bricks were also used in some individual buildings from the late eighteenth century, but they were showcased especially in Stanley Street in the 1850s and in the development of Margaret Street in the late nineteenth century.

Beaumaris displays a marvellous variety of domestic architecture, ranging from the highly sophisticated Victoria Terrace to the humble single-storey cottages of Wexham Street. The town has a strong and coherent Georgian character, shown in both the polite classicism of Victoria Terrace and in the vernacular of the cottages. This architectural harmony is punctuated by a series of fine public buildings, including the town hall, The Bulkeley Hotel and the gaol.

Outside the early limits of the town, Beaumaris is also notable for the quality of public housing development. The Maes Hyfryd estate of 1950 exemplifies the best principles of such development, well composed and harmonious in its detail.

The parish church of St Mary and St Nicholas dates from the fourteenth century and is the only medieval building to have survived in Beaumaris aside from the castle (© Crown copyright RCAHMW).



As a rare survivor, 32 Castle Street is a reminder that timber framing was typical of late-medieval domestic building in Beaumaris.



A2.1.4 Statement of Significance ▾

Beaumaris was an important medieval town. Its historic core retains the essential elements of its thirteenth-century structure in a distinctive pattern of streets and plots. The steady prosperity of the town is reflected in a long history of building, including some important early survivals and some fine examples of Georgian vernacular building. The reinvention of the town as a coastal resort in the early nineteenth century bequeathed some exceptional examples of urban domestic architecture. The patronage and control exercised both by the town council and the major land owner, together with the relative isolation of the town thereafter limited later development, giving the town a remarkable architectural harmony and integrity on a small scale.

Victoria Terrace is a fine stone-built terrace designed by the architects Hansom and Welch and completed in 1833 (top) (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW). Gaol Street is typical of the vernacular cottages in Beaumaris (bottom).



A2.2 Caernarfon ▾

A2.2.1 Historical Background ▾

The castle and walled town of Caernarfon was conceived and planned as part of Edward I's campaign of building after the fall of Llywelyn ap Gruffydd in 1282. It was laid out according to a definite plan, and completed despite the setback caused by the revolt of Madog ap Llywelyn in 1294. The legacy of the medieval town endures, not only in the survival of its walls, but also in the pattern of streets and building plots that they enclose.

Although the town was a new foundation, it had been preceded by Roman and Welsh settlements in the vicinity. To its east lay Segontium, established by the Romans as an auxiliary fort in about AD 77. Adjacent to the fort, the church of Llanbeblig was founded in the fifth century (the present church dates from the fourteenth century and after). A brief Norman occupation bequeathed a motte-and-bailey castle which was probably used as the nucleus for a Welsh settlement in the twelfth century. The motte was incorporated into the Edwardian castle and the bailey was the precursor of the town's market place (the Maes).

The Roman fort of Segontium, in the foreground of this aerial view of Caernarfon, preceded the building of the town and castle on a site closer to the coast (Skyscan Balloon Photography for Cadw).



The market was just one aspect of the medieval town's economic life. Maritime trade was important from the start: one of the two town gates led directly on to the quay and the River Cadnant was the site of the town's mills, as well as a small early harbour.

The later history of these sites reflects the development of the town's economy and culture in the nineteenth century as it acquired a new function as a major slate-exporting port and a renewed role as a regional cultural, administrative and commercial centre. From the early nineteenth century, the old quay was a promenade between the Slate Quay on the River Seiont and the docks at the mouth of the Cadnant (the river itself was largely culverted and built over). The market place was an impressive urban square flanked by high-status commercial and residential buildings. In this period, most of the buildings within the walls were built or rebuilt and the town outgrew the enclosed area decisively in a wave of suburban expansion.

Although the town continued to expand in the twentieth century, it lost its role as an industrial and port town, and the waterfront areas fell into a decline which has been arrested by recent regeneration activity.

The development of Caernarfon in the nineteenth century was shaped by the slate industry. This postcard shows the Slate Quay with the harbour office and slates stacked up ready for shipment (Gwynedd Archives Service).



A2.2.2 Historical Topography

The original layout of the medieval foundation survives remarkably intact within the area of the walls. The street pattern, the building lines and the plots have been retained, particularly in the northern half of the medieval grid plan. It is only in the south-west quadrant that there has been significant interruption to this essentially medieval pattern — associated with the shire hall in the nineteenth century and the county council offices in the twentieth.

One of the consequences of a thriving economy in the early nineteenth century was rapid urban expansion. By the seventeenth century, there had already been significant suburban development clustered around the main east gate to the town and along some of the roads that led to it, but in the early nineteenth century, a more systematic approach to urban growth was instigated. The Maes became a major urban square and a planned suburb (Tre'r Gof) was developed from 1824. This initiated a long period of urban growth as the town expanded with both linear development along the main roads and blocks laid out on former agricultural land.

In the nineteenth century, new gates and breaches in the town walls created physical links between the enclosed town and developments outside it. The suburbs themselves retained their integrity until the construction of the inner relief road in 1970, which drove a damaging wedge through them and severed connections with the walled town.

Map of Caernarfon.



The Maes had become a major urban square by the nineteenth century, as shown in this 1850 view by W. Wood (top) (By permission of Llyfrgell Genedlaethol Cymru — National Library of Wales). Tre'r Gof was one of a number of planned suburbs developed in the nineteenth century (bottom left) (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW). This aerial view shows how the walled town of Caernarfon has retained its medieval grid plan (bottom right) (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



A2.2.3 The Character of Building ▯

With the exception of the church of St Mary, there are no known early buildings within the town walls, but at least one late medieval house survives (6 Palace Street) and it is possible that more early fabric could be discovered within apparently later buildings.

Much of the built character of the walled town reflects its growing prosperity in the nineteenth century. There are some important civic and administrative buildings (such as the former shire hall), as well as a fine series of commercial buildings, including the market hall, The Castle Vaults public house and shops on Eastgate and Bangor Street. The status of the town as a social centre from the later eighteenth century is also attested in some fine residential buildings, such as Castle House (1768), Tower House (early nineteenth century) and 10–12 Castle Street (later nineteenth-century). The continued vitality of the town in the late nineteenth century is shown by the quality of many of its buildings (see, for example, Bridge Street and Bangor Street).

Building in the suburbs was diverse in character, ranging from the villas of a prosperous middle class to the houses of artisans, from shops and hotels to schools and other institutions, particularly chapels.

The Castle Hotel and its associated terrace present a formal frontage onto the Maes (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



The varied pattern of building on the Slate Quay reflects the many enterprises that operated here. The harbour office, built in finely finished stone, is in the foreground (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).

The earliest surviving buildings — castle, town walls and churches — are stone, which was a high-status material probably not available to domestic builders at first. The earliest domestic buildings in the town are sixteenth and seventeenth century, and were originally timber framed. By the later eighteenth century and throughout the nineteenth century, stone was widely used in building, but was only rarely exposed: render was the favoured finish, with a variety of decorative treatments. It is easily the most dominant building material in the town. Finely finished stone used for display denoted wealth and status (for example, the harbour office, the former county hall and Plas Bowman). Rougher rubble was associated with functional buildings (warehouses and other working buildings on the quays and docks), or with the backs of buildings that were not intended to be seen. From the later nineteenth century, under the influence of the Gothic Revival, stone was also used to denote structural honesty and integrity (for example, the shire hall extensions and the town council offices). By the end of the nineteenth century, improved transport by rail and water enabled manufactured and exotic materials to be introduced — significant patrons of such imported materials included banks and chapels.

Building in the historic core of Caernarfon (the walled town and its immediate suburbs) has a relatively short development history. Most results from nineteenth-century building or rebuilding and much conforms broadly to Georgian classical principles, with simple geometry and minimal decoration.

A2.2.4 Statement of Significance ▾

Caernarfon is a major medieval town and its historic core retains many of the spatial characteristics of its late thirteenth-century foundation. Although there are minor elements of building surviving from successive centuries, it is developments in the nineteenth century which have had the most decisive influence on the form and detail of the town. Many of the buildings within the walls were built or rebuilt in the decades after about 1800, as Caernarfon came into its own again as a regional economic, social and cultural capital. In this period too, the town outgrew its walls in a series of suburban developments, which also reflect the diverse economy and society of a town at the heart of the industrial revolution in north Wales. As a result, the town has a strong and coherent urban architectural character, both within the walls and without.

Segontium Terrace, overlooking the Slate Quay, is a formal development of large town houses. Rendered to the front (left), the rubble and brick backs were not intended to be seen so they were left exposed (right) (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



A2.3 Conwy ↵

A2.3.1 Historical Background ↵

The castle and town walls of Conwy were developed in tandem in 1283 and the borough received its charter in 1284. By the end of 1285, the town's strong defences were virtually complete. Within ten years, there were 112 burgages and 99 burgesses — an immigrant population drawn from many parts of England. The town was laid out to a definite plan, which established an enduring framework that survived centuries of change, including substantial damage during the revolt of Owain Glyndŵr (1401).

Like other new towns of this period, the Edwardian borough was not the first settlement on the site. A Cistercian abbey was built here in 1192 and used as a burial place by the Welsh princes in the thirteenth century. They also had their own residence here, which was retained and incorporated into the town walls. The monastery was moved to Maenan, but the abbey church was retained for the town.

Advantageously sited near the mouth of the River Conwy and provided with a quay from the outset, the borough became an important place of trade. It prospered for a time as an economic and social centre, though its importance had begun to diminish by the seventeenth century, which led to a contraction of the built-up area within the walls. As late as the 1830s, it was said that 'a very considerable proportion of the area within the walls is occupied as garden ground, and the houses are comparatively few and in detached situations'. By this time, however, the basis of improvement and expansion was already being laid. The replacement of the former ferry

The layout of the castle and town that were planned and built together between 1283 and 1287 are clear in this aerial view of Conwy.



by Telford's new suspension bridge, built in 1826 as part of the development of the London to Holyhead Road, inaugurated a new period of economic activity, which was boosted by the arrival of the railway some twenty years later. The town began to fill with buildings, though it was largely contained within its walls until the end of the nineteenth century.

Outside the walls, industrialists and others built substantial holiday villas, forming small-scale estates, in the late nineteenth century. In the twentieth century, suburban development of a different character — mostly comprising small-scale and low density development — spread north from the Gyffin Valley towards Conwy mountain.

A2.3.2 Historical Topography ▾

Conwy is situated at the confluence of the Conwy and Gyffin rivers, on a dramatic, steeply rising site. Within the walls, the original layout of the town has survived well: it comprised two principal streets forming a T-shape. The axis of Castle Street/Berry Street ran in parallel to the quay; High Street effectively ran uphill from the lower gate on the quay to the market place around Lancaster Square. From the upper gate, a narrow street led downhill to Berry Street, parallel to High Street. A third gate led towards the town's mill on the Gyffin. Burgages were presumably laid out along these streets, which structured the pattern of building thereafter and perhaps influenced the position of later streets, particularly in the area to the north-west of High Street.

Map of Conwy.



© Crown Copyright and database right 2017. Ordnance Survey 100021874.

The town's market occupied the area of Lancaster Square, extending as far as the lines of York Place and Church Street, before later infill took place.

From the outset, development in the southern quadrant of the town was constrained by the position of the church and its precinct, inherited from the former abbey. Adjacent to the southern section of the town wall, the land around Llywelyn's Hall was probably never developed. At Rosehill Street, a distinct area that probably housed judicial and administrative buildings until the town was attacked by Owain Glyndŵr in 1401 remained undeveloped until the nineteenth century.

Produced by an unknown artist, this magnificent 'bird's-eye view' of Conwy shows the layout of the castle and town in about 1600 (By permission of the marquess of Salisbury, Hatfield House, CPM 1162).

Mill Gate is one of Conwy's three medieval gates and led out to the town's mill on the River Gyffin.



The area between Chapel Street/Upper Gate Street and the north-western length of town wall had probably become gardens and open ground by the nineteenth century. Here, a characteristic development pattern of small streets of terraced houses is suggestive of an earlier linear pattern, though it may not reflect it literally.

Developments in transport had a radical impact on the shape and character of the town. In the 1820s, Telford engineered a new route through it, with an entrance immediately north of the castle, a new gateway through the walls beyond Lancaster Square and a new road beyond (Bangor Street). In the 1840s, the railway cut a swathe through the town, breaching the walls west of the castle, and tunnelling under Upper Gate Street. Later still, the creation of Porth yr Aden/Town Ditch Street provided another route for through traffic.

Development outside the walls was never extensive, though by the end of the thirteenth century there were properties on the quay and at Twthill to the north of the town. By the end of the nineteenth century, the fields which had hitherto surrounded the town were beginning to shape suburban development. This ranged from small-scale gentlemen's estates, such as Bodlondeb and Bryn Corach, through to villas and workers' terraces. In the twentieth century, this field pattern formed the basis for piecemeal expansion, initially with individual houses, then small housing estates.

Lancaster Square is on the site of the medieval market place (© Crown copyright (2017) Visit Wales).



Medieval latrines projecting out over the town wall probably served the offices of medieval administrators located in a building on a site close to Rosehill Street.



The remains of Llywelyn's Hall — the only surviving medieval building in Conwy apart from the castle and church — are marked by the three small windows in the town wall.



Aberconwy House, a storeyed house with roof timbers dating from about 1420, was probably the home of a wealthy merchant.

A2.3.3 The Character of Building ▾

With the exception of the castle, the church and the remains of Llywelyn's Hall, there are no medieval buildings in Conwy. However, the survival of Aberconwy House (about 1420) gives a rare flavour of the accommodation of a late medieval merchant. Plas Mawr is a symbol of the town's status in the late sixteenth century and the wealth and ambition of its patron, Robert Wynn. There are remnants of other sixteenth- and seventeenth-century buildings in the town centre, and more fragments may yet come to light.

The town's fortunes ebbed in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Most of the town centre buildings date from the nineteenth century as the town began to revive. They range from substantial civic and commercial developments, such as the guild hall and the Castle Hotel, to rows of modest terraced cottages, such as Erskine Terrace and Seaview Terrace. The terraced houses that occupy much of the north-west part of the town are a distinctive feature of Conwy's urban character and contrast with the predominantly commercial character of development along the two principal streets.

Early houses probably combined timber with stone (as in Aberconwy House), but stone quickly became the main building material. Most of it was sourced locally, but when exposed stone became fashionable from the mid-late nineteenth century, imported stone was sometimes also used. The use of exposed stone remained the exception, however, and render (which replaced limewash from the early nineteenth century) is widespread. There are subtle distinctions in its use — from simple finishes for small domestic buildings to more elaborate decorative schemes for commercial buildings. In the early twentieth century, smooth renders were often combined with pebbledash in a variety of decorative treatments. Twentieth-century suburban developments often took their cue from these traditions, with the result that render is also a dominant material.

Plas Mawr is a magnificent Elizabethan town house which reflects the wealth and status of its owner Robert Wynn.

Rendered houses, such as these in Berry Street, became widespread in the nineteenth century.



A2.3.4 Statement of Significance ▾

Conwy was defended by its formidable castle and town walls. The almost complete survival of the walls gives the historic town an exceptional sense of enclosure to this day. There is a sharp distinction between the density of development within the walls and the more expansive suburban layouts outside. Inside the walls, the town retains all the main elements of its medieval layout — street pattern, church precinct and market. With some notable exceptions, most of the buildings on the main streets have a nineteenth-century character, but their variety reflects the survival of a plot pattern inherited from the first days of the town. The space within the walls also accommodated the first phases of urban growth in the nineteenth century and rows of terraced cottages running up to the walls are a distinctive feature of the town centre.

Conwy's suburban development includes some elements of nineteenth-century expansion, but it is dominated by twentieth-century housing, including some distinctive examples of vernacular revival styles and a characteristically open layout.

Modest nineteenth-century terraced cottages in Erskine Terrace (top) and Watkin Street (below) (© Copyright Conwy Borough Council).



A2.4 Harlech ↖

A2.4.1 Historical Background ↖

The town of Harlech received its charter as a free borough in 1284, while works to the castle were still in progress. It was a small town, with a recorded population of only 11 men, 12 women and 21 children in 1294. In 1312, it had 29¼ burgages. The town occupied an unlikely and challenging position 'situated on a rock' and, although it had a market and two annual fairs, did not thrive because of its inconvenient site for trade and industry. It suffered further during the Glyndŵr revolt and by the early seventeenth century, it was 'a very poore towne ... having no trade or traphicke nor other means to live.'

The medieval borough had a market and some administrative functions (it was the county town of Merioneth before that role was taken on by Dolgellau), but, according to Richard Fenton writing in the early nineteenth century, it seemed 'the most forlorn, beggarly place imaginable.'

Harlech's fortunes began to revive during the nineteenth century, inspired primarily by tourism and supported to some extent by industry. Landed families who had been renting borough lands began to invest in improvements. In the 1830s, the Vaughans of Nannau provided a coaching inn (possibly by remodelling their former town house) and gave land for a church, and, in the 1840s, the Ormsby-Gores built shops and housing. One of the principal benefactors of the town in the later nineteenth century was Samuel Holland, a quarry owner from Blaenau Ffestiniog, who built and improved many properties, including the Castle Hotel, as well as other hotels, boarding houses and villas.

The medieval town plan can still be discerned in this aerial view of Harlech (© Crown copyright: RCAHMW).



The former Castle Hotel, built by quarry owner Samuel Holland, is the prominent building in the foreground overlooking Harlech Castle. Beyond, the small town is dominated by nineteenth-century buildings.



Wern Fawr was built in 1908 to designs by the Scottish Arts and Crafts architect George Walton. It became Coleg Harlech in 1927. The theatre, to the right of this picture, was added in 1973 (© Gwynedd Archaeological Trust).

These developments were accompanied by a series of transport improvements: new roads made access to and through the town easier, and the arrival of the railway in 1867 heralded a dramatic upturn in the fortunes of the town.

By the end of the nineteenth century, Harlech had become a seaside and golfing resort. It had also begun to acquire a cachet as a cultural and intellectual centre around a new generation of visitors and residents. Their imprint includes a lovely series of Arts and Crafts houses as the nucleus of suburban development.

One of the most remarkable products of this period was Wern Fawr, built in 1907 as the private home of George Davison, patron of the arts, businessman and political radical, but which became Coleg Harlech in 1927.

During the twentieth century, the settlement spread gradually across the hillsides around the medieval borough. After around 1960, land on the Morfa was also developed for housing.

Housing development on the Morfa dates from the 1960s and later.



Outside the limits of the borough, a small settlement at Pentre'r efail was in existence by the seventeenth century, separated from the town by a steep break of slope. The town did not otherwise expand significantly beyond its medieval limits until the end of the nineteenth century, when development along new roads and on former farmland began to take place in earnest. This development was characteristically spacious and away from the immediate town centre; Harlech is a very green and leafy town.

Changes in transport had a profound impact on the shape and character of the town. By 1840, an improved road across the marsh (now the A496), a new approach to the town from the south (Ffordd Isaf) and a new road to the north of the town centre (the continuation of High Street) avoided the steep gradients of earlier routes, which created opportunities for new development. After 1867, the railway provided a small nucleus for settlement near the station, and stimulated the development and expansion of the town as a resort.

To the west, although there may have been direct access to the castle water gate by sea for a time, the presence of field systems belonging to the early burgesses suggest that the Morfa was never completely flooded. The marsh was drained after the Enclosure Act of 1806.

The modern road and railway links, together with twentieth-century development, occupy the low-lying land of the Morfa. The mediaeval town is confined to the rocky hillside close to the castle, which still dominates the landscape.



A2.4.3 The Character of Building ▯

Like its contemporary medieval boroughs, the character of building in Harlech reflects more recent history; exceptions include Ty Eiddew, a house of about 1500 and several houses of seventeenth- and eighteenth-century date. These earlier buildings have a vernacular character in their use of materials and layout, and were built singly.

Investment in the town in the nineteenth century had a major impact on its architectural character. Planned development introduced terraced housing (quarrymen's cottages as well as boarding houses) and distinctive building styles, such as those associated with the patronage of Samuel Holland. By contrast, development in the early twentieth century favoured individual houses, some of which were architect designed.

The built character of the town is overwhelmingly domestic: almost all its commercial buildings take a domestic form and both the church and the several chapels are relatively small scale. There are no major public buildings.

Stone is the dominant building material, sourced from quarries in the immediate vicinity of the town. It was probably limewashed on earlier buildings, but, from the mid-nineteenth century, the taste for exposed stone took hold. In the twentieth century, too, a rugged finish was favoured by Arts and Crafts inspired architects and builders.

Ty Eiddew dates from about 1500 and is a rare example of earlier vernacular building in Harlech (© Gwynedd Archaeological Trust).



Nineteenth-century terraced housing set on the wooded cliff to the south of the castle.



Crown Lodge, also designed by George Walton, has a rugged finish favoured by Arts and Crafts architects (© Copyright: Crown Lodge, Harlech).

A2.4.4 Statement of Significance ▢

Harlech is the smallest of the medieval boroughs connected with the World Heritage Site. The town derives much of its character from its dramatic position on a rocky terrace between the former sea marsh and the uplands. Its medieval origins have bequeathed elements of a street and plot pattern which can still be traced and, from its long history, some buildings survive from the late medieval period.

Much of its built character, however, is the product of its nineteenth- and twentieth-century history, with three distinct periods of investment linked to the re-creation of a previously ailing town as a picturesque resort. Landowning families, an industrialist and cultural patrons each contributed distinctive architectural features to the town. The urban core is a varied mixture of small-scale vernacular buildings alongside the urbane villas and terraces of the nineteenth century. It is surrounded by the spacious developments of the early twentieth century, which include some remarkable examples of Arts and Crafts architecture.


Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Appendix 3

Maps and Plans of the Castles and Town Walls




A3.1 Beaumaris Castle



A3.2 Caernarfon Castle

Page 221




A3.3 Caernarfon Town Walls



A3.4 Conwy Castle


Page 223



A3.5 Conwy Town Walls



A3.6 Harlech Castle



Page 225



Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd World Heritage Site

Appendix 4

References,
Further Information
and Contacts

A4.1 References ↵

- 1 John R. Kenyon, 'Kyffin Williams and the guidebooks to the four great Edwardian castles of North Wales: a bibliographic essay', *The Castle Studies Group Journal* 27 (2013–14) 241–44. ↵
- 2 UNESCO is the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation. ↵
<http://en.unesco.org/>
- 3 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↵
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
- 4 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↵
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
- 5 A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works in Wales 1277–1330*, (originally published in R. A. Brown, H. M. Colvin and A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works*, London, 1963); republished as a separate volume in 1974 and again as, Arnold Taylor, *The Welsh Castles of Edward I* (London, 1983). ↵
- 6 *Managing Cultural World Heritage*, UNESCO/ICCROM/ICOMOS/IUCN, 2013. ↵
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/activities/827/>
- 7 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↵
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
- 8 *Management Plans for World Heritage Sites — A Practical Guide*, UNESCO 2008. ↵
- 9 *Planning Policy Wales* (Edition 9), Chapter 6: The Historic Environment, para. 6.5.2, Welsh Government, 2016. ↵
<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/ppw/?lang=en>
- 10 Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. ↵
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2015/2/contents>
Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/4/contents>
- 11 *Caernarfon Waterfront: Understanding Urban Character*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2010. ↵
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Urban_Character_Caernarfon_Waterfront_EN.pdf
- 12 Diane M. Williams and John R. Kenyon (eds) *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010). ↵
- 13 Interpretation Plan for the Castles and Town Walls of Edward I, PLB Consulting, May 2010. ↵
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/InterplanCastlesEdwardI_EN.pdf
- 14 Jeremy A. Ashbee, *Beaumaris Castle* (Cardiff, 2017), Jeremy A. Ashbee, *Conwy Castle and Town Walls* (Cardiff, 2007), Jeremy A. Ashbee, *Harlech Castle* (Cardiff, 2017). ↵
- 15 Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd: Periodic Report — Second Cycle, 2013. ↵
<http://whc.unesco.org/archive/periodicreporting/EUR/cycle02/section2/groupa/374.pdf>
- 16 The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is published by UNESCO. ↵
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/374>
- 17 *Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage*, UNESCO, 1972. ↵
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext/>
- 18 A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works in Wales 1277–1330*, (originally published in R. A. Brown, H. M. Colvin and A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works*, London, 1963); republished as a separate volume in 1974 and again as, Arnold Taylor, *The Welsh Castles of Edward I* (London, 1983). ↵
- 19 As of 2015 this is now the responsibility of Natural Resources Wales. ↵
- 20 *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*, UNESCO. ↵
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>
- 21 *Setting of Historic Assets in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017; ↵
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment, para. 1.25, Welsh Government, 2017.
<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/tan-24/?lang=en>

- 22 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↗
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
- 23 A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works in Wales 1277-1330*, (originally published in R. A. Brown, H. M. Colvin and A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works*, London, 1963); republished as a separate volume in 1974 and again as, Arnold Taylor, *The Welsh Castles of Edward I* (London, 1983). ↗
- 24 *The Mabinogion*, translated by Sioned Davies (Oxford, 2008). ↗
- 25 Diane M. Williams and John R. Kenyon (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010). ↗
- 26 K. Lilley, C. Lloyd, S. Trick, *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* (2005) – Caernarfon, Discussion. ↗
http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/atlas_ahrb_2005/
- 27 K. Lilley, C. Lloyd, S. Trick, *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* (2005) – Conwy Discussion. ↗
http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/atlas_ahrb_2005/
- 28 K. Lilley, C. Lloyd, S. Trick, *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* (2005) – Beaumaris Discussion. ↗
http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/atlas_ahrb_2005/
- 29 *Caernarfon Waterfront: Understanding Urban Character*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2010. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Urban_Character_Caernarfon_Waterfront_EN.pdf
- 30 Archaeological Assessment, Beaumaris Flood Alleviation Scheme, Gwynedd Archaeological Trust 2013. ↗
http://www.walesher1974.org/her/groups/GAT/media/GAT_Reports/GATreport_I149_compressed.pdf
- 31 R. Avent, 'The Conservation and Restoration of Caernarfon Castle 1845–1912' in Williams D. M. and Kenyon J. R. (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010), pp. 140–49. ↗
- 32 *Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2011. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Conservation_Principles_EN.pdf
- 33 Alun Ffred Jones, 'King Edward I's Castles in North Wales — Now and Tomorrow', in Williams D. M. and Kenyon J. R. (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010), pp. 198–202. ↗
- 34 Ibid. ↗
- 35 Ibid. ↗
- 36 Ibid. ↗
- 37 *The Mabinogion*, translated by Sioned Davies (Oxford, 2008). ↗
- 38 D. Foster Evans 'Tŵr Dewr Gwncwerwr' ('A Brave Conqueror's Tower'): Welsh Poetic Responses to the Edwardian Castles', in Williams D. M. and Kenyon J. R. (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010), pp. 121–28; D. Foster Evans, 'Castle and Town in Medieval Wales', in Fulton, H (ed.), *Urban Culture in Medieval Wales* (Cardiff, 2012). ↗
- 39 *The Economic Impact of the Heritage Tourism Environment for Growth (E4G) Project*, Welsh Economy Research Unit, Cardiff Business School, 2014. ↗
<http://e4g.org.uk/files/2013/07/Heritage-Tourism-Project-economic-impact-chapter-30Oct14-Cardiff-Business-School-v11.pdf>
- 40 Ibid. ↗
- 41 Ibid. ↗
- 42 Ibid. ↗
- 43 Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. ↗
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2015/2/contents/enacted>
- 44 *Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessments for Cultural World Heritage Properties*, ICOMOS 2011. ↗
http://www.icomos.org/world_heritage/HIA_20110201.pdf

- 45 *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*, UNESCO. ↗
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>
- 46 Charter for community engagement, Community Engagement Unit, Strategic and Executive Services, Queensland Department of Emergency Services, 2001. ↗
- 47 Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011. ↗
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/mwa/2011/1/contents/enacted>
- 48 *Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2011. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Conservation_Principles_EN.pdf
- 49 *Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessments for Cultural World Heritage Properties*, ICOMOS, January 2011. ↗
http://www.icomos.org/world_heritage/HIA_20110201.pdf
- 50 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↗
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
- 51 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↗
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>
Managing Conservation Areas in Wales, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017.
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/conservationareas/?lang=en>
- 52 *Managing Historic Character in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↗
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/conservationareas/?lang=en>
- 53 Gwynedd Council and The Isle of Anglesey County Council Joint Local Development Plan, Gwynedd and Anglesey Retail Study, Volume 1, appliedplanning, December 2012. ↗
- 54 *Caernarfon Waterfront: Understanding Urban Character*, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2010. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Urban_Character_Caernarfon_Waterfront_EN.pdf
- 55 *Exploring your Town: A Manual and Toolkit*, Civic Trust Cymru, 2013. ↗
- 56 *Valuing the Welsh Historic Environment*, ECOTEC Research and Consulting Ltd, 2010. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/ValuingWelshHistoricEnvironment_EN.pdf
- 57 *The Economic Impact of the Heritage Tourism Environment for Growth (E4G) Project*, Welsh Economy Research Unit, Cardiff Business School, 2014. ↗
<http://e4g.org.uk/files/2013/07/Heritage-Tourism-Project-economic-impact-chapter-30Oct14-Cardiff-Business-School-v11.pdf>
- 58 *Solving our own problems! Summary Report and Event Template*, Irish Walled Towns Network, The Heritage Council, 2014. ↗
<http://www.heritagecouncil.ie/publications/built-urban-heritage-archaeology>
- 59 *An Assessment of the Current and Potential Economic Impact of Heritage*, TBR Economic Research Team and Rebanks Consulting, 2016. ↗
- 60 <http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/151112-summervisitorresearch2015-eng.pdf> ↗
- 61 <http://gov.wales/statistics-and-research/wales-visitor-survey/?lang=en> ↗
- 62 <http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/151112-summervisitorresearch2015-eng.pdf> ↗
- 63 *Partnership for Growth: Strategy for Tourism 2013-2020*, Welsh Government. ↗
<http://gov.wales/topics/tourism/development11/partnershipforgrowth/?lang=en>
- 64 World Heritage Sustainable Tourism Toolkit, UNESCO. ↗
<http://whc.unesco.org/sustainabletourismtoolkit/>
- 65 *An Overview of the Pan-Wales Interpretation Plan*, Cadw 2012. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Pan_Wales_OverviewENGLISH.pdf

- 66 Interpretation Plan for the Castles and Town Walls of Edward I, PLB Consulting, May 2010. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/InterplanCastlesEdwardI_EN.pdf
- 67 Diane M. Williams and John R. Kenyon (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010). ↗
- 68 J. Ashbee, 'The King's Accommodation at his Castles' in Williams, D. M. and Kenyon J. R (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010), pp. 72–84. ↗
- 69 K. Lilley, C. Lloyd, S. Trick, *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* (2005). ↗
http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/atlas_ahrb_2005/
- 70 National Strategy for Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management in Wales, Welsh Government, 2011. ↗
<http://gov.wales/topics/environmentcountryside/epq/flooding/nationalstrategy/strategy/?lang=en>
- 71 A Strategic Approach for Assessing and Addressing the Potential Impact of Climate Change on the Historic Environment, The Countryside and Community Research Institute, Dyfed Archeological Trust and the Centre for Environmental Change and Quaternary Research, University of Gloucestershire for the Historic Environment Group — Climate Change Subgroup, 2012. ↗
http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Climate_Change_on_the_Historic_Environment_of_Wales_EN_CY.pdf
- 72 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1979/46> ↗
- 73 Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/4/contents> ↗
- 74 *Planning Policy Wales* (Edition 9), Chapter 6: The Historic Environment, Welsh Government, paras 6.4.5, 6.5.2–6.5.4. <http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/ppw/?lang=en> ↗
 Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment, Welsh Government, paras 3.1–3.17.
<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/?lang=en>
- 75 *Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales*, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017. ↗
<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/worldheritagesites/?lang=en>

A4.2 Further Information ▾

Planning Policy and Guidance

Planning Policy Wales (Edition 9)

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/ppw/?lang=en>

Planning Policy Wales (Edition 9), Chapter 6: The Historic Environment

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/ppw/?lang=en>

Technical Advice Note 12: Design

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/tan12/?lang=en>

Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/?lang=en>

Design and Access Statements in Wales

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/?lang=en>

Development Management Manual

<http://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/development-management-manual/?lang=en>

Welsh Government Best-practice Guidance

Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment in Wales, Cadw, Welsh Government, 2011

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/conservation/conservationprinciples/?skip=1&lang=en>

Heritage Impact Assessment in Wales, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/?lang=en>

Managing Change to Listed Buildings in Wales, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/?lang=en>

Setting of Historic Assets in Wales, Welsh Government, Cadw, 2017

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/publications/?lang=en>

UNESCO and ICOMOS Guidance

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, UNESCO

<http://whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext/>

Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessments for Cultural World Heritage Properties, ICOMOS, 2011

http://www.icomos.org/world_heritage/HIA_20110201.pdf

Managing Cultural World Heritage, UNESCO

<http://whc.unesco.org/en/managing-cultural-world-heritage/>

Management Plans for World Heritage Sites — A Practical Guide, UNESCO 2008

Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention, UNESCO

<http://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>

UNESCO resource manuals

<http://whc.unesco.org/en/resourcemanuals/>

Sources of General Historical Information

Archives Wales — an online catalogue that allows you to search information in more than 7,000 collections of historical records in the holdings of 21 archives in Wales.

<https://www.archives.wales/>

Archwilio — provides online public access to the historic environment records for each local authority area in Wales. Archwilio is maintained and supported with further information held by the Welsh archaeological trusts.

www.archwilio.org.uk

Cof Cymru — Cadw's online record of the national historic assets of Wales, which includes listed buildings, scheduled monuments, protected wrecks, World Heritage Sites and registered historic landscapes. Registered historic parks and gardens will be added to Cof Cymru during 2018.

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/recordsv1/cof-cymru/?lang=en>

Coflein — the online catalogue for the National Monuments Record of Wales, the national collection of information about the historic environment of Wales.

<http://www.coflein.gov.uk/>

Historic Wales — an online gateway to national and regional historic environment records.

www.historicwales.gov.uk

List of Historic Place Names of Wales — records the various forms and spellings used for the names of topographical features, communities, thoroughfares, structures and other aspects of the landscape recorded in sources that predate the First World War:

<https://historicplacenames.rcahmw.gov.uk/>

The National Library of Wales

<https://www.llgc.org.uk/>

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd (Selected Historical Sources)

J. A. Ashbee, *Conwy Castle and Town Walls* (Cardiff, 2007).

J. A. Ashbee, *Beaumaris Castle* (Cardiff, 2017).

J. A. Ashbee, *Harlech Castle* (Cardiff, 2017).

Caernarfon Waterfront: Understanding Urban Character, Cadw, Welsh Assembly Government, 2010.

http://cadw.gov.wales/docs/cadw/publications/Urban_Character_Caernarfon_Waterfront_EN.pdf

N. Coldstream, 'Architects, Advisers and Deign at Edward I's Castles in Wales' *Architectural History*, 46 (2003), 19-36.

R. R. Davies, *Conquest, Coexistence and Change: Wales 1063-1415* (Oxford 1987); reprinted in paperback as *The Age of Conquest: Wales 1063-1415* (Oxford 1991).

S. Davies (trans.), *The Mabinogion* (Oxford, 2008).

K. Lilley, C. Lloyd, S. Trick, *Mapping the Medieval Townscape: A Digital Atlas of the New Towns of Edward I* (2005).

http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/atlas_ahrb_2005/

M. Morris, *A Great and Terrible King: Edward I and the Forging of Britain* (London 2008).

Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales and Monmouthshire, *Beaumaris in An Inventory of the Ancient Monuments in Anglesey* (London, 1937).

Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales and Monmouthshire, *Conwy in An Inventory of the Ancient Monuments in Caernarvonshire, Volume I: East* (London, 1956).

Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales and Monmouthshire, *Caernarfon in An Inventory of the Ancient Monuments in Caernarvonshire, Volume II: Central* (London, 1960).

A. J. Taylor, 'Master James of St George', *English Historical Review*, 65, (1950), 433-57.

A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works in Wales 1277–1330*, (originally published in R. A. Brown, H. M. Colvin and A. J. Taylor, *The History of the King's Works*, London, 1963); republished as a separate volume in 1974 and again as, Arnold Taylor, *The Welsh Castles of Edward I* (London, 1983).

A. J. Taylor, *Caernarfon Castle and Town Walls* (Cardiff, 2004).

Diane M. Williams and John R. Kenyon (eds), *The Impact of the Edwardian Castles in Wales* (Oxford, 2010).

World Heritage Sites in Wales

Blaenavon Industrial Landscape

<http://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/en/Homepage.aspx>

Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd

<http://cadw.gov.wales/historicenvironment/protection/worldheritage/cstlsedward1/?lang=en>

Pontcysyllte Aqueduct and Canal

<http://www.pontcysyllte-aqueduct.co.uk/33>

A4.3 Contacts ▾

Welsh Government

Historic Environment Service (Cadw)
 Plas Carew
 Unit 5/7 Cefn Coed
 Parc Nantgarw
 Cardiff
 CF15 7QQ
 Tel. 03000 256000

Cadw World Heritage Policy Team

cadw@wales.gsi.gov.uk
<http://cadw.gov.wales/?lang=en>

Local Planning Authorities

Local planning authorities' conservation and planning officers can be contacted via the relevant local authority website.

Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-culture-media-sport>

ICCROM

<http://www.iccrom.org/>

ICOMOS-UK

<http://www.icomos-uk.org/world-heritage/>

ICOMOS

<http://www.icomos.org/en/>

IUCN

<http://www.iucn.org/>

UK National Commission for UNESCO

<http://www.unesco.org.uk/>

UNESCO World Heritage Centre

<http://whc.unesco.org/>

World Heritage UK

<https://worldheritageuk.org/>

Links from this document

Where this document contains links to other sites and resources provided by third parties, these links are provided for your information only. Linking should not be taken as endorsement of any kind. Cadw has no control over the content or availability of linked pages and accepts no responsibility for them or for any loss or damage that may arise from your use of them

ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL	
REPORT TO:	EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
DATE:	6 NOVEMBER 2017
SUBJECT:	DRAFT REVENUE BUDGET 2018/19
PORTFOLIO HOLDER(S):	COUNCILLOR JOHN GRIFFITH
HEAD OF SERVICE:	MARC JONES
REPORT AUTHOR:	MARC JONES
TEL:	01248 752601
E-MAIL:	rmjfi@ynysmon.gov.uk
LOCAL MEMBERS:	n/a
A - Recommendation/s and reason/s	
<p>The final budget will not be approved by the Full Council until 28 February 2018, however, at this point the Executive is recommended to approve the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) That a sum of £125k is included in the final budget to fund the Energy Island Team and that this sum is maintained in the budget for as long as the Team is required (para 3.2); (ii) Grants incorporated into the AEF and the additional funding for new responsibilities is allocated to the appropriate budgets as allowed for in the standstill budget (para 5.4 & 5.5); (iii) To confirm that a contingency budget of £600k to cover any additional pay costs is required (as allowed for in the standstill budget). The value of this contingency to be reviewed prior to determining the final budget proposals (para 6.1); (iv) To consult with the public, that an additional 1% increase in Council Tax above the 4% rise set out in the Medium Term Financial Plan is charged and that the funding is set aside to fund additional demand pressures in Social Services (para 6.5); (v) To approve the standstill budget for 2018/19 of £132.337m and this should form the basis of the 2018/19 revenue budget (para 7.1); (vi) That the sum allocated to housing projects by means of the empty homes and second homes premium remains at the 2017/18 level (para 9.2); (vii) That the Executive should seek to make sufficient savings in 2018/19 to balance the revenue budget without resorting to the use of general reserves and to ensure that the required savings in 2019/20 are achievable (para 9.6); (viii) That the Executive should seek the opinion of the public on the proposed savings. <p>The detailed report on the preparation of the 2018/19 standstill budget, the provisional settlement and funding the budget gap is attached as Appendices 1 – 4.</p>	
B - What other options did you consider and why did you reject them and/or opt for this option?	
N/A	

C - Why is this a decision for the Executive?		
This matter is delegated to the Executive.		
CH - Is this decision consistent with policy approved by the full Council?		
Yes		
D - Is this decision within the budget approved by the Council?		
Yes		
DD - Who did you consult?		What did they say?
1	Chief Executive / Strategic Leadership Team (SLT) (mandatory)	Comments from the SLT have been incorporated into the report
2	Finance / Section 151 (mandatory)	n/a– this is the Section 151 Officer's report
3	Legal / Monitoring Officer (mandatory)	TBC
4	Human Resources (HR)	
5	Property	
6	Information Communication Technology (ICT)	
7	Scrutiny	TBC
8	Local Members	
9	Any external bodies / other/s	
E - Risks and any mitigation (if relevant)		
1	Economic	
2	Anti-poverty	
3	Crime and Disorder	
4	Environmental	
5	Equalities	
6	Outcome Agreements	
7	Other	
F - Appendices:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appendix 1 – Report on Draft Revenue Budget 2018/19 • Appendix 2 – Analysis of the Movement from the 2017/18 Final Revenue Budget to the 2018/19 Standstill Budget • Appendix 3 - 2018/19 Standstill Budget by Service • Appendix 4 – Potential Revenue Budget Savings for 2018/19 		
FF - Background papers (please contact the author of the Report for any further information):		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medium Term Financial Plan 2018/19 – 2020/21 – See Executive Meeting Agenda 18 September 2017 – Item 8 		

DRAFT REVENUE BUDGET 2018/19**1. INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1. The following report sets out the Executive's provisional revenue budget for 2018/19. The budget is prepared based on assumptions set out in the Medium Term Financial Plan approved by the Executive in September 2017, the provisional local government settlement which was issued by the Welsh Government on 10 October 2017 and the proposed revenue savings which have been identified by the individual services and have been discussed at the various workshops that have taken place during the summer.
- 1.2. The provisional budget approved by the Executive will then be subject to a formal public consultation process which will run from 7 November 2017 to 29 December 2017.
- 1.3. Following receipt of the final settlement figures in late December 2017, the final budget proposal will be subject to a review by the Scrutiny Committee in February 2018, will be recommended for approval by the Executive on 19 February 2018, with the final 2018/19 budget being approved by the Council at its meeting on 28 February 2018.

2. MAIN ASSUMPTIONS ARISING FROM THE MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN

- 2.1. The Medium Term Financial Plan sets out a number of assumptions and these assumptions have been taken into account in calculating the standstill budget for 2018/19. The standstill budget is a budget which provides resources to operate services at 2017/18 levels but updated to reflect any known changes outside the control of the services (committed changes) and to reflect the costs in 2018/19.
- 2.2. The main assumptions used to set the standstill budget are as follows:-

2.2.1. Pay Inflation

Both the Teachers pay award and non teaching pay award have been assumed at 1%, this reflects the Government's current policy in respect of public sector pay awards. However it remains unclear at this point whether the UK Government lifts the pay cap which could allow for an increased pay award in 2018/19. The situation may become clearer after the UK budget is announced on 22 November 2017.

2.2.2. General Price Inflation

The Medium Term Financial Plan allowed for a level of general price inflation of 2.6%. The Consumer Prices Index (CPI), which is now widely recognised as the best measure of inflation, is currently 2.9% (as at August 2017) but is expected to fall gradually over the coming months as the impact of Brexit works its way out of the calculation. It is, therefore, considered that an inflation rate of 2.6% is reasonable and this rate has been applied to all general supplies and services budgets. Where specific contracts have specific methods to determine the inflation to be applied, then that specific rate will have been applied to the appropriate budget.

2.2.3. Non Statutory Income

Over the past few years, the policy has been to increase the non-statutory income budgets by an average of 5% in each service. It has been for the service to decide the setting of individual fees and charges within their service. In 2017/18, the policy changed and an increase of 3% was applied as there was concern that the fees charged for some services were nearing or exceeding fees charged by private sector providers. For 2018/19, the same assumption has been applied as the difference between the 3% rise and inflation is now very small.

3. COMMITTED CHANGES

3.1. Committed changes are amendments which are taken into account in drawing up the standstill budget and they reflect an increase or decrease in costs which are outside the control of the Council or the individual service. The changes can include items of one off funding required or falling out of the budget, costs arising from legislative changes, changes in costs arising as a result of a tendering exercise, capital financing costs etc. The total adjustments made to the budget total £621k, details of the major changes are discussed in the paragraphs below.

3.2. Energy Island

In 2013/14, a sum of £900k was allocated to fund the cost of the Team for an initial 3 year period. This provided sufficient funding until 2016/17 with a small amount of underspends available for use in 2017/18. An additional £125k was provided in 2017/18 to continue to fund the Team, redirected from other activities undertaken by the Service but no longer required. As no further authorisation has been given by the Executive to continue with this funding, the sum of £125k has been removed from the standstill budget. Members are requested to consider whether this funding should be brought into the budget to co-ordinate the IoACC's statutory consenting responsibilities and non-statutory activities arising from the proposed major projects for as long as the Team is required.

3.3. Apprenticeship Levy

A sum of £290k was included in the 2017/18 budget to cover the cost of the Apprenticeship Levy which came into force in April 2017. This budget was an estimate and the actual annual cost is around £330k. Therefore, an additional £40k has been added to the 2018/19 budget.

3.4. Non Domestic Rates

Following the revaluation of all business properties, the revised actual costs are now known and the budget has been updated to reflect these changes. In addition, funding has been set aside to cover the costs of Ysgol Cybi and Ysgol Rhyd y Llan. Once the old schools have been sold, the budget will be adjusted downwards to reflect the change. For 2018/19, an additional £101k has been added to the budget to meet the additional costs.

3.5. Capital Financing

As the Council incurs capital expenditure, the required Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) increases along with the additional interest costs on the additional borrowing drawn down to fund the capital programme. In each year's settlement, the cost of an element of borrowing is provided for through extra funding (supported borrowing). The additional MRP and interest costs for 2018/19 are estimated at £362k, however, the borrowing costs in respect of the Penhesgyn gas management project reduce in 2018/19 and, after realigning the income budget to reflect the fall in gas production it is possible to reduce the net budget by £100k. This gives a net increase in capital financing costs of £262k, taking the capital financing budget to £8.51m.

3.6 Pupil Numbers

Each year, the effect of the change in pupil numbers is taken into account as part of the budget setting process. For 2018/19, this has resulted in an increase in the budget across the 3 sectors of £34k.

3.7. Council Tax Reduction Scheme

Up until 2013/14, taxpayers eligible to receive a reduction in their Council Tax bills through the benefits system in the form of Council Tax Benefit which was funded by the Department of Work and Pensions. In 2013/14, Council Tax Benefit was replaced by the Council Tax Reduction Scheme, with the funding for the scheme being transferred into the Revenue Support Grant. Initially, the scheme was fully funded but, as the level of Council Tax has risen and the number of claimants changed since 2013/14, it has been necessary for the Council to provide additional funding to meet the cost of the scheme (in addition to the sum provided in the Revenue Support Grant).

For 2017/18, the budget requirement has been reassessed taking into account the current level of expenditure and an increase in the Council Tax for 2017/18. This has resulted in a reduction of the budget of £76k, to bring the overall budget to £5.52m.

3.8. North Wales Fire & Rescue Service Levy

The Fire Service raise a levy each year which is allocated across the six North Wales Authorities based on population numbers, which vary each year between the 6 authorities. In 2017/18, the levy was increased by 4% across North Wales with a further increase of 1.3% in 2018/19, which is an increase of £44k (based on the same population distribution as 2017/18).

3.9. Retendered Contracts

During 2017/18, both the Highway Maintenance contract and the Grass Cutting contract were retendered and this resulted in an increase in the tendered prices. As a result an additional £50k and £37k respectively have been added to the relevant budgets.

3.10. Restructuring in Secondary Schools

In March 2017, the Executive resolved to cover the cost of pay protection for teachers arising from any restructuring of the management of the Council's Secondary Schools from the core budget. To date only one school has undertaken the restructuring and the cost of the pay protection amounted to £67k, which has been included in the 2018/19 budget.

3.11. New Primary Schools

The 2 new schools at Ysgol Cybi and Ysgol Rhyd y Llan resulted in ongoing revenue savings of £148k, however, £52k has been transferred from the Schools delegated budget to the Central Education budget to cover ongoing commitments relating to the former school sites. Therefore, the net reduction in the Education budget is £96k. This sum will contribute towards the increased capital financing costs of £295k which have been included in the capital financing budget.

3.12. Others

A number of other budgets have been adjusted to reflect changes that have taken place during the year which are outside the control of the service, these include income budgets where the Service can no longer charge the income. The total net value of these adjustments amount to £290k.

4. CONTINGENCIES

- 4.1 As part of the budgeting process, a number of contingency budgets are built into the budget to cover fixed term costs, potential risks that may require funding during the year or as a general contingency which is utilised during the year as additional budget pressures arise or as unexpected events occur. The changes made to the contingency budgets are shown in Table 1 below:-

Table 1					
Movement in Contingency Budgets between 2017/18 and 2018/19					
		End Date	2017/18 Budget	Proposed 2018/19 Budget	Change
			£'000	£'000	£'000
Earmarked	County Council Elections	2018/19	150	0	(150)
Cost of Change	Procurement	2018/19	48	0	(48)
Cost of Change	ICT Support	2018/19	44	0	(44)
Earmarked	Haulfre Residential Home	2017/18	95	95	0
Earmarked	Nursing Domiciliary Care Costs	2017/18	330	Transferred to the Service Budget	(330)
Salary & Grading	Voluntary Redundancy Costs	2019/20	200	300	100
NDR Discretionary Rate Relief		Ongoing	60	60	0
General Contingency		Ongoing	284	280	(4)
TOTAL			1,211	735	(476)

5. INFLATION AND OTHER ADJUSTMENTS

5.1. The standstill budget is the budget required to provide the same level of service as in 2017/18, after adjusting for any known changes (as set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 above) and after adjusting for pay and price inflation. The final adjustments in order to determine the standstill budget for 2018/19 are set out below.

5.2. Staff Increments and Changes in Post Holders

Staffing budgets are based on the actual pay point that members of staff will be paid on in 2018/19. Since the implementation of the Single Status agreement, the number of spinal column points in each grade has reduced and, as a result, a large number of staff are now at the top of their grade. Changes in postholders during 2017/18 will also be reflected in the 2018/19 budget. The net effect of these adjustments increases the standstill budget by £65k.

5.3. Inflation

In accordance with the assumptions in the Medium Term Financial Plan, a general level of inflation of 1% has been allowed for pay increases and 2.6% for general prices, unless a different inflation rate is defined in any contract which the Council has entered into. All non-statutory income budgets have increased by 3%. The net cost of the inflation applied amounts to £2.02m.

5.4. Grants Transferred into the Settlement

The Welsh Government have over a number of years, reduced the number of specific grants by transferring the funding into the Settlement. In 2018/19, £91.7m of revenue grants were transferred into the settlement. For Anglesey, the value of the grants transferred in were as shown in Table 2 below:-

Comparison of Grants Transferred into Settlement to the Equivalent Grant Received in 2017/18		
Grant	2018/19 Allocation in Settlement £	2017/18 Equivalent Grant Allocation £
Independent Living Grant	778,806	837,060
Social Care Workforce Grant	427,493	427,493
Looked After Children Grant	148,465	82,886
Carers' Respite Care Grant	67,499	67,499
Waste Element – Single Revenue Grant	920,990	985,459 ¹
TOTAL GRANTS TRANSFERRED IN	2,343,253	2,400,397

1. This assumes the indicative reduction in the grant of 7%

The full sum of these grants have been included against the relevant Service budget.

5.5. New Responsibilities

The Welsh Government included an additional £6m in the settlement to deliver local services to assist with homelessness prevention. As a result, an additional £119,437 was included in the settlement figures for Anglesey. This figure is included in the standstill budget as an additional contingency but it is noted that Members will be required to confirm their intention to use the additional funding as set out in the settlement.

6. BUDGET RISKS

6.1. Inflation

The public sector pay award has been capped at 1% for a number of years, however, the Government is under increasing pressure to remove the cap. It is prudent at this stage to provide a contingency sum in the standstill budget to meet the additional cost, if it materialises, and must be funded by the Council. Allowing for another 1% for the full year for non-teaching staff and from September 2018 for teaching staff would require an additional £600k to be set aside and this has been included as an additional contingency in the standstill budget. The need for this contingency can be reviewed as the budget process is completed.

6.2 National Living Wage

As reported in the Medium Term Financial Plan, the National Living Wage is planned to increase year on year to £9 per hour by 2020. As a result, the pay associated with the lower points on the pay scales catch up the higher grades. This problem has been identified by the Employers nationally and a review is currently being undertaken of the national pay scales. Early modelling suggests that the review would increase pay budgets (including pay awards) between 5% and 6% over two years, although this may be lower for Anglesey as points 6 to 9 are no longer paid by the Council. As the change to the new pay grade will incorporate the pay award, the contingency detailed in para 6.1 should be sufficient to cover the additional cost in 2018/19 but the changes will put added pressure on the budget in 2019/20.

6.3. Specific Revenue Grants

In 2017/18, the Council received approx. £20.9m in specific revenue grants which are utilised to provide specific services. To date, no indication has been received as to the level of funding that will be received in the form of specific grant funding in 2018/19 and, as a result, no reductions have been factored into the standstill budget. Any reduction in these grants will result in a direct cut in the services they fund or will require the Council to subsidise the loss of grant from the core budget.

6.4 Council Tax Reduction Scheme

The budget for the Council Tax Reduction Scheme is based on the current caseload and the prediction for the change in caseload over 2018/19. After the results of the EU referendum and the uncertainty it has created, it is difficult to predict what will happen to the UK economy. A significant worsening of the economy and an increase in unemployment will naturally lead to an increase in the caseload and increased costs.

6.5. Budget Pressures and Demand Led Services

Members will be aware that the 2017/18 expenditure is forecast to overspend the budget by approximately £2m, with the main pressures arising from an increased demand in looked after children and associated out of county placement costs. The MTFP did allow for a modest increase in these budgets of £0.4m. The MTFP allowed for a 4% increase in Council Tax but an increase of 5% would generate an additional £338k and this additional sum has been allowed for in the standstill budget with the additional funding earmarked to respond to demand pressures within Social Services.

7. STANDSTILL BUDGET 2018/19

7.1 Based on all of the adjustments and assumptions detailed above, the standstill budget for 2018/19, including an additional contingency for pay of £600k and additional funding to meet demand pressures within Social Services, totals £132.337m, an increase of £6.179m on the 2017/18 final budget, although £2.462m is as a result of grants transferring into the settlement and new responsibilities. A summary of the changes made in comparison with the Medium Term Financial Plan is attached as Appendix 2. A breakdown of the standstill budget by Service is attached as Appendix 3.

8. PROVISIONAL SETTLEMENT

8.1 The provisional settlement for Local Government in Wales, announced on 10 October 2017, shows an increase of £72.63m in the overall level of funding for Wales, which is equivalent to a 1.77% increase. However, £91.72m relates to grants transferred in and £6m relates to additional funding for homelessness prevention. When the effect of these changes are adjusted for, the true figure shows a fall in funding of £25m or 0.6%. The details are shown in Table 3 below:-

Table 3 2018/19 Provisional Settlement		
	Anglesey £'m	Wales £'m
2017/18 AEF	92.652	4,113.620
Previous Years Grants Transferred In / (Out)		
Waste Grant	0.920	35.000
Welsh Independent Living Grant	0.775	26.889
Social Care Workforce Grant	0.421	19.000
Looked After Children Grant	0.144	7.415
Carers' Respite Care Grant	0.066	3.000
Social Care for Prisoners in Secure Estate Grant	0.000	0.412
2017/18 Adjusted AEF	94.978	4,205.336
New Responsibilities		
Homelessness Prevention	0.119	6.000
Top Up Funding – Settlement Floor	0.000	1.772
Funding Adjustment for 2018/19	(0.173)	(26.861)
Provisional AEF 2018/19	94.924	4,186.247

- 8.2. The written statement made by the Cabinet Secretary for Finance and Local Government stated that the within settlement funding has been provided to increase the school element of the settlement by £62m and the social care element by £42m. However, it is not explained how these 2 figures are arrived at and it is clear from the table above that it has not translated into additional cash funding for local authorities.
- 8.3. Although the reduction in the AEF is smaller than planned in the MTFP (0.1% compared to 2%), it is still a reduction in real terms with the Council having to fund an estimated additional £2m due to pay awards and general inflation.
- 8.4. The provisional settlement provides no further information on the specific revenue grants which the Council receives.

9. THE FUNDING GAP

- 9.1. In 2017/18, the Council approved the setting of a premium of 25% for second homes and empty homes. As work was ongoing to identify the properties on which the premium would be levied, a conservative estimate was taken when determining the additional funding the premium would raise to allow for errors in the identification of properties and to provide a safety net should the premium result in a large number of taxpayers taking action in order that they did not have to pay the premium e.g. letting their home, selling their home or actively selling their home. A budget of £564k was set, of which 30% was allocated to housing projects.
- 9.2. The actual additional debit raised by the premium was £984k and the figure has remained fairly constant since the start of the financial year. It is, therefore, proposed to increase the budget to 80% of this current figure and, after allowing for the assumed increase in Council Tax (5%) this raises the budget to £824k. A further decision will be required by Members as to whether they wish to allocate more funding to housing projects e.g. 30% of this revised figure.
- 9.3. Assuming an increase in Council Tax of 4% (as per the Medium Term Financial Plan) plus an additional 1% to fund demand pressures, the funding gap for 2018/19 is shown in Table 4 below:-

	£'m	£'m 132.337
Standstill Budget		
Funded By:		
Revenue Support Grant (RSG)	72.307	
Share of Non Domestic Rates Pool	22.617	
Council Tax (including premium) ^{note 1}	35.415	
Total Funding		130.339
Funding Shortfall		1.998
<small>Note 1 - Assumes an increase of 5% in Council Tax</small>		

- 9.4. The impact of various levels of Council Tax increase and on the Band D equivalent charge (currently £1,088.01 in 2017/18) is shown in Table 5 below:-

Table 5				
Impact of Various Council Tax Increases on the Funding Shortfall				
% Increase	Council Tax £'m	Funding Shortfall £'m	Weekly Effect on Band D £	Total Increase in Band D £
1.0	34.066	3.010	0.21	10.88
1.5	34.234	2.841	0.31	16.32
2.0	34.403	2.672	0.42	21.76
2.5	34.572	2.504	0.52	27.20
3.0	34.740	2.335	0.63	32.64
3.5	34.909	2.167	0.73	38.08
4.0	35.077	1.998	0.84	43.52
4.5	35.246	1.829	0.94	48.96
5.0	35.415	1.661	1.05	54.40

9.5. The initial budget work estimated that the funding gap would be £4m in 2018/19 and services were, therefore, asked to identify budget savings of 4% which would generate the £4m savings required. A number of budget workshops were held over the summer and Heads of Service identified potential savings of £3.296m, the details of which are attached as Appendix 4. The savings proposals will be subject to a public consultation process between 7 November 2017 and 29 December 2017.

9.6. The Council's balance of general reserves currently stands at £8.3m, which is £2.3m above the balance assessed by the Council's Section 151 Officer as the minimum required. However, the current budget position for 2017/18 is projecting an overspend of around £2m and this will have to be funded from the general reserves and is likely to bring the level down closer to the required balance. Using the reserves to balance the budget does allow the Council to defer budget saving proposals in 2018/19 but, if the financial gap continues into the following years, then the savings will have to be implemented in the following year, whilst the Council's general reserves are further diminished. The budget strategy to date has been to use general reserves to fund projects that bring long term savings to the Council rather than to use as short term funding. It is recommended that this strategy continues and that reserves are not used to balance the budget if the budget can be balanced through the implementation of permanent budget savings.

10. REVISED MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN

10.1. The provisional settlement also indicated that the potential settlement for 2019/20 would see a further reduction in the local government settlement of 1.5%, which equates to a reduction of £1.4m. A 4% rise in Council Tax would generate a similar figure and, as a result, the funding available would be similar to the 2018/19 level.

10.2. The Council would, therefore, have to fund all budget increases due to pay awards, inflation, budget pressures and increased capital financing charges by means of making further budget savings.

- 10.3.** The Employers Organisation are currently drawing up proposals to restructure the pay scales for non-teaching staff to take account of the effect of the increases in the National Living Wage. This restructure would also incorporate the pay award and early indications are that these changes would increase the pay costs by around 5% over 2 years. The 2018/19 budget allows for a 2% increase, which would leave a further 3% increase to be funded in 2019/20. The situation regarding teachers' pay is more uncertain but planning for a similar increase would be prudent.
- 10.4.** Funding the pay award at 3%, along with other budget changes would increase the budget for 2019/20 by an estimated £3.8m and this would be the level of savings that would be required. An initial list of potential savings drawn up by Services totalled £3.4m and, given that the potential budget shortfall in 2018/19 is £2m, then £1.4m of savings could be implemented which would take the Council some way in achieving the estimated saving requirement of £3.8m.
- 10.5.** The position for 2020/21 is very unclear but, assuming that the settlement for 2020/21 is in line with the 2019/20 figure and assuming a further Council Tax increase of 4% and pay and price inflation of around 2%, a further £1m of savings would be required in this year.
- 10.6.** The revised total of savings required over the period 2018/19 to 2020/21 is, therefore, £6.8m compared to £8.6m noted in the Medium Term Financial Plan reported to the Executive in September 2017. The change is due to the better than anticipated settlement for 2018/19.

11. MATTERS FOR DECISION

- 11.1** The final budget will not be approved by the Full Council until 28 February 2018, however, at this point, the Executive is recommended to approve the following:-
- (i)** That a sum of £125k is included in the final budget to fund the Energy Island Team and that this sum is maintained in the budget for as long as the Team is required (para 3.2);
 - (ii)** Grants incorporated into the AEF and the additional funding for new responsibilities is allocated to the appropriate budgets as allowed for in the standstill budget (para 5.4 & 5.5);
 - (iii)** To confirm that a contingency budget of £600k to cover any additional pay costs is required (as allowed for in the standstill budget). The value of this contingency to be reviewed prior to determining the final budget proposals (para 6.1);
 - (iv)** To consult with the public that an additional 1% increase in Council Tax above the 4% rise set out in the Medium Term Financial Plan is charged and that the funding is set aside to fund additional demand pressures in Social Services (para 6.5).
 - (v)** To approve the standstill budget for 2018/19 of £132.337m and this should form the basis of the 2018/19 revenue budget (para 7.1);
 - (vi)** That the sum allocated to housing projects by means of the empty homes and second homes premium remains at the 2017/18 level (para 9.2);
 - (vii)** That the Executive should seek to make sufficient savings in 2018/19 to balance the revenue budget without resorting to the use of general reserves and to ensure that the required savings in 2019/20 are achievable (para 9.6);
 - (viii)** That the Executive should seek the opinion of the public on the proposed savings.

ANALYSIS OF THE MOVEMENT FROM THE 2017/18 FINAL BUDGET TO THE 2018/19 STANDSTILL BUDGET

	Medium Term Financial Plan		Standstill Budget		Report Ref
	£'m	£'m	£'m	£'m	
2017/18 Budget		126.157		126.157	
Contingencies Adjustments					
County Council Elections	- 0.150		- 0.150		Para 4.1
Cost of Change Contingency	- 0.092		- 0.092		Para 4.1
Redundancy Costs	0.100		0.100		Para 4.1
Voluntary Redundancy Savings	0.300		0.234		Para 4.1
General Contingency	0		-0.004		Para 4.1
		0.158		0.088	
Committed Changes					
Fire Service Levy	0.043		0.043		Para 3.8
Pupil Numbers	0.132		0.034		Para 3.6
Council Tax Reduction Scheme	0.160		- 0.076		Para 3.7
Capital Financing Costs	0.434		0.262		Para 3.5
NNDR Corrections	0.025		0.101		Para 3.4
Retendered Contracts	0.087		0.087		Para 3.9
Restructuring in Secondary Schools	0.118		0.062		Para 3.10
Energy Island	0.000		- 0.125		Para 3.2
Apprenticeship Levy	0.000		0.040		Para 3.3
Ysgol Cybi and Ysgol Rhyd y Llan	0.000		-0.096		Para 3.11
Other Committed Changes	0.131		0.290		Para 3.12
		1.130		0.621	
Staffing Budget Changes		0.100		0.065	Para 5.2
Demand Led Budget Pressures		0.399		0.338	Para 6.5
Inflation		1.879		2.023	Para 5.3
Pay Award Contingency		0.000		0.600	
Grants and New Responsibilities		0.000		2.445	Para 5.4 / 5.5
Standstill Budget		129.823		132.337	

STANDSTILL BUDGET 2018/19 BY SERVICE				
Budget	2017/18 Budget	2018/19 Standstill Budget	Movement	% Change
	£'m	£'m	£'m	%
Lifelong Learning				
Schools	37.299	37.716	+0.417	+1.12%
Central Education	9.477	9.886	+0.409	+4.32%
Culture	1.379	1.368	-0.011	-0.80%
Total Lifelong Learning	48.154	48.970	+0.815	+1.69%
Highways, Waste & Property				
Highways	6.442	6.572	+0.130	+2.02%
Waste ¹	6.303	7.364	+1.061	+16.83%
Property	1.025	1.022	-0.003	-0.29%
Total Highways, Waste & Property	13.770	14.958	+1.188	+8.63%
Regulation & Economic Development				
Economic Development & Maritime	1.167	1.065	-0.102	-8.74%
Planning & Public Protection	2.174	2.158	-0.016	-0.74%
Leisure	0.786	0.751	-0.035	-4.45%
Total Reg & Economic Development	4.127	3.974	-0.153	-3.71%
Adult Services²	22.814	24.962	+2.148	+9.41%
Children Services³	7.647	8.224	+0.577	+7.54%
Corporate Transformation				
Human Resources	1.221	1.262	+0.041	+3.40%
ICT	1.690	1.748	+0.058	+3.43%
Transformation	0.833	0.911	+0.078	+9.36%
Total Corporate Transformation	3.744	3.921	+0.177	+4.73%
Housing	1.027	1.006	-0.021	-2.04%
Resources	2.778	2.945	+0.167	+6.01%
Council Business	1.517	1.547	+0.030	+1.98%
Total Service Budgets	105.579	110.507	+4.928	+4.67%
Corporate Budgets				
Corporate Management	0.761	0.729	-0.032	-4.20%
Levies	3.335	3.378	+0.043	+1.29%
Corporate & Democratic	1.915	1.946	+0.031	+1.62%
Capital Financing Costs	8.149	8.511	+0.362	+4.44%
HRA Recharges	-0.622	-0.622	0.000	0.00%
Council Tax Reduction Scheme	5.600	5.524	-0.076	-1.35%
Contingencies	1.681	2.304	+0.623	+36.94%
Discretionary Rate Relief	0.060	0.060	0.000	0.00%
Corporate Savings	-0.300	0.000	-0.300	-
Total Corporate Budgets	20.579	21.830	+1.251	+6.07%
TOTAL BUDGET	126.158	132.337	6.179	+4.90%

1. The increase included £920K of grant transferring into the settlement
2. The increase includes £1,262k of grants transferring into the settlement
3. The increase includes £144k of grant transferring into the settlement

PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS 2018/19

Proposed Saving	Service	Probable Savings £'000
Savings Proposals to be included in the Budget Consultation		
Increase the Oriel Ynys Môn visitor income through a greater emphasis on its marketing.	Lifelong Learning	15
Reduce central staffing costs within the Learning Service. (Education & Culture)	Lifelong Learning	30
Complete the process of transforming the Library Service following the recent consultation process. The level of saving will be dependent on the Executive's decision in November 2017.	Lifelong Learning	Estimated 50
Combine roles within the Service into one post.	Lifelong Learning	25
Further reductions in the level of Culture grants to organisations such as Ucheldre Centre (Holyhead), community newspapers and Cwmni'r Frân Wen.	Lifelong Learning	20
Increase the fee for the School Breakfast Club from £0.75 to £1.00 for the time between 8.00am to 8.25am. This increase will not be associated with the costs of breakfast clubs.	Lifelong Learning	15
Increase the fee for bus passes under the Vacant Seat Scheme by 10% (£12) for bus journeys with 3 miles of secondary and 2 miles of primary schools.	Lifelong Learning	10
Reduce the management costs for the music tuition service by revision of the commissioning arrangements in cooperation with the current tutors whilst maintaining the current service to children.	Lifelong Learning	86
To maintain the school budget at the 2017/18 level. However, schools will need to fund the cost of pay awards and inflation from existing budgets.	Lifelong Learning	563
Delegate more of the repairs and maintenance budget to schools.	Lifelong Learning	100
Retender the schools' grass cutting contract into smaller lots in order to obtain lower prices by April 2018.	Lifelong Learning	50
With Hafan Cefni Extra Care Scheme, Llangefi opening in May 2018, this will allow for the closure of Plas Penlan Residential Home in Llangefni.	Adults Services	190

Proposed Saving	Service	Probable Savings £'000
Increase the take up of direct payments by clients allowing families greater flexibility to arrange their own care packages at a lower cost.	Adults Services	30
Change the service provision with the aim of allowing more clients to be supported in their own homes or in our extra care provision rather than being placed into residential care.	Adults Services	92
Manage the demand for homecare by promoting greater community and personal support networks to enable people to continue living independently.	Adults Services	38
Reduce staffing within the Highways Service as posts become vacant.	Highways, Waste & Property	120
Reduce public transport costs by removing the following low demand routes:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. 07.13 Amlwch to Llangefni (daily) b. 12.34 Llannerch-y-medd to Bangor (Sat pm) c. 14.18 Bangor to Carmel (Sat pm) d. 15.32 Carmel to Bangor (Sat pm) e. 16.40 Bangor to Rhos-y-bol (Sat pm) 	Highways, Waste & Property	15
Increase parking fees above the rate of inflation (3%) whilst keeping the 50p half hour and £1 hour fees.	Highways, Waste & Property	5
Reduce the street lighting repairs and maintenance budget as a result of the increased investment in LED lighting.	Highways, Waste & Property	20
Reduce vehicle / transport costs through the increased use of electric and LPG vehicles and by making greater use of contract hire vehicles.	Highways, Waste & Property	40
Increase the income from the Smallholdings estate by changing the tenancy agreement for new tenants.	Highways, Waste & Property	25
Reduce cleaning material costs across Council buildings.	Highways, Waste & Property	25
Increase the income from the Council's Industrial Units when renewing contracts and lease agreements.	Highways, Waste & Property	35

Proposed Saving	Service	Probable Savings £'000
Employ an in-house plumber to undertake routine maintenance work instead of using sub-contractors.	Highways, Waste & Property	20
Reduce staffing within the Property Service.	Highways, Waste & Property	35
Transfer public conveniences to other organisations.	Highways, Waste & Property	30
Rationalise capacity within Planning, Joint Planning Policy Unit, Public Protection and Economic Development.	Regulation and Economic	92
Increase income budgets for Public Protection as a result of legislative changes.	Regulation and Economic	8
Improve the management and effectiveness of the Beach Wardens and Slipway Attendants.	Regulation and Economic	20
Outsource the café at Holyhead Leisure Centre	Regulation and Economic	5
Increase the income generated from preparing Energy Performance Certificates and in undertaking work for other Housing Associations	Housing	23
Delete the vacant Counter Fraud Officer post and other vacant posts within the Transformation service	Corporate	45
Stop having a presence at the Anglesey Show	Transformation	6
Generate income by selling advertising space on the Council's website to local and regional businesses	Transformation	6
Review the Council's Minimum Revenue Provision policy in order to reduce the statutory minimum revenue provision which is set aside to fund the repayments of long term loans	Corporate	1,000
TOTAL PROPOSED SAVINGS TO BE INCLUDED IN BUDGET CONSULTATION		2,889
Savings Proposals to be Implemented without Consultation		
General savings on miscellaneous budgets within the Transformation Service	Transformation	11
Centralise the catering provision for the Council's residential homes into 2 centres	Adults Services	100

Proposed Saving	Service	Probable Savings £'000
Remove Surplus budget from Corporate Management Team budget	Corporate	75
Remove surplus budget from Anglesey / Gwynedd Partnership budget	Corporate	80
Remove unused Risk Management budget	Corporate	41
Reduce Historic Pension budget	Corporate	100
TOTAL PROPOSED SAVINGS TO BE IMPLEMENTED WITHOUT CONSULTATION		407
TOTAL PROPOSED SAVINGS 2018/19		3,296

This page is intentionally left blank